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Ontario Energy Board


EB-2006-0034

IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c. 15

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. for an order or orders approving or fixing just and reasonable rates and other charges for the sale, distribution, transmission and storage of gas commencing January 1, 2007.

BEFORE: Gordon Kaiser
Presiding Member and Vice Chair
Paul Vlahos
Member
Ken Quesnelle
Member

\section*{INTERIM RATE ORDER ARISING FROM 2007 TEST YEAR SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL (EB-2006-0034)}

Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("EGDI") filed an application dated August 25, 2006 with the Ontario Energy Board (the "Board") under the Section 36 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, requesting a rate increase effective January 1, 2007. The Board issued a Notice of Application dated September 7, 2006 and subsequently has issued seven procedural orders. The procedural orders provided for, among other things, the convening of a Settlement Conference and direction for the filing and hearing of any Settlement Proposal.

The Settlement Conference commenced on December 11, 2006 and a Settlement Proposal was filed with the Board on January 24, 2007. Parties to the Settlement indicated that there were ongoing consultations on certain unsettled issues and additional settled issues could be filed during the course of the proceeding. If additional issues were partly or completely settled, the parties would file a supplementary settlement agreement that would explain the settlements, and the financial incremental impacts of such settlements. The Board heard and, with clarifications made on the record, accepted the Settlement Proposal on January 29, 2006.

The Settlement indicated that the implementation of the settlement package of issues, comprised of issues 1.1 to \(1.8,2.1,2.2,3.2,3.5,3.7\) to \(3.9,3.11\) to 3.15 and 9.1 , will result in a revenue deficiency of \(\$ 29.9\) million. The Settlement Proposal included the agreement by all parties that ...
... for rate implementation purposes only, the Company can adjust rates to recover an additional \(\$ 26.0\) million, effective as of January 1, 2007, and that this will be implemented at the same time as the Company's April 1, 2007 QRAM is implemented. GEC's and Pollution Probe's agreement in this regard is subject to any later adjustments to the Company's recovery of revenue deficiency that might be required as a result of Issue 3.2. Schools' agreement in this regard is subject to any later adjustments to the Company's recovery of revenue deficiency that might be required as a result of Issue 9.1. (Ex.N1 Tab1 Schedule 1 p9 /filed January 24, 2007)

On February 23, 2007 EGDI filed a draft interim rate order, including supporting documentation, for the Board's approval. EGDI indicated that the draft order reflected the impacts of the 2007 Settlement Proposal dated January 24, 2007. EGDI proposed that intervenors wishing to comment on the draft should file their submissions by March 2, 2007. EGDI also indicated that it would file a draft rate order under docket number EB-2007-0049 on March 2, 2007 seeking approval of rates effective April 1, 2007 using the Board approved QRAM methodology. The rates approved in EB-2007-0049 would immediately supersede those included, as appendix \(A\), in this rate order.

The draft interim order included the following elements:
- Interim rates designed to recover a 2007 Test Year Revenue Requirement of \(\$ 3,098.557\) million.
- Revenue Adjustment Rate Rider applicable to billed volumes during the period April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007 to recover \(\$ 5.074\) million in revenue. \(\$ 5.074\) million is the amount EGDI would have recovered if the proposed interim rates had been implemented on January 1, 2007.

On March 2, 2007 TransCanada Energy Ltd. submitted a request for explanation and reasons regarding the increase in Rate 125. EGDI provided a response on March 9, 2007.

Under proceeding EB-2007-0049, the April 2007 QRAM application, the Industrial Gas Users Association ("IGUA") submitted their concerns about the rates proposed in that proceeding and indicated their objections in the event that they did not receive a satisfactory explanation for the increase in certain rates. TransAlta Cogeneration L.P. and TransAlta Energy Corp also filed a submission indicating their support of IGUA's position. The QRAM panel referred this and subsequent IGUA and EGDI correspondence to this proceeding for consideration. During Day 15 of the EB-20060034 oral proceeding, IGUA indicated that it no longer objected to the proposed rates.

Upon reviewing the filed materials, the Board finds it appropriate to proceed with an interim rate order, effective January 1, 2007 with implementation beginning April 1, 2007.

A final rate order will be issued by the Board subsequent to the issuance of the Board's 2007 Test Year Decision with Reasons.

The Board notes that the rates in this Order will be immediately superceded by the rates approved in the April 2007 QRAM Decision and Order (EB-2007-0049)

\section*{THE BOARD ORDERS THAT:}
1. The 2007 Settlement Proposal, dated January 24, 2007, attached as Appendix "A" and Supporting Documentation, attached as Appendix "B" to this order, are accepted as the basis for the rates in this order.
2. Rate Rider E, attached as Appendix "C", will apply as a rate adjustment to a consumer's actual consumption for the period April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
3. The rates in the Rate Handbook, attached as Appendix "D" to this interim order, are hereby approved effective January 1, 2007. These rates will be immediately superceded by the rates resulting from the April 2007 QRAM decision.

DATED at Toronto, March 26, 2007
ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Original signed by
Peter H. O'Dell
Assistant Board Secretary
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\section*{ISSUE DESCRIPTION (\& EVIDENTIARY REFERENCE)}

\section*{PREAMBLE}

\section*{OVERVIEW}

\section*{1 RATE BASE (Exhibit B)}
1.1 Are the amounts proposed for the 2007 Rate Base appropriate
1.2 Are the amounts proposed for Capital Expenditures in 2007 appropriate (B1-2-1)
1.3 Is the budget amount proposed in 2007 for Safety and Integrity projects appropriate (B1-3-1)
1.4 How should the Board deal with the Leave to Construct ("LTC") projects included in the 2007 capital budget given that there will be separate Board proceedings for the LTC projects (B1-T3-S1)
1.5 Has the Company met the requirements of the Board's directive from the 2006 rate case to file an independent cost benchmark study for the EnVision project? (B1-6-1)
1.6 What are the appropriate EnVision cost and benefits and how should they be reflected in 2007 rates?
1.7 Is the business case, including the total project amount of \(\$ 133\) million, proposed for the Automatic Meter Reading project ("AMR") justified? (B1-7-1)
1.8 Is the proposed recovery of AMR costs in 2007 rates appropriate?

\section*{2 OPERATING REVENUE (Exhibit C)}
2.1 Is the proposed amount for 2007 Transactional Services revenue appropriate, and is the associated sharing mechanism in accordance with the 2006 decision? (C1-4-1)
2.2 Is the proposed total 2007 Other Revenue Forecast appropriate? (C1-5-1)

\section*{ISSUE DESCRIPTION (\& EVIDENTIARY REFERENCE)}
2.3 Is the forecast of degree days appropriate? (C2-4-1)
2.4 Are the average use-per-customer forecasts for rate class 1 and rate class 6 appropriate? (C1-3-1 and C2-3-1)
2.5 Is the proposed 2007 contract gas volume and revenue forecast appropriate? (C1-3-1)
2.6 Is the proposed 2007 General Service gas volume and revenue forecast appropriate? (C1-3-1)

\section*{3 OPERATING COST (Exhibit D)}
3.1 Is the proposed 2007 gas cost forecast including the calculation of the PGVA Reference Price appropriate? (D1-4-1, D1-4-2)
3.2 Is the overall level of the 2007 Operation and Maintenance Budget appropriate? (D1-2-1)
3.3 Is the Company's proposed fuel switching program appropriate?
3.4 Is the Company's proposed Energy Link Program appropriate?
3.5 Is the budget for Human Resources related costs appropriate? (D1-41)
3.6 Do the revisions to the Regulatory Cost Allocation Methodology (RCAM) meet the Board's directives in the 2006 decision?
3.7 Is the proposed level of corporate cost allocation for 2007 appropriate?
3.8 Is Company's forecast level of Regulatory and OEB related costs for 2007 appropriate?
3.9 Is Enbridge's decision to change to a December 31 taxation year-end, in 2007, appropriate? (D1-5-1)
3.10 Is the continuation of the Risk Management Program appropriate in the context of the Board's 2006 Decision directives? (D1-4-3)
3.11 Is the proposal to change depreciation rates for 2007, as proposed in the depreciation study, and the impact on 2007 customer rates,

\section*{ISSUE DESCRIPTION (\& EVIDENTIARY REFERENCE)}
appropriate? (D1-13-1, D2-2-1)
3.12 Is the proposal for the establishment of 2007 Deferral and Variance Accounts appropriate? (D1-7-1)
3.13 Is the proposal for the disposition of existing Deferral and Variance Accounts appropriate? (D1-7-2)
3.14 Are the amounts proposed to be included in rates for capital and property taxes appropriate?
3.15 Is the amount proposed to be included in rates for income taxes, including the methodology, appropriate?

\section*{4 COST OF CAPITAL (Exhibit E)}
4.1 What is the Return on Equity (ROE) for EGDI for the 2007 test year as calculated pursuant to the ROE Guidelines?
4.2 Are Enbridge's proposed costs for its debt and preference share components of its capital structure appropriate? (E1-2-1)
4.3 Is the proposal to change the equity component of the deemed capital structure from 35\% to 38\% appropriate? (E2-2-1)

\section*{5 COST ALLOCATION (Exhibit G)}
5.1 Is the Applicant's cost allocation appropriate and is it based in its 2006 Board approved methodology? (G2-T1-S1)
5.2 Is the proposal to recover Demand Side Management costs in delivery charges, as opposed to load balancing charges, appropriate? (from G2-3-1 to G2-3-4)

\section*{6 RATE DESIGN (Exhibit H)}
6.1 Is the proposal to introduce delivery demand charges for Rates 100 and 145 reasonable? ( \(\mathrm{H} 1-1-1\) )
6.2 Is the proposal to allocate revenue requirement between the customer classes and annually adjust the monthly customer charges and variable charges to recover the revenue deficiency reasonable? (H1-1-1)

\section*{ISSUE DESCRIPTION (\& EVIDENTIARY REFERENCE)}
6.3 Should the Board approve the contents of the Applicant's Rate Handbook? (H1-1-1, H2-6-1; A1-14-2)
6.4 Is the proposed treatment of bundled transportation charges and Tservice credit appropriate in light of the Board's Decision in RP-20030203 and the settlement agreement? ( \(\mathrm{H} 1-1-1\) )

7 CUSTOMER CARE SUPPORT, CUSTOMER CARE SYSTEM, AND OPEN BILL ACCESS
7.1 Has Enbridge complied with the direction, in the EB-2005-0001 Decision, to file in evidence the following Customer Care Support Cost information: all agreements between Enbridge and CWLP, ECSI or any other El-related entity related to the provision of customer care or CIS; the Program Agreement between CWLP and Accenture, including any amendments or revisions; financial statements for ECSI and CWLP (historical, bridge and test year); the return analyses described in the decision? (D1-12-3)
7.2 What actions or decisions are required by the Board regarding items in the 2006 and 2007 capital budgets which might be duplicated in the upcoming application for a Regulatory Asset Account? (D1-10-1, p. 2/AppA)
7.3 Are the forecast costs of the new CIS system appropriate? (B1-5-1, p. 3)
7.4 What are the appropriate costs for CIS and Customer Care for 2007, including internal and transition costs? (D1-12-1, p. 2 and D3-2-1, p. 1)
7.5 Is the Applicant's proposal of open bill access appropriate and consistent with the Board's direction in RP 2005-0001? (D1-11-1 to 5)

\section*{8 OTHER ISSUES}
8.1 What are the actions or decisions necessary for the Board to be assured that the Board's decisions, including settlements, in the NGEIR (EB-2005-0551) proceeding will be appropriately captured and reflected in this proceeding?
8.2 What are the actions or decisions necessary for the Board to be assured that the Board's decisions, including settlements, in the DSM

\section*{ISSUE DESCRIPTION (\& EVIDENTIARY REFERENCE)}
(EB-2006-0021) proceeding will be appropriately captured and reflected in this proceeding?

\section*{9 RATE IMPLEMENTATION}
9.1 How should the Board deal with any revenue deficiency applicable from January 1, 2007 to the date that the Board's decision is implemented?
9.2 Should the Board set interim rates, effective January 1, 2007, to allow Enbridge to begin to recover its prospective revenue deficiency?

\section*{ATTACHMENTS}

Appendix A- Deferral and Variance Accounts Balances

Appendix B- Approximations of rate impacts of the Settlement Proposal

\section*{PREAMBLE}

This Settlement Proposal is filed with the Ontario Energy Board ("OEB" or "Board") in connection with the application of Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge Gas Distribution" or the "Company"), for an order or orders approving or fixing rates for the sale, distribution, transmission, and storage of gas for its 2007 fiscal year (the "Test Year"). \({ }^{1}\) A Settlement Conference was held between December 11, 2006 and January 5, 2007 in accordance with the Ontario Energy Board Rules of Practice and Procedure (the "Rules") and the Board's Settlement Conference Guidelines ("Settlement Guidelines"). Ken Rosenberg acted as facilitator for the Settlement Conference. Settlement discussions between parties continued after that time. This Settlement Proposal arises from the Settlement Conference and subsequent discussions.

Enbridge Gas Distribution and the following intervenors (collectively, the "parties"), as well as Ontario Energy Board technical staff ("Board Staff"), participated in the Settlement Conference:
```

CONSUMERS COUNCIL OF CANADA (CCC)
DIRECT ENERGY MARKETING LIMITED (Direct Energy)
ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION (Energy Probe)
GREEN ENERGY COALITION (GEC)
HVAC COALITION INC. (HVAC)
INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS ASSOCIATION (IGUA)
ONTARIO ASSOCIATION OF PHYSICAL PLANT ADMINISTRATORS (OAPPA)
ONTARIO ENERGY SAVINGS L.P. (OESLP )
POLLUTION PROBE
SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION (Schools)
SUPERIOR ENERGY MANAGEMENT (a division of Superior Plus Inc.) (Superior)
TRANSALTA COGENERATION L.P. AND TRANSALTA ENERGY CORP. (TransAlta)
TRANSCANADA PIPELINES LIMITED (TransCanada)
UNION ENERGY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (Union Energy)
UNION GAS LIMITED (Union)
VULNERABLE ENERGY CONSUMERS COALITION (VECC)

```

The Settlement Proposal deals with all of the issues listed at Appendix "A" to the Board's Procedural Order \#2, dated October 20, 2006 (the "Issues List"). The numbers ascribed to each of the issues correlate to the section numbers in the Settlement Proposal and each issue falls within one of the following three categories:
1. complete settlement - if the Settlement Proposal is accepted by the Board, the issue will not be addressed at the hearing because Enbridge

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) In this Settlement Proposal, the terms "2007 fiscal year", "fiscal 2007" and "Test Year" each refer to the twelve-month period commencing January 1, 2007 and ending December 31, 2007.
}

Gas Distribution and all other parties who take any position on the issue agree to the proposed settlement;
2. incomplete settlement - if the Settlement Proposal is accepted by the Board, portions of the issue will be addressed at the hearing because parties are only able to agree on some, but not all, aspects of the issue; and,
3. no settlement - the issue will be addressed at the hearing because the parties who participated in the negotiation of the issue are unable to reach a settlement on the issue.

More particularly, the Settlement Proposal depicts the 47 issues enumerated on the Issues List as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Complete Settlement \\
Parties will not address the \\
issue at the hearing
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Incomplete Settlement \\
Parties will address one or \\
more parts of the issue at the \\
hearing
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
No Settlement \\
Parties will address the issue \\
at the hearing
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 25 issues completely settled & 7 issues partly settled & 15 issues not settled \\
Issues 1.1, 1.3 to 1.8, 2.1, \\
\(2.2,3.1,3.5,3.7\) to 3.9, 3.11, & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Issues 1.2, 3.2, 3.12, \\
\(3.14,3.15,4.1,5.1,5.2,6.1, ~\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Issues 2.3 to 2.6, 3.3, 3.4, \\
\(6.4,8.1,8.2\) and 9.2
\end{tabular} \\
& & \begin{tabular}{l} 
to 7.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Issue 3.2, which relates to the Company's O\&M Budget for the Test Year is an incomplete settlement, however, it should be noted that GEC and Pollution Probe object to the settled portions of this issue. Issue 9.1, which relates to rate implementation, is an incomplete settlement, however, it should be noted that Schools objects to the settled portions of this issue.

The description of each issue assumes that all parties participated in the negotiation of the issue, unless specifically noted otherwise. Any parties that are identified as not having participated in the negotiations of the issue also take no position on any settlement or other wording pertaining to the issue. Board Staff participated in the Settlement Conference, and has advised the parties that it does not oppose the proposed settlement on any of the completely settled or partly settled issues. However, in accordance with the Rules and the Settlement Guidelines, Board Staff takes no position on any issue and, as a result, is not a party to the Settlement Proposal.

The Settlement Proposal describes the agreements reached on the completely settled and partially settled issues. The Settlement Proposal identifies the parties who agree and who disagree with each settlement, or alternatively who take no position on the issue. Finally, the Settlement Proposal provides a direct link between each settled issue and the supporting evidence in the record to date. In this regard, the parties who agree with the individual settlements are of the view that the evidence provided is sufficient to support the Settlement Proposal in relation to the settled issues and, moreover, that the quality and detail of the supporting evidence, together with the corresponding rationale, will allow the Board to make findings agreeing with the proposed resolution of the settled issues. In the event that the Board does not accept the proposed settlement of any issue, further evidence may be required on the issue for the Board to consider it fully.

Best efforts have been made to identify all of the evidence that relates to each settled issue. The supporting evidence for each settled issue is identified individually by reference to its exhibit number in an abbreviated format; for example, Exhibit A1, Tab 8, Schedule 1 is referred to as A1-8-1. A concise description of the content of each exhibit is also provided. In this regard, Enbridge Gas Distribution's response to an interrogatory is described by citing the name of the party and the number of the interrogatory (e.g., Board Staff Interrogatory \#1). The identification and listing of the evidence that relates to each settled issue is provided to assist the Board. The identification and listing of the evidence that relates to each settled issue is not intended to limit any party who wishes to assert that other evidence is relevant to a particular settled issue.

The parties agree that all positions, information, documents, negotiations and discussion of any kind whatsoever which took place or were exchanged during the Settlement Conference are strictly confidential and without prejudice, and inadmissible unless relevant to the resolution of any ambiguity that subsequently arises with respect to the interpretation of any provision of this Settlement Proposal.

According to the Settlement Guidelines (p. 3), the parties must consider whether a settlement proposal should include an appropriate adjustment mechanism for any settled issue that may be affected by external factors. Enbridge Gas Distribution and the other parties who participated in the Settlement Conference consider that no settled issue requires an adjustment mechanism other than those expressly set forth herein.

Issues 1.1 to \(1.8,2.1,2.2,3.2,3.5,3.7\) to \(3.9,3.11\) to 3.15 and 9.1 have been settled by parties as a package (the "package"), subject to the objections of GEC, Pollution Probe and Schools, as noted earlier, and none of the parts of this package are severable. All parties agree that, for rate implementation purposes only, the Company can adjust rates to recover an additional \(\$ 26.0\) million, effective as of January 1, 2007, and that this will be implemented at the same time as the Company's April 1, 2007 QRAM is implemented. GEC's and Pollution Probe's agreement in this regard is subject to any later adjustments to the Company's recovery of revenue deficiency that might be required as a result of

Issue 3.2. Schools' agreement in this regard is subject to any later adjustments to the Company's recovery of revenue deficiency that might be required as a result of Issue 9.1. Subject to considering the objections of GEC, Pollution Probe and Schools during the hearing, if the Board does not, prior to the commencement of the hearing of the evidence in EB-2006-0034, accept the package in its entirety, then there is no Settlement Proposal (unless the parties agree that any portion of the package that the Board does accept may continue as part of a valid Settlement Proposal). None of the parties can withdraw from the Settlement Proposal except in accordance with Rule 32 of the Rules. Finally, unless stated otherwise, the settlement of any particular issue in this proceeding is without prejudice to the rights of parties to raise the same issue in any future proceeding.

\section*{OVERVIEW}

In order to address certain issues that have continued to be the subject of debate and discussion over a number of years, and in order to satisfy Board directions from the Decision with Reasons in the EB-2005-0001 case (the 2006 rate case), during the past year the Company has entered into a number of consultative processes with stakeholders. These consultatives were convened in respect of EnVision (issues 1.5 and 1.6), Corporate Cost Allocation (issues 3.6 and 3.7 ), customer care and CIS (issues 3.2 and 7.1 to 7.4 ) and open bill access (issue 7.5). These consultative processes have contributed greatly to the ability of all parties to come to settlements on many of these issues, as set out below. Several of the consultative processes are ongoing and may lead to settlement of additional issues. If additional issues are partly or completely settled, parties propose to file a supplementary settlement agreement that would explain the settlements, and the incremental financial impacts of such settlements.

Parties have been able to agree upon the package, which includes settlement of many of the issues raised in this proceeding. While some issues remain outstanding and unresolved, the impact of this Settlement Proposal, if accepted, is that the scope and length of the proceeding will be substantially reduced.

The Company's Application sought recovery of a revenue deficiency of \(\$ 167.8\) million. This figure was updated to \(\$ 158.7\) million in Impact Statement No. 1, to account for, among other things, the ROE for the Test Year of 8.39\%.

Parties have agreed upon the settlement package of issues that, if accepted, would reduce the revenue deficiency by \(\$ 76.7\) million. This would result in a remaining revenue deficiency of \(\$ 82.0\) million.

The implementation of the settlement package of issues will result in a revenue deficiency of \(\$ 29.9\) million, based on the Company's filing which expresses the revenue deficiency
and contribute to those rates including, for example, the agreed-upon level of degree days for F2006.

The issues that are not settled by the Settlement Proposal represent an additional revenue deficiency amount of \(\$ 52.1\) million, based on the Company's filing, which will require determination by the Board in the hearing. Based on positions that may be taken by parties in the hearing, the potential outcomes arising from the determination of these unsettled issues by the Board range from an incremental revenue sufficiency of approximately \(\$ 5\) million to an incremental revenue deficiency of \(\$ 52.1\) million.

Some intervenors assert that, if they are successful on outstanding issues (in particular issues related to Issue 2.2 regarding degree days), then there could be a revenue sufficiency in respect of those issues. Parties are able to agree, however, that for rate implementation purposes only, the Company can adjust rates to recover an additional \(\$ 26.0\) million, effective as of January 1, 2007, and that this will be implemented at the same time as the Company's April 1, 2007 QRAM is implemented. This amount of \$26.0 million will be subtracted from the total revenue deficiency resulting from the Board's final decision in this proceeding (which will include all impacts of this Settlement Proposal). The resulting revenue deficiency (or sufficiency) will be reflected and recovered in rates by the Company, subject to the outcome of Issue 9.1.

When implemented, the recovery of an additional \(\$ 26.0\) million will result in average increases, on an annual basis, of approximately 2\% for Rate 1 customers, 1\% for Rate 6 customers and between 0\% and 2\% increases for other rate classes. These average rate increases are relative to the July 1, 2006 QRAM rate and are calculated for a T-service customer, excluding commodity costs, and do not include impacts from the phase-in of cost allocation changes on October 1, 2006 and October 1, 2007. When these rate impacts are compared to the January 1, 2007 QRAM rate, the results are virtually identical as shown in Appendix B. The phase-in of cost allocation changes on October 1, 2007 will reduce the amounts recovered from Rate 1 and Rate 6 by approximately \(\$ 5.01\) million and \(\$ 4.8\) million respectively, and increase the amounts recovered from Rate 115, Rate 135 and Rate 170 by about \(\$ 5.97\) million, \(\$ 0.6\) million and \(\$ 3.2\) million respectively, as shown in Appendix B. The determination by the Board of the issues that are not settled will have additional rate impacts.

Attached as Appendix \(B\) is an approximation of the annual T-service rate increases that would result from the recovery of additional amounts of \(\$ 26.0\) million (the immediate additional amount to be recovered if the Settlement Proposal is accepted) and \$82.0 million (the maximum recoverable revenue deficiency if the Settlement Proposal is accepted and the Board decides the unsettled issues by adopting the Company's position on these issues). These approximations do not take account of the clearance of deferral and variance accounts, the phase-in of cost allocation changes or any allocation changes that might result from the resolution of Issue 6.2. These average annual T-service rate impact estimates are not indicative of the percentage T -service rate increase that will
occur on April 1, 2007, compared to T-service rates in force on March 31, 2007. Tservice rate increases effective April 1, 2007 will include the rate increase associated with the nine month Rate Rider described in Issue 9.1. The Company believes, based on the analysis that it has undertaken, that these approximations of average annual T-service rate impacts, which are expressed relative to the July 1, 2006 QRAM rates and the January 1, 2007 QRAM rates, and are calculated for a T-service customer excluding commodity costs, are correct within \(+/-0.5 \%\).

\section*{1 RATE BASE (Exhibit B)}

\subsection*{1.1 Are the amounts proposed for the 2007 Rate Base appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties have reached a global settlement of all 2007 Rate Base issues, except for issues related to the capital budget for the new CIS system. Issues related to the new CIS system are discussed below at Issues 7.2 to 7.4. The capital spending for the new CIS system will have no rate base impact in 2007. Parties agree that the Company will reduce the revenue deficiency associated with 2007 Rate Base issues by a total of \(\$ 8\) million, as compared to the Company's filed evidence. This will result in a 2007 capital budget of approximately \(\$ 300\) million, plus the cost of the Portlands Energy Centre Leave to Construct project, which is estimated at \(\$ 18\) million during the Test Year. The Portlands Energy Centre project, if approved in the leave to construct application, will not affect rates for the Test Year. Parties believe that the Board's consideration of the Portlands Energy Centre in the leave to construct application should be consistent with the principles set out under Issue 1.4 below.

Parties agree that the 2007 capital budget is an envelope amount, and the Company will have discretion to determine which items will be removed or changed from the Company's filed capital budget in order to reduce the overall level of that budget. Notwithstanding this discretion, the Company agrees that it will not proceed with the Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) project. Intervenors do not necessarily accept, and presently take no position on, the Company's decisions as to how it will allocate and spend the 2007 capital budget. Parties agree that, assuming the incentive regulation rate setting process allows for it, a normal review of the Company's capital spending in the Test Year may be undertaken as part of the rate setting process for 2008. The issue of capital spending on the EnergyLink program, included in Issue 3.4, is not settled, but the Board's decision on that issue will not affect the overall capital budget for the Test Year, only the Company's ability to allocate funds to EnergyLink within that budget. Parties accept the Company's opening rate base for 2007.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Filed: January 24, 2007
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Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
B1-1-1 & Utility Rate Base \\
B1-1-2 & Utility Rate Base Year to Year Summary \\
B1-2-1 & Rate Base Capital Budget \\
B3-1-1 & Ontario Utility Rate Base - Comparison of 2007 Test Year to 2006 Bridge Year \\
B3-1-2 & Property, Plant and Equipment Summary Statement - Average of Monthly Averages 2007 Test \\
& Year \\
B3-1-3 & Working Capital Summary of Average of Monthly Averages 2007 Test Year \\
B3-2-1 & Utility Capital Expenditures Comparison Budget 2007 and Estimated 2006 \\
B3-2-2 & 2007 Capital Expenditures by Project (Projects Exceeding \$500,000) \\
B3-2-3 & Gross Customer Additions and Average Cost per Customer Addition Budget 2007 and Estimated \\
& 2006 \\
B3-2-4 & System Expansion Portfolio - 2007 \\
F3-1-3 & Utility Rate Base 2007 Test Year \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-1\) to 3 & Board Staff Interrogatories 1 to 3 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-9-4\) and 7 & IGUA Interrogatories 4 and 7 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-1\) to 3 & SEC Interrogatories 1 to 3 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-5\) to 7 & VECC Interrogatories 5 to 7 \\
L-9-1 & Evidence of IGUA \\
M1-1-1 & Impact Statement \#1
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{1.2 Are the amounts proposed for Capital Expenditures in 2007 appropriate?}
(Incomplete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle aspects of this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
See Issue 1.1.
Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of aspects of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
B1-2-1 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Rate Base Capital Budget \\
B1-2-2 \\
\\
Details of Capital Expenditure and Justification for Major Capital Projects over \\
B1-3-1
\end{tabular} \\
S100,000 \\
B1-3-2 & Safety \& Integrity Initiatives \\
B1-4-1 & Leave to Construct Projects \\
B1-5-1 & Information Technology Capital Budget \\
B1-6-1 & CIS Project \\
B1-7-1 & EnVision Project \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-4\) to 6 & Automated Meter Reading (AMR) \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-1\) to 4 & Board Staff Interrogatories 4 to 6 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-9-2\) and 5 to 6 & CCC Interrogatories 1 to 4 \\
\(I\) IGUA Interrogatories 2 and 5 to 6
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{1.3 Is the budget amount proposed in 2007 for Safety \& Integrity projects appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
See Issue 1.1. The Company will determine the 2007 capital expenditures budget for Safety and Integrity projects within the envelope set out under Issue 1.1.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
```

B1-3-1 Safety \& Integrity Initiatives
I-1-7 Board Staff Interrogatory 7
I-2-5 to 7 CCC Interrogatories 5 to 7
I-9-8 IGUA Interrogatory 8
I-16-11 to 12 SEC Interrogatories 11 to 12
I-24-13 VEC Interrogatory 13

```
1.4 How should the Board deal with the Leave to Construct ("LTC") projects included in the 2007 capital budget given that there will be separate Board Proceedings for the LTC projects?

\section*{(Complete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties are of the view that the Board's decisions determining the appropriate total amount of capital spending by the Company in any test period are most suitably made in a rate application. In general, parties agree that the Board's decision with respect to overall capital spending does not imply specific approval of any individual leave to construct projects ("LTC Projects"), nor a decision as to the economic feasibility of any individual LTC Project. Similarly, parties agree that, generally, a decision with respect to the economic feasibility of an individual LTC

Project does not, in and of itself, imply that it is appropriate to include capital spending pertaining to that LTC Project in the capital budget for a test year used by the Board to establish rates.

In the context of the foregoing, the parties agree that the Board should deal with LTC Projects included in any test year capital budget as follows:
1. The total capital expenditures budget for a particular test year, to be considered and approved in a rate application, should include some evidence on individual LTC Projects planned for that year. However, the Board should not be asked to approve individual LTC Projects in a rate case. In a rate case, evidence with respect to individual LTC Projects need not be as extensive as the evidence required to support a LTC Application.
2. The economic feasibility of an individual project is considered in a leave to construct application. A LTC Application should not result in any adjustment to the Company's capital expenditures budget aside from exceptional circumstances, and in those cases the Board should consider and make the adjustment expressly.
3. A LTC Application can be heard by the Board prior to its consideration of the capital budget consequences of the LTC Project in a rates proceeding. In the event the Board approves a LTC Application, it will not be necessary to examine the justification for the LTC Project in a subsequent rate proceeding although the issue of the appropriate size of the overall capital budget would remain in issue in that hearing, and the leave to construct approval could inform that decision.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
```

B1-3-2
l-1-8 to 9
I-2-8
I-9-9
I-16-13 to 14
I-19-4

```

Leave to Construct Projects
Board Staff Interrogatories 8 to 9
CCC Interrogatory 8
IGUA Interrogatory 9
SEC Interrogatories 13 to 14
TransAIta Interrogatory 4

\subsection*{1.5 Has the Company met the requirements of the Board's directive from the 2006 rate case to file an independent cost benchmark study for the EnVision project?}

\section*{(Complete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties agree that the Company has met the requirements of the Board's directive from the EB-2005-0001 Decision with Reasons by filing an independent cost benchmark study for the EnVision project.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
B2-2-1
Compass Report - Envision Cost Benchmark Analysis
B1-6-1
EnVision Project

\subsection*{1.6 What are the appropriate EnVision cost and benefits and how should they be reflected in 2007 rates?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties agree that Compass carried out an appropriate cost benchmark study of the EnVision Project. Parties differ on how that benchmark should be applied in determining the costs and benefits associated with EnVision that should be reflected in rates. In order to resolve the EnVision issues in this proceeding, the Company has agreed to reduce the revenue requirement by \(\$ 500,000\) through a reduction in the 2007 Other O\&M budget. This reduction is reflected and included in the \(\$ 181.5\) million total Other O\&M budget agreed to below at Issue 3.2. The Company will continue to report annually to stakeholders on the achievement of EnVision benefits in the form and the manner set out in Tables 1 and 2 in Exhibit B1/T6/S1/pp 8-9. Parties agree that unless there is a change in the overall NPV of the EnVision project, there will be no need to revisit the EnVision project in future regulatory proceedings.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
```

B2-2-1 Compass Report - Envision Cost Benchmark Analysis
B1-6-1 EnVision Project
1-2-9 to 17 CCC Interrogatories 9 to 17
1-16-15 SEC Interrogatory }1

```

\subsection*{1.7 Is the business case, including the total project amount of \(\$ 133\) million,} proposed for the Automatic Meter Reading project ("AMR") justified?
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
As part of the global settlement of 2007 rate base issues, the Company agrees not to proceed with the AMR project.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

B1-7-1
l-1-10 to 13
I-2-18 to 22
I-9-11
I-16-16
I-24-14

Automated Meter Reading (AMR)
Board Staff Interrogatories 10 to 13
CCC Interrogatories 18 to 22
IGUA Interrogatory 11
SEC Interrogatory 16
VECC Interrogatory 14

\subsection*{1.8 Is the proposed recovery of AMR costs in 2007 rates appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
As part of the global settlement of 2007 rate base issues, the Company agrees not to proceed with the AMR project. As a result, this issue is no longer relevant.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

B1-7-1 Automated Meter Reading (AMR)
1-24-15 to 16
VECC Interrogatories 15 to 16

\section*{2 OPERATING REVENUE (Exhibit C)}

\subsection*{2.1 Is the proposed amount for 2007 Transactional Services revenue appropriate, and is the associated sharing mechanism in accordance with the 2006 decision?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties agree that the Company will share net transactional services revenues with ratepayers on a 75:25 basis in favour of ratepayers for transportation-related transactional services and on a 90:10 basis in favour of ratepayers for storagerelated transactional services. The Company agrees to credit \(\$ 8\) million in transactional services revenue to ratepayers, to be credited to the revenue requirement for the purpose of setting rates for the Test Year. This credit will not be allocated as between transportation and storage transactional services. The 2007 Transactional Services Deferral Account will include the total of the ratepayers' shares of the net transactional services revenue for transportationrelated and for storage-related transactional services, less the \(\$ 8\) million credit and the O\&M costs associated with storage-related transactional services (estimated at \(\$ .1\) million in the Company's updated evidence at Ex. C1-4-2). For greater certainty, if the result of these calculations is that the year-end balance in the 2007

Transactional Services Deferral Account would be less than zero, the balance shall be deemed to be zero.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
C1-4-1 & Transactional Services Revenue \\
C1-4-2 & Transactional Services - Supplementary Evidence \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-14\) to 15 & Board Staff Interrogatories 14 to 15 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-23\) & CCC Interrogatory 23 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-9-13\) & IGUA Interrogatory 13 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-17\) & SEC Interrogatory 17 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-17\) to 18 & VECC Interrogatory 17 to 18 \\
\(\mathrm{M} 1-1-1\) & Impact Statement \#1
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{2.2 Is the proposed total 2007 Other Revenue Forecast appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties agree to increase the forecast for Other Operating Revenue for the Test Year from \(\$ 23.7\) million to \(\$ 28.9\) million, inclusive of the \(\$ 3.5\) million incremental impact of the resolution of the Transactional Services issue (described above at Issue 2.1), an increase of \(\$ 1.0\) million from the forecast of Other Service Revenues in the Company's evidence and the imputation of revenue of \(\$ 700,000\) for the Natural Gas Vehicles (NGV) program for the Test Year (in order to reflect the revenue deficiency of the NGV program).

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
C1-5-1 & Other Service and Late Payment Penalty Revenues \\
C3-5-1 & Rate of Return on Capital Employed in the Natural Gas Vehicles Program
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{I}-1-16\) & Board Staff Interrogatory 16 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-24\) to 25 & CCC Interrogatories 24 and 25 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-18\) & SEC Interrogatory 18 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-19\) to 22 & VECC Interrogatories 19 to 22 \\
\(\mathrm{M} 1-1-1\) & Impact Statement No. 1 \\
\(\mathrm{M} 1-2-5\) & Change in Revenue Requirement
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{2.3 Is the forecast of degree days appropriate?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.
Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{C} 2-4-1\) & Budget Degree Days \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-17\) & Board Staff Interrogatory 17 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-9-3\) and 14 & IGUA Interrogatories 3 and 14 \\
\(1-5-1\) to 12 & Energy Probe Interrogatories 1 to 12 \\
\(1-16-19\) to 20 & SEC Interrogatories 19 to 20 \\
\(\mathrm{~L}-9-1\) & Evidence of IGUA
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{2.4 Are the average use-per-customer forecasts for rate class 1 and rate class 6 appropriate?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.
Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
C1-3-1 & Volume Budget \\
C2-3-1 & Average Rate Use 1 \\
C2-3-2 & Average Use Rate 6 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-18\) & Board Staff Interrogatory 18 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-26\) to 28 & CCC Interrogatories 26 to 28 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-21\) to 23 & SEC Interrogatories 21 to 23 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-22\) to 25 & VECC Interrogatories 22 to 25
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{2.5 Is the proposed 2007 contract gas volume and revenue forecast appropriate?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.
Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

\subsection*{2.6 Is the proposed 2007 General Service gas volume and revenue forecast appropriate?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.
Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
C1-3-1 & Volume Budget \\
C1-1-1 & Operating Revenue Summary \\
C1-2-1 & Revenue Forecast \\
C3-1-1 & Utility Operating Revenue 2007 Test Year \\
C3-1-2 & Comparison of Utility Operating Revenue Budget 2007 and Estimate 2006 \\
I-1-20 & Board Staff Interrogatory 20 \\
\(1-24-23\) to 25 & VECC Interrogatories 23 to 25
\end{tabular}

\section*{3 OPERATING COST (Exhibit D)}

\subsection*{3.1 Is the proposed 2007 gas cost forecast including the calculation of the PGVA Reference Price appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue as follows:
Parties accept the Company's forecast of the cost consequences of the gas supply portfolio for the Test Year.

The Company agrees with certain parties that, when the issues list for the Natural Gas Forum proceeding about QRAM methodology is discussed, the Company will support the inclusion of an issue regarding the detailed calculation of the PGVA Reference Price.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

D1-4-1
D1-4-2
D3-3-1
D3-3-2
D3-3-3
D3-3-4
D3-3-5
I-1-21
I-2-29
I-5-16 to 17
I-9-16
I-18-6
I-21-1 to 9
I-24-26

Cost of Gas, Transportation and Storage
Status of Contracts
Summary of Gas Cost to Operations
Summary of Gas Storage and Transportation Costs Fiscal 2007
Canadian Peak Day Supply Mix
Monthly Pricing Information
Gas Supply/Demand
Board Staff Interrogatory 21
CCC Interrogatory 29
Energy Probe Interrogatory 16 to 17
IGUA Interrogatory 16
Superior Interrogatory 6
TransCanada Interrogatories 1 to 9
VECC Interrogatory 26

\subsection*{3.2 Is the overall level of the 2007 Operation and Maintenance Budget appropriate?}

\section*{(Incomplete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle aspects of this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
The Company's overall Operations and Maintenance (O\&M) budget, as filed in Impact Statement No. 1, for the Test Year totalled \(\$ 365.8\) million and can be divided into a number of categories: (i) customer care expenses (including CIS, internal costs and provision for uncollectibles) - filed as \(\$ 120.1\) million; (ii) corporate cost allocations - filed as \(\$ 22.9\) million; (iii) demand side management (DSM) programs - filed as \(\$ 22.0\) million; and (iv) Other O\&M - filed as \(\$ 200.8\) million. The Company has also included transition costs of \(\$ 10\) million related to customer care as a separate line item in its filing.

Issues related the Company's customer care O\&M budget (including the transition costs) are discussed below at Issues 7.1 to 7.4 . Parties, except for GEC and Pollution Probe, agree on the balance of the Company's O\&M budget for the Test Year.

Parties acknowledge that the Company's O\&M DSM budget for the Test Year shall be \(\$ 22.0\) million, as set out in the Board's Decision with Reasons in EB-2006-0021 (the DSM generic hearing).

Parties agree that the Company's O\&M budget for corporate cost allocations for the Test Year shall be \(\$ 18.1\) million. Parties agree to the overall level of this budget, but there is no specific agreement as to the amounts of each of the
individual allocations. The issues about the corporate cost allocation methodology set out in Issue 3.6 remain unsettled.

Parties, except for GEC and Pollution Probe, agree that the Company's Other O\&M budget for the Test Year, filed as \(\$ 200.8\) million, shall be reduced by \(\$ 19.3\) million to \(\$ 181.5\) million. Subject to the comments below, parties agree that the amount of the Other O\&M budget is an envelope amount and the Company will have discretion to determine which items will be removed or changed from the Company's Other O\&M budget as filed in order to reduce the overall level of that budget. Intervenors do not necessarily accept, and presently take no position on, the Company's decisions as to how it will allocate and spend the 2007 Other O\&M budget.

Notwithstanding the agreement on the overall level of the Company's Other O\&M budget for the Test Year, parties agree that certain components of the Company's Opportunity Development planned activities for the Test Year, specifically marketing activities, fuel switching and EnergyLink, will be examined before the Board. Parties, except for GEC and Pollution Probe, agree that the examination of those sub-issues before the Board will not impact on the \(\$ 181.5\) million agreedupon level of the Other O\&M budget for the Test Year. Subject to the exception set out below, parties other than GEC and Pollution Probe agree that they will not take any position in this proceeding on how the Company ought to allocate the agreed-upon \(\$ 181.5\) million Other O\&M budget. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that the Board determines that the Company may not proceed with EnergyLink, it is understood that Schools and/or HVAC may advance arguments about how the Company ought to spend the O\&M amounts totaling \(\$ 1.3\) million (Ex. I-26-4) that were otherwise budgeted for EnergyLink. Notwithstanding the foregoing, it is also understood that VECC may advance arguments that the Company ought to allocate funds as budgeted of \(\$ 925,000\) to low income fuel switching (Ex. 1-24-29). Additionally, the Company agrees that from and after the date of the Board's decision in this proceeding, it will not allocate any portion of the agreed-upon \(\$ 181.5\) million Other O\&M budget to any specific marketing, fuel switching or EnergyLink activities that the Board specifically states the Company should not be undertaking.

GEC and Pollution Probe do not agree to the \(\$ 181.5\) million Other O\&M budget. GEC and Pollution Probe wish to examine the Company's Opportunity Development (OD) O\&M budget separately and do not agree to the overall level of \(\$ 181.5\) million for the Other O\&M budget. No other parties, including the Company, will support or argue for any change (increase or decrease) to the agreed-upon Other O\&M budget of \(\$ 181.5\) million.
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Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, OAPPA, OESLP, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of aspects of this issue except Pollution Probe and GEC.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
D1-1-1 & Operating Cost Summary \\
D1-2-1 & Operating, Maintenance and Other Costs \\
D2-1-1 & Corporate Cost Allocation \\
D3-1-1 & Operating Cost 2007 Test Year \\
D3-2-1 & Operating Cost Comparison of Utility Cost and Expenses Budget 2007 and Estimate \\
& 2006 \\
D3-2-2 & Operating and Maintenance Expense by Department \\
D3-2-3 & Operating and Maintenance Expense by Cost Type \\
I-1-22 to 24 & Board Staff Interrogatories 22 to 24 \\
I-2-30 to 35 & CCC Interrogatories 30 to 35 \\
I-9-2, 4 and 15 & IGUA Interrogatories 2,4 and 15 \\
I-15-1 to 4 & Pollution Probe Interrogatories 1 to 4 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-24\) to 29 & SEC Interrogatories 24 to 29 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-27\) to 28 & VECC Interrogatories 27 to 28 \\
L-9-1 & Evidence of IGUA \\
\(\mathrm{M} 1-1-1\) & Impact Statement \#1
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.3 Is the Company's proposed fuel switching program appropriate?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.
Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{D} 1-8-1\) & Opportunity Development - Market Development \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-25\) & Board Staff Interrogatory 25 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-36\) to 39 & CCC Interrogatories 36 to 39 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-7-1\) & GEC Interrogatory 1 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-22-6\) & Union Energy Interrogatory 6 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-29\) & VECC Interrogatory 29 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-26-1\) to 3 & HVAC Interrogatory 1 to 3
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.4 Is the Company's proposed Energy Link program appropriate?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{D} 1-1-1\) & Operating Cost Summary \\
\(\mathrm{I}-22-6\) & Union Energy Interrogatory 6 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-30\) & VECC Interrogatory 30 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-26-4\) to 10 & HVAC Interrogatories 4 to 10 \\
\(\mathrm{~L}-22-1\) & Evidence of Union Energy \\
\(\mathrm{L}-26-1\) & Evidence of HVAC \\
\(\mathrm{I}-27-36\) to 46 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of Union Energy 36 to 46 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-30-1\) to 21 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of HVAC 1 to 21
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.5 Is the budget for Human Resources related costs appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue as part of the package, as follows:
Parties agree that any Human Resources related costs determined by the Company to be appropriate in the Test Year will be included as part of the agreedupon \(\$ 181.5\) million Other O\&M budget.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
D1-2-1 & Operating Costs and Maintenance and Other Costs \\
D1-2-2 & Employee Expenses and Workforce Demographics \\
D3-2-4 & Salaries and Wages and FTE Forecast 2007 Test Year \\
I-1-26 & Board Staff Interrogatory 26 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-40\) to 43 & CCC Interrogatories 40 to 43 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-30\) to 37 & SEC Interrogatories 30 to 37 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-31\) to 33 & VECC Interrogatories 31 to 33
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.6 Do the revisions to the Regulatory Cost Allocation Methodology (RCAM) meet the Board's directives in the 2006 decision?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.

The issue of whether the revisions to RCAM meet the Board's directives from the 2006 decision has been a subject of the corporate cost allocation consultative. At this time, the final report from the consultant retained on behalf of the consultative has not been filed. As a result, no settlement can be reached on this issue at this time.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
D2-1-1 & Corporate Cost Allocation \\
G1-1-1 & Corporate Cost Allocation Methodology \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-38\) to 39 & SEC Interrogatories 38 to 39
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.7 Is the proposed level of corporate cost allocation for 2007 appropriate?}

\section*{(Complete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties agree that the Company's O\&M budget for corporate cost allocations for the Test Year shall be \(\$ 18.1\) million. Parties agree to the overall level of this budget, but there is no specific agreement as to the amounts of each of the individual allocations.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
D1-2-1 & Operating Maintenance and Other Costs \\
D2-1-1 & Corporate Cost Allocation \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-27\) to 28 & Board Staff Interrogatories 27 to 28 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-9-1\) & IGUA Interrogatory 1 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-34\) to 37 & VECC Interrogatories 34 to 37
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.8 Is Company's forecast level of Regulatory and OEB related costs for 2007 appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)

There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties agree that the Company's Regulatory and OEB related costs will be included as part of the agreed-upon Other O\&M budget and that variances from the budget for 2007 rate proceeding related expenses will be recorded in the 2007 Ontario Hearings Costs Variance Account for consideration and disposition in a future proceeding.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
D1-2-1 & Operating Maintenance and Other Costs \\
D1-9-1 & Regulatory Costs \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-29\) to 30 & Board Staff Interrogatories 29 to 30 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-44\) & CCC Interrogatory 44 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-40\) & SEC Interrogatory 40
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.9 Is Enbridge's decision to change to a December 31 taxation year-end , in 2007, appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Intervenors have relied on the Company's evidence that the change of taxation year-end for the Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. corporate entity has no impact on the Company's 2007 cost of service. In conjunction with the agreement with respect to Issue 3.15, intervenors accept the Company's evidence in this regard.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{I}-1-31\) to 34 & Board Staff Interrogatories 31 to 34 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-41\) & SEC Interrogatory 41
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.10 Is the continuation of the Risk Management Program appropriate in the context of the Board's 2006 Decision directives?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.
Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{D} 1-4-3\) & Gas Supply Risk Management \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-35\) to 36 & Board Staff Interrogatories 35 to 36 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-45\) & CCC Interrogatory 45 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-5-18\) to 27 & Energy Probe Interrogatories 18 to 27 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-18-7\) & Superior Interrogatory 7 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-38\) to 39 & VECC Interrogatories 38 to 39 \\
\(\mathrm{~L}-5-1\) & Evidence of Energy Probe \\
\(\mathrm{I}-36-1\) to 6 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of Energy Probe 1 to 6
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.11 Is the proposal to change depreciation rates for 2007, as proposed in the depreciation study, and the impact on 2007 customer rates, appropriate?}

\section*{(Complete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
The Company agrees not to proceed with its request to change depreciation rates for 2007. Intervenors agree not to challenge the Company's existing depreciation rates for 2007. Notwithstanding this agreement, parties may examine the existing level of the Company's depreciation rates in the context of discussing and examining other outstanding issues in this proceeding.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { D1-13-1 } & \text { Depreciation Rate Change } \\ \text { D2-2-1 } & \text { Depreciation Study }\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{I}-1-37\) to 46 & Board Staff Interrogatories 37 to 46 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-5-13\) to 14 & Energy Probe Interrogatories 13 to 14 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-9-18\) & IGUA Interrogatory 18 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-42\) to 41 & SEC Interrogatories 42 to 43 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-39.1\) to 39.3 & VECC Interrogatories 39.1 to 39.3 \\
\(\mathrm{~L}-9-1\) & Evidence of IGUA
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.12 Is the proposal for the establishment of 2007 Deferral and Variance Accounts appropriate?}

\section*{(Incomplete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle aspects of this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
The Company's proposal to establish the following deferral and variance accounts for the Test Year is accepted by the parties for the reasons set out in the Company's evidence:

2007 Purchased Gas Variance Account ("2007 PGVA")
2007 Transactional Services Deferral Account ("2007 TSDA")
2007 Unaccounted for Gas Variance Account ("2007 UAFVA")
2007 Union Gas Deferral Account ("2007 UGDA")
2007 Class Action Suit Deferral Account ("2007 CASDA")
2007 Debt Redemption Deferral Account ("2007 DRDA")
2007 Deferred Rebate Account ("2007 DRA")
2007 Gas Distribution Access Rule Costs Deferral Account ("2007 GDACRDA")
2007 Manufactured Gas Plant Deferral Account ("2007 MGPDA")
2007 Ontario Hearing Costs Variance Account ("2007 OHCVA")
2007 Electric Program Earnings Sharing Deferral Account ("2007 EPESDA")
2007 Unbundled Rate Implementation Cost Deferral Account ("2007 URICDA")
2007 Unbundled Rates Customer Migration Deferral Account ("2007 URCMDA")
2007 Demand-Side Management Variance Account ("2007 DSMVA")
2007 Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism ("2007 LRAM")
2007 Shared Savings Mechanism Variance Account ("2007 SSMVA")
2007 Income Tax Rate Change Variance Account ("2007 ITRCVA")
There is no agreement to the establishment of the following deferral and variance accounts, as those accounts are being dealt with as part of the customer care/CIS consultative process and through Issues 7.2 to 7.4 :

2007 Customer Information System Procurement Deferral Account ("2007 CISPDA")
2007 Customer Care Procurement Deferral Account ("2007 CCPDA")
2007 Customer Care Supplier Transition Variance Account ("2007 CCSTVA")
There is no agreement to the establishment of the following deferral account, as it is being dealt with as part of the open bill consultative process and through Issue 7.5:

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
D1-7-1 & Deferral and Variance Accounts \\
D1-7-3 & Deferral and Variance Account Balances \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-47\) & Board Staff Interrogatory 47 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-46\) to 48 & CCC Interrogatories 46 to 48 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-7-2\) & GEC Interrogatory 2
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.13 Is the proposal for the disposition of existing Deferral and Variance Accounts appropriate?}
(Incomplete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle aspects of this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Enbridge Gas Distribution filed a summary of the actual deferral account and variance account balances for F2006 (D1-7-3); the summary is reproduced in Appendix A. The result of clearing certain of these accounts is that Enbridge Gas Distribution will credit customers \(\$ 23.258 .7\) million in principal plus interest, based upon the December 31, 2006 balances, for F2006.

The balances recorded in the following deferral and variance accounts established for F2006, and the proposed clearance of such balances at the same time as the final rate order in this proceeding is implemented, are accepted by the other parties for the reasons given in the supporting evidence:

\section*{Non Commodity Related Accounts}

2004 Demand-Side Management Variance Account ("2004 DSMVA")
2004 Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism ("2004 LRAM")
2004 Shared Savings Mechanism Variance Account ("2004 SSMVA")
2006 Deferred Rebate Account ("2006 DRA")
2006 Debt Redemption Deferral Account ("2006 DRDA")
2006 Ontario Hearing Costs Variance Account ("2006 OHCVA")
Commodity Related Accounts
2006 Unaccounted for Gas Variance Account ("2006 UAFVA")
2006 Transactional Services Deferral Account ("2006 TSDA")

Enbridge Gas Distribution does not seek to clear, in the Test Year, the balances recorded in the following deferral and variance accounts. Parties agree that the following previously-approved deferral and variance accounts are continued and the clearance of these accounts will be addressed by the Board in the future.
```

Non Commodity Related Accounts
2 0 0 6 Demand-Side Management Variance Account ("2006 DSMVA")
2 0 0 5 Demand-Side Management Variance Account ("2005 DSMVA")
2006 Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism ("2006 LRAM")
2005 Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism ("2005 LRAM")
2006 Shared Savings Mechanism Variance Account ("2006 SSMVA")
2005 Shared Savings Mechanism Variance Account ("2005 SSMVA")
2 0 0 6 Manufactured Gas Plant Deferral Account ("2006 MGPDA")
2006 Corporate Cost Allocation Deferral Account ("2006 CCAMDA")
2006 Class Action Suit Deferral Account ("2006 CASDA")
Commodity Related Account
2006 Purchased Gas Variance Account ("2006 PGVA")

```

While Enbridge Gas Distribution seeks to clear the balances recorded in the following deferral and variance accounts in the Test Year, there is no agreement as to whether this is appropriate and these accounts will be addressed at the hearing:

2006 Gas Distribution Access Rule Costs Deferral Account ("2006 GDARCDA")
2005 Gas Distribution Access Rule Costs Deferral Account ("2005 GDARCDA")
2006 Alliance Vector Appeal Costs Deferral Account ("2006 AVACDA")
2006 Gas Supply Risk Management Program Deferral Account ("2006 GSRMPDA")
2006 Electric Program Earnings Sharing Deferral Account ("2006 EPESDA")
2006 Unbundled Rate Implementation Cost Deferral Account ("2006 URICDA")

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of aspects of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
D1-7-1 Deferral and Variance Accounts
D1-7-2 Proposed Clearing of the 2006 Deferral Accounts
D1-7-3 Deferral and Variance Account Balances
A1-13-1 Status of Board Directives from Previous Board Decisions and/or Orders
A3-3-1 Financial Statements - Enbridge Gas Distribution Historical 2005 Year
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
A3-4-1 & Annual Report (Actual) and Management Discussion and Analysis (MD\&A) \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-49\) & CCC Interrogatory 49 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-44\) to 45 & SEC Interrogatories 44 to 45 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-40\) & VECC Interrogatory 40
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.14 Are the amounts proposed to be included in rates for capital and property taxes appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
The Company agrees to a \(\$ 1.3\) million reduction in its forecast of municipal property and other taxes for the Test Year.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
D3-1-1 & Operating Cost 2007 Test Year \\
I-9-3 & IGUA Interrogatory 3 \\
I-2-50 & CCC Interrogatory 50
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{3.15 Is the amount proposed to be included in rates for income taxes, including} the methodology, appropriate?
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties accept the Company's methodology for income taxes, and the amount to be included in rates for income taxes, for the purpose of setting rates for the Test Year, without prejudice to the ability of any party to raise issues with respect to the methodology and its resulting calculations, including but not limited to which inclusions and deductions are appropriate, in future rate proceedings. The Company agrees to create a 2007 Income Tax Rate Change Variance Account to capture the impact of any corporate income tax rate changes against Fiscal 2007 Board Approved taxable income (versus the Company's forecast of corporate
income tax rates) that occur in 2007 as a result of Provincial and Federal government budgets that are passed in the Test Year.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
```

A3-2-1 Financial Statements - Utility Proforma Statements for Bridge and Test Year
A3-3-1 Financial Statements - Enbridge Gas Distribution Historical 2005 Year
A3-4-1 Annual Report (Actual) and Management Discussion and Analysis (MD\&A)
A3-5-3 Annual/Audited Financial Reports (Historical) Enbridge Inc. - 2005 Year
D3-1-1 Operating Cost 2007 Test Year
I-16-46 to 47 SEC Interrogatories 46 to 47

```

\section*{4 COST OF CAPITAL (Exhibit E)}

\subsection*{4.1 What is the Return on Equity (ROE) for EGDI for the 2007 test year as calculated pursuant to the ROE Guidelines?}

\section*{(Complete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle this issue as follows:
Parties agree that the ROE for the Company for the 2007 test year is \(8.39 \%\), as calculated pursuant to the ROE guidelines.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
E1-1-1 & Cost of Capital Summary \\
E1-2-1 & Cost of Capital \\
E2-1-1 & Utility Business and Financial Risks \\
E2-1-2 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Utility Business Risks - Environment \\
E2-1-3 & Utility Equity Thickness Financial Risk Update \\
E2-2-1 & Calculation of ROE
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
E3-1-1 & Cost of Capital 2007 Test Year \\
E3-1-2 & Summary Statement of Principal and Carrying Costs of Term Debt 2007 Test Year \\
E3-1-3 & Unamortized Debt Discount and Expense Average of Monthly Averages 2007 Test Year \\
E3-1-4 & Preference Shares Summary Statement of Principal and Carrying Cost 2007 Test Year \\
E3-1-5 & Unamortized Preference Share Issue Expense Average of Monthly Averages 2007 Test \\
& Year \\
E3-1-6 & Fiscal 2007 Calculation of Short-term Unfunded Debt \\
I-5-15 & Energy Probe Interrogatory 15 \\
I-24-41 to 43 & VECC Interrogatories 41 to 43 \\
M1-1-1 & Impact Statement \#1
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{4.2 Are Enbridge's proposed costs for its debt and preference share components of its capital structure appropriate?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.
Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
E1-1-1 & Cost of Capital Summary \\
E1-2-1 & Cost of Capital \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-48\) & Board Staff Interrogatory 48 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-48\) to 50 & SEC Interrogatories 48 to 50
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{4.3 Is the proposal to change the equity component of the deemed capital structure from \(35 \%\) to \(38 \%\) appropriate?}
(No Settlement)
There is no agreement to settle this issue.
Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
E1-1-1 & Cost of Capital Summary \\
E1-2-1 & Cost of Capital \\
E2-1-1 & Utility Business and Financial Risks \\
E2-1-2 & Utility Equity Thickness Financial Risk Update \\
E2-1-2 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Utility Business Risks - Environment \\
E2-2-1 & Calculation of ROE \\
E3-1-1 & Cost of Capital 2007 Test Year \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-51\) & CCC Interrogatory 51 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-9-19\) & IGUA Interrogatory 19 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-51\) to 54 & SEC Interrogatories 51 to 54 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-44\) to 57 & VECC Interrogatories 44 to 57 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-77\) to 83 & VECC Supplementary Interrogatories 77 to 83 \\
\(\mathrm{~L}-9\) & Evidence of IGUA \\
\(\mathrm{L}-27-1\) & Evidence of VECC, CCC and IGUA \\
\(\mathrm{L}-27-2\) & Supplementary Evidence of VECC, CCC and IGUA \\
\(\mathrm{I}-28-1\) to 17 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of VECC, CCC and IGUA 1 to 17
\end{tabular}

\section*{5 COST ALLOCATION (Exhibit G)}

\subsection*{5.1 Is the Applicant's cost allocation appropriate and is it based in its 2006 Board approved methodology?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue as follows:
Subject to the comments below in respect of Issues 6.2, 6.4 and 8.1, and subject to a compliance review of the cost allocation that will be embedded in any rate orders arising from this proceeding, parties accept the Company's evidence in this proceeding about its cost allocation for the Test Year and agree that it is appropriate and consistent with the 2006 Board-approved methodology.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransAlta, TransCanada, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
G1-1-1 & Cost Allocation Methodology \\
G2-1-1 & Fully Allocated Cost Study \\
I-1-52 & Board Staff Interrogatory 52 \\
I-9-20 & IGUA Interrogatory 20 \\
I-24-59 & VECC Interrogatory 69
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{5.2 Is the proposal to recover Demand Side Management costs in delivery charges, as opposed to load balancing charges, appropriate?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue as follows:
Parties accept the Company's proposal, as set out in the evidence, to recover Demand Side Management costs in delivery charges, rather than in load balancing charges.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
G2-3-1 & Functionalization of Utility Rate Base \\
G2-3-2 & Functionalization of Utility Working Capital \\
G2-3-3 & Functionalization of Utility Net Investments \\
G2-3-4 & Functionalization of Utility O\&M \\
I-1-53 & Board Staff Interrogatory 53
\end{tabular}

\section*{6 RATE DESIGN (Exhibit H)}
6.1 Is the proposal to introduce delivery demand charges for Rates 100 and 145 reasonable?
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue as follows:
Parties accept the Company's proposal, as set out in the evidence, to introduce delivery demand charges for Rates 100 and 145.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue except TransAlta and VECC, which take no position.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
H1-1-1 & Rate Design \\
H2-1-1 & Revenue Comparison - Current Revenue vs. Proposed Revenue \\
H2-2-1 & Proposed Revenue Recovery by Rate Class \\
H2-3-1 & Summary of Proposed Rate Change by Rate Class \\
H2-4-1 & Calculation of Gas Supply Charges by Rate Class \\
H2-5-1 & Detailed Revenue Calculations by Rate Class \\
H2-6-1 & Rate Handbook \\
H2-7-1 & Rnnual Bill Comparison \\
H3-1-1 & Revenue Comparison - Current vs Proposed by Rate Class Proposed Methodology \\
H3-1-2 & Proposed Revenue Recovery by Rate Class \\
H3-2-1 &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
H3-3-1 & Summary of Proposed Rate Change \\
H3-4-1 & Calculation of Gas Supply Charges by Rate Class \\
H3-5-1 & Detailed Revenue Calculations by Rate Class \\
H3-6-1 & Rate Handbook \\
H3-7-1 & Annual Bill Comparison \\
I-1-54 & Board Staff Interrogatory 54 \\
I-12-1 & OAPPA Interrogatory 1
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{6.2 Is the proposal to allocate revenue requirement between the customer classes and annually adjust the monthly customer charges and variable charges to recover the revenue deficiency reasonable?}

\section*{(Incomplete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle aspects of this issue as follows:
Parties accept the Company's proposal, as set out in the evidence, to annually adjust the monthly customer charges and variable charges to recover the revenue deficiency.

There is no agreement about the Company's proposal to allocate revenue requirement between customer classes. Some parties are concerned that the allocation of the 2007 revenue deficiency as proposed in the Company's evidence results in the collection of revenues greater than allocated costs from Rate 1 and Rate 6 customers based on the Company's filed Revenue to Cost ratios of 1.02 and 1.01 for these rate classes. These parties wish to explore the proposed 2007 revenue requirement allocation in light of the evidence and interrogatory responses on this issue. Other parties support the Company's revenue deficiency allocation and will oppose changes to it.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of aspects of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of aspects of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

H1-1-1
Rate Design
H2-1-1 Revenue Comparison - Current Revenue vs. Proposed Revenue
H2-2-1
H2-3-1
H2-4-1
H2-5-1
H2-6-1

Proposed Revenue Recovery by Rate Class
Summary of Proposed Rate Change by Rate Class
Calculation of Gas Supply Charges by Rate Class
Detailed Revenue Calculations by Rate Class
Rate Handbook
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
H2-7-1 & Annual Bill Comparison \\
H3-1-1 & Revenue Comparison - Current vs Proposed by Rate Class Proposed Methodology \\
H3-1-2 & Proposed Unit Rates by Rate Class \\
H3-2-1 & Proposed Revenue Recovery by Rate Class \\
H3-3-1 & Summary of Proposed Rate Change \\
H3-4-1 & Calculation of Gas Supply Charges by Rate Class \\
H3-5-1 & Detailed Revenue Calculations by Rate Class \\
H3-6-1 & Rate Handbook \\
H3-7-1 & Annual Bill Comparison \\
I-1-55 & Board Staff Interrogatory 55 \\
I-9-23 & IGUA Interrogatory 23 \\
I-12-2 & OAPPA Interrogatory 2 \\
I-24-70 & VECC Interrogatory 70
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{6.3 Should the Board approve the contents of the Applicant's Rate Handbook?}
(Incomplete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle aspects of this issue as follows:
Parties agree that it is appropriate for the Board to continue to approve the Company's Rate Handbook, as part of the Rate Order resulting from Rate Case proceedings.

There is no agreement on the Company's proposed Invoice Vendor Adjustment (IVA) charge.

Subject to the issue about the IVA, parties agree that the Rate Handbook as filed should be approved by the Board.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except GEC, HVAC, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of aspects of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
A1-14-1 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Policies and Regulations of the Company with Respect to Gas Services and Schedule of \\
Service Charges
\end{tabular} \\
A1-14-2 & Changes to the Schedule of Service Charges \\
D1-10-2 & Gas Distribution Access Rule \\
H1-1-1 & Rate Design \\
H2-6-1 & Rate Handbook \\
\(\mathrm{I}-19-1\) & TransAlta Interrogatory 1 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-56\) & Board Staff Interrogatory 56 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-12-3\) & OAPPA Interrogatory 3 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-71\) to 73 & VECC Interrogatories 71 to 73
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{6.4 Is the proposed treatment of bundled transportation charges and T-service credit appropriate in light of the Board's Decision in RP-2003-0203 and the settlement agreement?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is agreement to settle this issue as follows:
Parties accept the Company's proposed treatment of bundled transportation charges and T-service credits. The final rate increases associated with the implementation of the settlement proposal of the changes in the allocation of upstream transportation charges in EB-2005-0001 will be implemented on October 1st, 2007. Effective October 1, 2007, the upstream transportation charges for all rate classes will recover the appropriate level of upstream transportation costs for all rate classes, so that there will be no over-contribution from Rates 1 and 6 with respect to upstream transportation costs.

The Company will continue to charge and rebate the T-service credit for Ontario TService customers. The existing T-Service credit, equal to TransCanada's 100\% load factor toll, will continue to be in effect until December 31, 2007. Effective January 1, 2008, the T-Service credit will be based on the weighted average cost of transportation, equal to the unit rate based on total utility transportation costs over total delivery volumes. The Company will treat T-Service credits for Ontario T-Service customers in this manner, as an "off-set", from January 1, 2008 until such time as the Company has a new billing system that permits a different approach. This approach satisfies the Board's directive regarding the Company's obligation to phase-out the T-service credit for Ontario T-Service customers as outlined in the RP-2003-0203 Settlement Proposal.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

\section*{7 CUSTOMER CARE SUPPORT, CUSTOMER CARE SYSTEM, AND OPEN BILL ACCESS}
7.1 Has Enbridge complied with the direction, in the EB-2005-0001 Decision, to file in evidence the following Customer Care Support Cost information: all agreements between Enbridge and CWLP, ECSI or any other El-related entity related to the provision of customer care or CIS; the Program Agreement between CWLP and Accenture, including any amendments or revisions; financial statements for ECSI and CWLP (historical, bridge and test year); the return analyses described in the decision?
(No Settlement)
Issues related to customer care and CIS are the subject of continuing discussions as part of a consultative process involving the Company and stakeholders. Negotiations are continuing as part of the consultative process and parties expect to be able to report their progress and positions to the Board at the same time as the Settlement Proposal is presented for approval.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

D1-12-1
D1-12-2 Customer Care and Transition Costs
D1-12-3 Customer Care - Benchmarking
I-1-58 Board Staff Interrogatory 58
I-9-17
I-16-55 to 58

IGUA Interrogatory 17
SEC Interrogatories 55 to 58
7.2 What actions or decisions are required by the Board regarding items in the 2006 and 2007 capital budgets which might be duplicated in the upcoming application for a Regulatory Asset Account?
(No Settlement)
Issues related to customer care and CIS are the subject of continuing discussions as part of a consultative process involving the Company and stakeholders. Negotiations are continuing as part of the consultative process and parties expect to be able to report their progress and positions to the Board at the same time as the Settlement Proposal is presented for approval.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

D1-10-1
I-1-59

GDAR
Board Staff Interrogatory 59

\subsection*{7.3 Are the forecast costs of the new CIS system appropriate?}
(No Settlement)
Issues related to customer care and CIS are the subject of continuing discussions as part of a consultative process involving the Company and stakeholders. Negotiations are continuing as part of the consultative process and parties expect to be able to report their progress and positions to the Board at the same time as the Settlement Proposal is presented for approval.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
B1-5-1 & CIS Project \\
I-1-60 to 63 & Board Staff Interrogatories 60 to 63 \\
I-9-10 & IGUA Interrogatory 10 \\
I-26-11 & HVAC Interrogatory 11
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{7.4 What are the appropriate costs for CIS and Customer Care for 2007, including internal and transition costs?}
(No Settlement)
Issues related to customer care and CIS are the subject of continuing discussions as part of a consultative process involving the Company and stakeholders. Negotiations are continuing as part of the consultative process and parties expect to be able to report their progress and positions to the Board at the same time as the Settlement Proposal is presented for approval.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
B1-5-1 & CIS Project \\
D1-12-1 & Customer Care - Overview \\
D1-12-2 & Customer Care and Transition Costs \\
D1-12-3 & Customer Care - Benchmarking \\
D3-2-1 & Operating Cost Comparison of Utility Cost and Expenses Budget 2007 and Estimate \\
I-1-64 to 73 & 2006 \\
I-16-59 & Board Staff Interrogatories 64 to 73 \\
& SEC Interrogatory 59
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{7.5 Is the Applicant's proposal of open bill access appropriate and consistent with the Board's direction in RP-2005-0001?}

\section*{(No Settlement)}

There is no agreement to settle this issue, although the consultative is ongoing.

\section*{Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D1-11-1 & Open Bill Access \\
\hline D1-11-2 & Statement of Principles, Objectives and Operating Arrangements for the Consultation Process for Enbridge Gas Distribution's Open Bill Access Proposal \\
\hline D1-11-3 & Open Bill Access Consultative Process \\
\hline D1-11-4 & Meeting Minutes \\
\hline D1-11-5 & Third Party Access Report \\
\hline D1-11-6 & Open Bill Access Update \\
\hline D1-11-7 & Summary Notes from Consultative Meeting on Wednesday July 26, 2006 \\
\hline D1-11-8 & Open Bull Access Update - July \(26{ }^{\text {th }}\), 2006 \\
\hline D1-11-9 & Summary Notes from Consultative Meeting on Tuesday November 14 \({ }^{\text {th }}, 2006\) \\
\hline D1-11-10 & Presentation - Consultative Meeting on Tuesday November \(14{ }^{\text {th }}, 2006\) \\
\hline D1-11-11 & Open Bill Access Standard Bill Service Consultative November 14 \({ }^{\text {th }}\), 2006 \\
\hline D1-11-12 & Bill Insert Agreement \\
\hline D1-11-13 & Open Bill Standard Bill Service Description - Meeting November 14 \({ }^{\text {th }}\), 2006 - Additional Request for Information \\
\hline D1-11-14 & Bill Inserts \\
\hline D1-11-15 & Bill Insert Agreement Draft \\
\hline D1-11-16 & Initial Draft for Discussion Binding request for Bids - Third Party Bill Inserts for 2007 \\
\hline D1-11-17 & Presentation - Consultative Meeting on November \(23{ }^{\text {rd }}\), 2006 \\
\hline D1-11-18 & Open Bill Access - Summary Notes from Consultative Meeting on November \(23{ }^{\text {rd }}, 2006\) \\
\hline D1-11-19 & Presentation - November 30 \({ }^{\text {th }}\), 2006 \\
\hline D1-11-20 & Criteria for Bill Inserts \\
\hline D1-11-21 & Open Bill Access - Summary Notes from Conference Call between EGD, Intervenors, and Consultants on Friday, December \(1^{\text {st }}, 2006\) \\
\hline D1-11-22 & Shared Bill Benefit Calculation \\
\hline D1-11-23 & Presentation - December \(5^{\text {th }}\), 2006 Corrected Forecast \\
\hline D1-11-24 & Bill Inserts \\
\hline D1-11-25 & Bill Inserts \\
\hline D1-11-26 & Bill Inserts \\
\hline D1-11-27 & Request for Binding Bids - 2007 Third Party Bill Insert Service \\
\hline D1-11-28 & Binding Service Request and Bid Form - 2007 Third Party Bill Insert Service \\
\hline I-1-74 to 77 & Board Staff Interrogatories 74 to 77 \\
\hline I-2-52 & CCC Interrogatory 52 \\
\hline I-4-1 to 12 & Direct Energy Interrogatories 1 to 12 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{l}-16-60\) to 61 & SEC Interrogatories 60 to 61 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{l}-18-1\) to 5 & Superior Interrogatories 1 to 5 \\
\hline I-22-1 to 5 & Union Energy Interrogatories 1 to 5 \\
\hline I-24-74 to 75 & VECC Interrogatories 74 to 75 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{l}-26-12\) to 20 & HVAC Interrogatories 12 to 20 \\
\hline L-4-1 & Evidence of Direct Energy \\
\hline L-22-1 & Evidence of Union Energy \\
\hline L-26-1 & Evidence of HVAC \\
\hline \(\mathrm{l}-27-1\) to 35 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of Union Energy 1 to 35 \\
\hline I-29-1 to 5 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of Direct Energy 1 to 5 \\
\hline I-30-22 to 24 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of HVAC 22 to 24 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{l}-32-1\) to 5 & HVAC Interrogatories of Direct Energy 1 to 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Superior Energy Management Interrogatories 1 to 12 Union Energy Interrogatories of Direct Energy 1 to 21 Direct Energy Interrogatories of Union Energy 1 to 11 Direct Energy Interrogatories of HVAC 1 to 16 Transcript of January 10, 2007 Technical Conference

\section*{8 OTHER ISSUES}
8.1 What are the actions or decisions necessary for the Board to be assured that the Board's decisions, including settlements, in the NGEIR (EB-2005-0551) proceeding will be appropriately captured and reflected in this proceeding?

\section*{(Complete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle this issue as follows:
All parties agree that the implications of the Board's decisions in the NGEIR (EB-2005-0551) proceeding have been captured in the Company's filing in this proceeding. This agreement is subject to the stipulation that certain parties have initiated Motions for Review of the Board's decisions in the NGEIR proceeding which, if successful, could require the Company to make consequential adjustments to its rates, including (without limitation) Rate 316.

The Company's obligations under the NGEIR Settlement Proposal pertaining to whether and when an automated solution should be developed and put in place remain in full force and effect.

Every three months the Company will provide to stakeholders a report on the number of customers that have committed to migrate and have migrated to the new unbundled Rates 300 and 315. If, at any time during the Test Year, 20 customers have committed to take EGD's unbundled rates, the Company will undertake a survey, using the least cost approach, to evaluate demand for unbundled Rates 300 and 315, and assess and report on the timing for development of an automated solution and accommodating additional customers through the manual solution within 90 days after the Company's 20th customer has committed to migrate to the new unbundled rates. If, at that time, the Company decides to proceed with a manual solution, it will continue to provide customers with a quarterly report on the status of migration including feedback from customers on the potential for future migration. The parties agree that the Company's costs associated with preparing and administering the survey will be recorded in the 2007 Unbundled Rate Implementation Cost Deferral Account. The parties further agree they will support recovery by the Company of the reasonably incurred survey costs in the 2007 Unbundled Rate Implementation Cost Deferral

Account on the understanding that the Company will seek to have all reasonably incurred costs recovered from large volume customers.

In order to allow customers to take advantage of the new Rate 300 and Rate 315, customers will have the opportunity to migrate to Rate 300 and 315 at all times during the Test Year until the point in time when 20 customers have migrated to the rate 300 series rates. Subject to the conditions of the Company's Early Termination Policy, the Company will permit migrating customers to terminate their bundled rate contracts early, on the understanding that customers will true up any imbalances in their existing contracts as per the provisions of the Company's Early Termination Policy.

If the survey results indicate that significantly more than 20 customers are prepared to commit to migrate, then the Company will undertake to develop an automated solution. If a smaller number of customers are prepared to commit to migrate, then the Company will conduct an analysis comparing the incremental cost of supporting incremental customers' activities and transactions using the manual solution versus the costs of an automated solution. The goal of the analysis will be to determine if it is feasible to expand the manual solution (and at what cost) versus the cost of an automated solution. Should an automated solution be required, the parties agree that the Company record associated costs in the Unbundled Rate Implementation Cost Deferral Account as per the NGEIR Settlement Proposal EB-2005-0551, Ex. S-1-1, p. 33.

If a manual solution permits more than 20 customers to migrate during the Test Year, any such additional spots will be implemented in a manner that is consistent with section \(4(\mathrm{~g})\) of the Settlement Agreement in EB-2005-0551 whereby 50\% of the additional spots will be allocated to interested customers who will benefit the most from the service from a distribution rate perspective, and \(50 \%\) of the additional spots will be allocated to interested customers entitled to subscribe for the service on the basis of a lottery system.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue except VECC which takes no position and did not participate in discussion on the issues discussed after the second paragraph above.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

\subsection*{8.2 What are the actions or decisions necessary for the Board to be assured that the Board's decisions, including settlements, in the DSM (EB-2006-0021) proceeding will be appropriately captured and reflected in this proceeding?}

\section*{(Complete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle this issue as follows:
All parties agree that the implications of the Board's decisions in the DSM (EB-2006-0021) proceeding have been captured in the Company's filing in this proceeding.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
```

I-1-80 to 81 Board Staff Interrogatories 80 to 81
I-9-21 to 22 IGUA Interrogatories 21 to 22
I-24-76 VECC Interrogatory 76

```

\section*{9 RATE IMPLEMENTATION}

\subsection*{9.1 How should the Board deal with any revenue deficiency applicable from January 1, 2007 to the date that the Board's decision is implemented?}

\section*{(Incomplete Settlement)}

There is an agreement to settle aspects of this issue, as part of the package, as follows:
Parties agree that the Company can adjust rates to recover an additional \$26.0 million, effective as of January 1, 2007, and that this will be implemented at the same time as the Company's April 1, 2007 QRAM is implemented. Parties agree with and support the Company's proposal to recover the full \(\$ 26.0\) million through (i) increased annualized rates for the remainder of the Test Year; and (ii) the use of a rate rider over the nine remaining months of the Test Year to recover the remaining balance of the \(\$ 26.0\) million. Intervenors agree that no issue or
objection will be raised around whether any part of this \(\$ 26.0\) million is unrecoverable because it relates to the time period between January 1, 2007 and April 1, 2007.

There is no agreement as to whether or how the Company can recover any revenue deficiency in excess of \(\$ 26.0\) million.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OAPPA, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties except Schools accept and agree with the proposed settlement of aspects of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:
```

A1-2-1 Application
I-1-82 Board Staff Interrogatory 82
I-16-62 to 53 SEC Interrogatories 62 to 63

```

\subsection*{9.2 Should the Board set interim rates, effective January 1, 2007, to allow Enbridge to begin to recover its prospective revenue deficiency?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle this issue as follows:
This issue is no longer relevant, since the January 1, 2007 date has passed.
Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Direct Energy, GEC, HVAC, OESLP, Pollution Probe, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Gas, Union Energy.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

A1-2-1
I-1-83 to 84
I-16-64 to 65
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & Col. 1 & Col. 2 & Col. 3 & Col. 4 \\
\hline & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Actual at \\
December 31, 2006
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Accounts Agreed to be cleared with Final Rate Order Actual Balances at December 31, 2006} \\
\hline Line No. & Account Description & \begin{tabular}{l}
Account \\
Acronym
\end{tabular} & Principal & Interest & Principal & Interest \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Non Commodity Related Accounts for One Time Rate Clearance} \\
\hline 1. & Demand Side Management Account & 2006 DSMVA & 374.7 & (39.4) & - & - \\
\hline 2. & Demand Side Management Account & 2005 DSMVA & 697.5 & (9.7) & - & - \\
\hline 3. & Demand Side Management Account & 2004 DSMVA & 2,013.9 & 149.1 & 2,013.9 & 149.1 \\
\hline 4. & Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism & 2006 LRAM & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 5. & Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism & 2005 LRAM & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 6. & Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism & 2004 LRAM & (587.9) & 13.6 & (587.9) & 13.6 \\
\hline 7. & Shared Savings Mechanism & 2006 SSMVA & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 8. & Shared Savings Mechanism & 2005 SSMVA & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 9. & Shared Savings Mechanism & 2004 SSMVA & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10. & Class Action Suit D/A & 2006 CASDA & 23,514.2 & 117.1 & - & - \\
\hline 11. & Deferred Rebate Account & 2006 DRA & \((1,904.7)\) & (103.5) & \((1,904.7)\) & (103.5) \\
\hline 12. & Debt Redemption D/A & 2006 DRDA & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 13. & Ontario Hearing Costs V/A & 2006 OHCVA & (612.8) & - & (612.8) & - \\
\hline 14. & Manufactured Gas Plant D/A & 2006 MGPDA & 39.0 & 0.7 & - & - \\
\hline 15. & Electric Program Earnings Sharing D/A & 2006 EPESDA & (175.1) & - & - & - \\
\hline 16. & Corporate Cost Allocation & 2006 CCAMDA & 623.7 & 0.6 & - & - \\
\hline 17. & Unbundled Rate Implementation Cost D/A & 2006 URICDA & 480.5 & - & - & - \\
\hline 18. & Alliance/Vector Appeal Costs D/A & 2006 AVACDA & 529.2 & 17.3 & - & - \\
\hline 19. & Total Non Commodity Related Accounts for & Clearance & 24,992.2 & 145.8 & \((1,091.5)\) & 59.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Commodity Related Accounts for One Time Rate Clearance} \\
\hline 20. & 2006 Purchased Gas V/A & 2006 PGVA & \((125,122.4)\) & \((2,237.9)\) & - & - \\
\hline 21. & 2006 Transactional Services D/A & 2006 TSDA & \((7,508.8)\) & (15.5) & \((7,508.8)\) & (15.5) \\
\hline 22. & 2006 Unaccounted for Gas V/A & 2006 UAFVA & \((11,739.1)\) & - & \((11,739.1)\) & - \\
\hline 23. & 2006 Union Gas D/A & 2006 UGDA & \((2,919.3)\) & 49.8 & \((2,919.3)\) & 49.8 \\
\hline 24. & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total Commodity Related Accounts for One Time Rate Clearance} & \((147,289.6)\) & \((2,203.6)\) & \((22,167.2)\) & 34.3 \\
\hline 25. & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total Deferral and Variance Accounts for One Time Rate Clearance} & \((122,297.4)\) & \((2,057.8)\) & \((23,258.7)\) & 93.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Non Commodity Related Accounts for Rate Base and Ongoing Rates Treatment
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 26. & Gas Distribution Access Rule Costs D/A & 2006 GDARCDA & 7,923.3 & 62.1 & - & - \\
\hline 27. & Gas Distribution Access Rule Costs D/A & 2005 GDARCDA & 406.0 & 29.2 & - & - \\
\hline 28. & Gas Supply Risk Management Program D/A & 2006 GSRMPDA & 691.5 & - & - & - \\
\hline 29. & Total Deferral and Variance Accounts for Rat & going Rates Trea & 9,020.8 & 91.3 & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note: a) PGVA and related adjustments to be handled as part of April 2007 QRAM.
Note: b) These accounts would be required to be closed into rate base, with associated revenue requirement impacts, pending the hearing review and any eventual Board Approval.
BASED ON REVENUE DEFICIENCY OF \(\$ 82.1\) MILLION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Rate Class & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{cc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Revenue to \\
Cost Ratios \\
2007 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Over/Under Contribution \\
2007 & 2006 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}} & Average Rate Impact T-Service & TCPL Phase In Contribution \\
\hline & & & \$/M & \$/M & & \$/M \\
\hline 1 & 1.01 & 1.01 & 9.35 & 8.75 & 6.28\% & 5.01 \\
\hline 6 & 1.01 & 1.01 & 5.42 & 4.19 & 4.52\% & 4.89 \\
\hline 9 & 0.70 & 0.69 & -0.48 & -0.59 & 13.19\% & 0.00 \\
\hline 100 & 0.98 & 0.98 & -2.98 & -2.92 & 5.48\% & 0.00 \\
\hline 110 & 1.01 & 1.01 & 0.43 & 0.33 & 1.04\% & 0.00 \\
\hline 115 & 0.90 & 0.90 & -4.18 & -5.49 & 1.96\% & -5.97 \\
\hline 135 & 0.87 & 0.87 & -0.28 & -0.33 & 2.54\% & -0.60 \\
\hline 145 & 0.97 & 1.03 & -0.48 & 0.42 & 4.08\% & 0.00 \\
\hline 170 & 0.82 & 0.89 & -4.82 & -3.48 & 4.24\% & -3.20 \\
\hline & 0.00 & & 0.00 & & & \\
\hline 200 & 0.98 & 0.98 & -0.16 & -0.20 & 7.70\% & 0.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SUPPLEMENTARY SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL : ISSUE 7.5}

The issues related to Issue 7.5 ("Is the Applicant's proposal of open bill access appropriate and consistent with the Board's direction in RP-2005-0001?") have been the subject of the ongoing Open Bill Consultative. Parties have been able to come to an agreement to settle aspects of this issue.

This incomplete settlement, if approved by the Board, will be added to the Settlement Proposal (Ex. N1-1-1) approved by the Board on January 29, 2007 (the "January \(29^{\text {th }}\) Settlement Proposal") and the provisions of this incomplete settlement will supersede the reference at page 43 of 47 of the January \(29^{\text {th }}\) Settlement Proposal which states that there is no settlement of Issue 7.5.

Parties agree that the provisions of the Introduction and Overview sections of the January \(29^{\text {th }}\) Settlement Proposal apply to this Supplementary Settlement Proposal, except for (i) the chart of settled issues, which does not reflect this incomplete settlement of Issue 7.5; and (ii) any references to revenue deficiency and rate impact of the settlement, which would have to be changed to reflect the incremental financial impact of this Supplementary Settlement Proposal.

With that preamble, the following section represents the incomplete settlement that has been agreed upon.
7.5 Is the Applicant's proposal of open bill access appropriate and consistent with the Board's direction in RP-2005-0001?
(Incomplete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle aspects of this issue, as follows:
The parties agree to settle the third party billing component ("Billing Services") of Issue 7.5 Open Bill Access on the basis that the Company can proceed with the Billing Services on the following terms:
1. Compliance with Board Directive. All parties accept the Company's decision to respond to the Board's directive in EB-2005-0001 in two stages: an interim solution, using the Company's existing CIS, and a comprehensive solution, using the Company's planned new CIS. This settlement constitutes the interim solution until otherwise ordered by the Board in the Board review referred to in \#2 below. Subject to the
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presentation to the Board of the comprehensive solution, discussed in \#2 below, all parties agree that this settlement constitutes an appropriate response to the Board's directive.
2. Comprehensive Solution. The Company agrees that it will file an application to the Board prior to the end of 2008 proposing the comprehensive Billing Services offering. Such application should include: a) a detailed report on the experience with the interim solution, b) any available consultants' reports with respect to costing and/or market pricing, c) the results of any customer communications activities and any customer or industry surveys, d) minutes and/or reports of the activities of the stakeholder committee referred to in \#8 below, and e) the Company's proposal on whether the Billing Services should continue, and if so on what terms. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Company's proposal may include changes to pricing, costing, shareholder incentive, and any other aspects of the Billing Services. In the event that in the Company's application the Company or any party proposes that the Billing Services should not continue, that party must also propose a reasonable transition period to reflect the time required for anyone using the Billing Services to shift to alternate billing arrangements. Nothing in this settlement implies that any party admits to either the relevance or the appropriate weight to be given to any particular evidence in this subsequent application, and all parties will be free to argue as they see fit with respect to any proposed evidence.
3. Pricing. During the interim period, but at least until December 31, 2008 parties accept the prices proposed by the Company, \(\$ 0.829\) for shared bills and \(\$ 1.389\) for standalone bills. All participants using the Billing Services will pay the same prices for the same services. The parties agree that prices for the Billing Services and any changes from time to time to the rules relating to the OBSDA referred to in \#4 below must be approved by the Board.
4. Startup Costs. The shareholder will bear the startup and bill re-design costs associated with the Billing Services but will be allowed to recover 4 cents/bill from the Open Bill Service Deferral Account (OBSDA) over a two year period until the costs are recovered. The shareholder will not bear the costs associated with adding the Billing Services to the new CIS. The latter costs will be included in the costs of the Billing Services and recovered in revenues from the service.
5. Ratepayer Benefit. Subject to the shareholder incentive, set forth below, all net benefits, whether through mitigation of common costs, or net profits from the OBA services, will accrue to the benefit of the ratepayers. The Company agrees to include in its 2007 revenue requirement a net benefit of the service of \(\$ 5.389\) million. This number is derived from calculations found in JT.5, as updated to reflect this settlement. To be sure, all parties also agree If the net benefit of the service is greater or less than the amount included in rates, the difference will be credited or debited, as the case may be, to a new variance account, the Open Bill Access Variance Account (OBAVA) and refunded or charged to ratepayers in the following year. The net benefit shall be calculated as the total revenues from Billing Services, less
a. the incremental costs to deliver those services;
b. the amount referred to in \#4 above; and,
c. the shareholder incentive referred to in \#6 below.
6. Shareholder Incentive. The Company will receive no incentive for Billing Services provided to any affiliate of the Company. For the Billing Services by any other person, the Company will be paid a commission as follows subject to an annual maximum calculated as \(50 \%\) of the program's net margin:
a. With respect to any bill on which Direct Energy (which for all purposes of these terms should be interpreted as including any successor to Direct Energy's water heater business) is the sole third party billing entity, \(\$ 0.02\) per bill;
b. With respect to any bill on which there is any third party billing entity charge other than Direct Energy on the bill:
i. \(\$ 0.10\) per bill in any month that the Billing Services service has only one active billing entity other than affiliates or Direct Energy;
ii. \(\$ 0.15\) per bill in any month that the Billing Services service has two active billing entities other than affiliates or Direct Energy;
iii. \(\$ 0.20\) per bill in any month that the Billing Services service has three active billing entities other than affiliates or Direct Energy;
iv. \(\$ 0.25\) per bill in any month that the Billing Services service has more than three active billing entities other than affiliates or Direct Energy;

An entity will only be considered an "active billing entity" in any month in which it is billing products or services on at least 500 EGD bills.
7. Costing and Pricing Studies: The Company agrees that it will retain an independent consultant or consultants to undertake costing and pricing analyses for the Billing Services. The consultant's work will include assistance in determining a market price, and a review and analysis of the incremental and fully-allocated costs of these services. The Company will solicit the stakeholder group's input on the independent consultant(s), and statement of work for those consultant(s), but the Company will retain the right to make the final selection and define the terms of the reference. The cost of these studies will be included in the OBSDA.
8. Stakeholder Input. The Company will establish a stakeholder committee that includes users of the Billing Services, as well as ratepayer and industry representatives, to review the rules associated with participation in Billing Services. All parties to the agreement will be invited to become members of the stakeholder committee. The committee will meet from time to time as required to consider changes to the rules. Any changes to the rules that materially change the nature of the service will be reviewed by the stakeholder committee and reported to the Board to determine if their approval is required. The stakeholder committee will also be solicited for input into the Company's proposed communications plan, and other issues as they arise.
9. Affiliate Participation. Affiliates of the Company (including for the purpose of this settlement related parties such as limited partnerships or trusts that are not technically affiliates) may use the Billing Services on the same terms as any other third party biller. However, all parties agree with the principle that the Billing Services should be implemented in a manner that avoids ratepayer and/or consumer confusion, and, to the extent possible, prevents any participant from gaining any unfair market advantage by reason of their association with the utility, if any. The Company agrees that during the interim period it will implement such measures as may be necessary to achieve this principle, including but not limited to including in the Billing Services and enforcing in a commercially reasonable manner the following service rules::
(a) No person, whether affiliate or otherwise, may use or associate itself with any name or logo on the bill that is the same as,
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similar to, or confusing with any name or logo that is associated with the Company (e.g. the "Enbridge" name and swirl logo).
(b) No person may use the Billing Services in an abusive or unfair manner in that it deliberately creates the impression that it has a preferred position relative to other market participants because of its relationship with the utility.

Notwithstanding, these restrictions in no way shape or form creates any future precedent to rely upon regarding the use of the Enbridge name or logo.

The parties acknowledge their mutual intention to bring issues with respect to affiliate participation to the stakeholder committee for resolution, but this statement will not limit any rights any party may have, whether under the Affiliate Relationships Code or otherwise, to have disputes resolved in any forum.
10.EnergyLink \({ }^{\text {TM }}\) Relevance. If the Board in this proceeding approves the EnergyLink \({ }^{\text {TM }}\) program proposed by the Company, the parties agree that whether a company is an EnergyLink \({ }^{T M}\) participant or not will not affect whether that company can use the Billing Services, nor the rules or conditions under which they use the service.
11. Information. The Company will develop with input from the stakeholder committee an appropriate customer communication plan specific to Billing Services The Company shall provide to the Board and make available to all parties to this settlement agreement a report that includes revenues from Billing Services, and the costs of the services on a fully-allocated basis, an incremental basis and in a manner when known that is consistent with the methodology recommended in the study noted in paragraph 7, to the extent that this is different .
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12. Logos and Bill Messaging. Logos and bill messaging will be provided to all participants in the Billing Services at no charge to facilitate entry of new users and help consumers differentiate the various parties with amounts billed on the EGD bill. Any provision of logos and bill messaging for the Billing Services will apply in the same manner to commodity vendors using the ABC Services for a reasonable charge, but commodity messaging will not be allowed unless EGD or one of its affiliates starts to market system gas.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Energy Probe, IGUA, OAPPA, Superior, TransAlta, TransCanada and Union Gas,

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of this issue except that GEC and Pollution Probe reserve the right to pursue in the Hearing whether the Board should order that third parties not be allowed to use the Billing Services for the billing of specific products on the basis of their environmental attributes.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

D1-11-1
D1-11-2

D1-11-3
D1-11-4
D1-11-5
D1-11-6
D1-11-7
D1-11-8
D1-11-9
D1-11-10
D1-11-11
D1-11-12
D1-11-13
D1-11-14
D1-11-15
D1-11-16
D1-11-17
D1-11-18

Open Bill Access
Statement of Principles, Objectives and Operating Arrangements for the Consultation Process for Enbridge Gas Distribution's Open Bill Access Proposal
Open Bill Access Consultative Process
Meeting Minutes
Third Party Access Report
Open Bill Access Update
Summary Notes from Consultative Meeting on Wednesday July 26, 2006
Open Bull Access Update - July \(26^{\text {th }}, 2006\)
Summary Notes from Consultative Meeting on Tuesday November \(14^{\text {th }}, 2006\)
Presentation - Consultative Meeting on Tuesday November \(14^{\text {th }}, 2006\)
Open Bill Access Standard Bill Service Consultative November \(14^{\text {th }}, 2006\)
Bill Insert Agreement
Open Bill Standard Bill Service Description - Meeting November \(14^{\text {th }}, 2006\) -
Additional Request for Information
Bill Inserts
Bill Insert Agreement Draft
Initial Draft for Discussion Binding request for Bids - Third Party Bill Inserts for 2007
Presentation - Consultative Meeting on November \(23^{\text {rd }}, 2006\)
Open Bill Access - Summary Notes from Consultative Meeting on November \(23^{\text {rd }}, 2006\)
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\begin{tabular}{ll} 
D1-11-19 & Presentation - November \(30^{\text {th }}, 2006\) \\
D1-11-20 & Criteria for Bill Inserts \\
D1-11-21 & Open Bill Access - Summary Notes from Conference Call between EGD, \\
& Intervenors, and Consultants on Friday, December \(1^{\text {st }}, 2006\) \\
D1-11-22 & Shared Bill Benefit Calculation \\
D1-11-23 & Presentation - December \(5^{\text {th }}, 2006\) Corrected Forecast \\
D1-11-24 & Bill Inserts \\
D1-11-25 & Bill Inserts \\
D1-11-26 & Bill Inserts \\
D1-11-27 & Request for Binding Bids - 2007 Third Party Bill Insert Service \\
D1-11-28 & Binding Service Request and Bid Form - 2007 Third Party Bill Insert Service \\
D1-11-29 & Third Party Access to the Bill Customer Communication Plan \\
D1-11-30 & Billing Insert Customer Communication Plan \\
\(\mathrm{I}-1-74\) to 77 & Board Staff Interrogatories 74 to 77 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-2-52\) & CCC Interrogatory 52 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-4-1\) to 12 & Direct Energy Interrogatories 1 to 12 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-16-60\) to 61 & SEC Interrogatories 60 to 61 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-18-1\) to 5 & Superior Interrogatories 1 to 5 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-22-1\) to 5 & Union Energy Interrogatories 1 to 5 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-24-74\) to 75 & VECC Interrogatories 74 to 75 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-26-12\) to 20 & HVAC Interrogatories 12 to 20 \\
\(\mathrm{~L}-4-1\) & Evidence of Direct Energy \\
\(\mathrm{L}-22-1\) & Evidence of Union Energy \\
\(\mathrm{L}-26-1\) & Evidence of HVAC \\
\(\mathrm{I}-27-1\) to 35 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of Union Energy 1 to 35 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-29-1\) to 5 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of Direct Energy 1 to 5 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-30-22\) to 24 & Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of HVAC 22 to 24 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-32-1\) to 5 & HVAC Interrogatories of Direct Energy 1 to 5 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-33-1\) to 12 & Superior Energy Management Interrogatories 1 to 12 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-34-1\) to 21 & Union Energy Interrogatories of Direct Energy 1 to 21 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-35-1\) to 11 & Direct Energy Interrogatories of Union Energy 1 to 11 \\
\(\mathrm{I}-36-1\) to 16 & Direct Energy Interrogatories of HVAC 1 to 16 \\
\(\mathrm{JT1-JT22}\) & Transcript of January 10,2007 Technical Conference \\
Undertakings from January 10,2007 Technical Conference
\end{tabular}

\section*{SUPPLEMENTARY SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL : ISSUE 7.5}

The issues related to Issue 7.5 ("Is the Applicant's proposal of open bill access appropriate and consistent with the Board's direction in RP-2005-0001?") have been the subject of the ongoing Open Bill Consultative. Parties have been able to come to an agreement to settle aspects of this issue.

This incomplete settlement, if approved by the Board, will be added to the Settlement Proposal (Ex. N1-1-1) approved by the Board on January 29, 2007 (the "January \(29^{\text {th }}\) Settlement Proposal") and the provisions of this incomplete settlement will supersede the reference at page 43 of 47 of the January \(29^{\text {th }}\) Settlement Proposal which states that there is no settlement of Issue 7.5.

Parties agree that the provisions of the Introduction and Overview sections of the January \(29^{\text {th }}\) Settlement Proposal apply to this Supplementary Settlement Proposal, except for (i) the chart of settled issues, which does not reflect this incomplete settlement of Issue 7.5; and (ii) any references to revenue deficiency and rate impact of the settlement, which would have to be changed to reflect the incremental financial impact of this Supplementary Settlement Proposal.

With that preamble, the following section represents the incomplete settlement that has been agreed upon.
7.5 Is the Applicant's proposal of open bill access appropriate and consistent with the Board's direction in RP-2005-0001?
(Incomplete Settlement)
There is an agreement of some parties to settle aspects of this issue, as follows:

\section*{Proposed Billing Insert Settlement}

The parties agree to settle the billing insert ("Insert Service") component of Issue 7.5 Open Bill Access on the basis that the Company can proceed with the Insert Service on the following terms:
1. Compliance with Board Directive. All parties accept the Company's decision to respond to the Board's directive in EB-2005-0001 in two stages: an interim solution, using the Company's existing CIS, and a comprehensive solution, using the Company's planned new CIS. This settlement constitutes
the interim solution until otherwise ordered by the Board in the Board review referred to in \#2 below. Subject to the presentation to the Board of the comprehensive solution, discussed in \#2 below, all parties agree that this settlement constitutes an appropriate response to the Board's directive as it pertains to bill inserts.
2. Comprehensive Solution. The Company agrees that it will file an application to the Board prior to the end of 2008 proposing the comprehensive Billing Insert Service offering. Such application should include: a) a detailed report on the experience with the interim solution, b) any available consultants' reports with respect to costing and/or market pricing, c) the results of any customer communications activities and any customer or industry surveys, d) minutes and/or reports of the activities of the stakeholder committee referred to in \#8 below, and e) the Company's proposal on whether the Insert Service should continue, and if so on what terms. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Company's proposal may include changes to pricing, costing, shareholder incentive, and any other aspects of the Insert Service. Nothing in this settlement implies that any party admits to either the relevance or the appropriate weight to be given to any particular evidence in this subsequent application, and all parties will be free to argue as they see fit with respect to any proposed evidence.
3. Pricing. For the interim period of 2007 and 2008, the Company agrees to reduce the minimum bids for bill inserts by one cent resulting in an average insert charge of 4 cents. For greater clarity, there shall be no right of first refusal for parties using the Company's Insert Service. The parties agree that prices for the Insert Service, and any changes thereto from time to time, must be approved by the Board.
4. Costing and Pricing. The Company agrees that it will retain an independent consultant to undertake a costing and pricing analysis for the Bill Insert Service for the comprehensive period. The consultant's work will include assistance in determining a market price, and a review and analysis of the incremental and fully-allocated costs of these services for the new CIS. The Company will solicit the stakeholder group's input on the independent consultant, and statement of work for that consultant, but the Company will retain the right to make the final selection and define the terms of the reference. The cost of this study will be included in the Open Bill Service Deferral Account (OBSDA).
5. Startup Costs. The shareholder will record the startup costs associated with the Insert Service in 2007 in the OBSDA. The startup costs associated with
adding the Insert Service to the new CIS will be included in the costs of the Insert Service and recovered in revenues from the service.
6. Ratepayer Benefit. The Company agrees to record the costs and revenues from the Insert Service in 2007 in the OBSDA and that the net proceeds will be shared 50/50. The parties agree that the shareholder incentive mechanism for Insert Service may need to be revised after the interim period and after the cost/price review to be consistent with the Board's rules for natural gas incentive regulation.
7. Inserts. Bill inserts would be allowed as proposed by EGD but revised to limit the number of external inserts to five (5) when safety inserts are scheduled. In all months, two inserts would be reserved for parties wishing to purchase bill inserts in a limited geographic area based on price per insert bidding.
8. Stakeholder Input. The Company will establish a stakeholder committee that includes users of the Insert Service, as well as ratepayer and industry representatives, to review the rules associated with participation in the Insert Services. All parties to the agreement will be invited to become members of the stakeholder committee. The committee will meet from time to time as required to consider changes to the rules. Any changes to the rules that materially change the nature of the service will be reviewed by the stakeholder committee and reported to the Board to determine if their approval is required. The stakeholder committee will also be solicited for input into the Company's proposed communications plans, and other issues as they arise. To ensure that consumer interests are being addressed, EGD will conduct focus groups and customer surveys on inserts as soon as possible in 2007 and report the findings to the stakeholder committee to determine if remedial action is required. EGD will also prescreen insert users and review the content of their bill inserts to ensure proper use of its billing envelope.
9. Problem Resolution. If the revised bidding and allocation processes restrict access in three consecutive months or the number of customer complaints on inserts increases significantly in the first two months of operation, the stakeholder committee would be convened to address the concern(s), and if the problem cannot be resolved within two (2) additional months that aspect of the Insert Service would be discontinued until the problem is addressed.
10. Affiliate Participation. Affiliates of the Company (including for the purpose of this settlement related parties such as limited partnerships or trusts that are
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not technically affiliates) may use the Insert Service on the same terms as any other third party biller. However, all parties agree with the principle that the Insert Service should be implemented in a manner that avoids ratepayer and/or consumer confusion, and, to the extent possible, prevents any participant from gaining any unfair market advantage by reason of their association with the utility, if any. The Company agrees that during the interim period it will implement such measures as may be necessary to achieve this principle, including but not limited to including in the Insert Services and enforcing in a commercially reasonable manner the following service rules::
(a) No person, whether affiliate or otherwise, may use or associate itself with any name or logo in the billing envelope that is the same as, similar to, or confusing with any name or logo that is associated with the Company (e.g. the "Enbridge" name and swirl logo).
(b) No person may use the Insert Service in an abusive or unfair manner in that it deliberately creates the impression that it has a preferred position relative to other market participants because of its relationship with the utility.

Notwithstanding, these restrictions in no way shape or form creates any future precedent to rely upon regarding the use of the Enbridge name or logo.

The parties acknowledge their mutual intention to bring issues with respect to affiliate participation to the stakeholder committee for resolution, but this statement will not limit any rights any party may have, whether under the Affiliate Relationships Code or otherwise, to have disputes resolved in any forum.
11. EnergyLink \({ }^{T M}\) Relevance. If the Board in this proceeding approves the EnergyLink \({ }^{T M}\) program proposed by the Company, the parties agree that whether a company is an EnergyLink \({ }^{T M}\) participant or not will not affect whether that company can use the Insert Service, nor the rules or conditions under which they use the service, subject to the restriction on use of the Enbridge name and logo as described in Item 10 above.
12. This agreement should not be construed as a settlement of any aspect of issue 3.4, including but not limited to, arguments to restrict the Company's ability to promote EnergyLink \({ }^{T M}\) by bill insert or otherwise. Notwithstanding, the Company agrees to provide a schedule of EnergyLink \({ }^{T M}\) inserts on an annual basis, as part of the Binding Request for Bids process.
13. Commodity Marketing. Commodity bill inserts and marketing will not be allowed in the billing envelope unless EGD or one of its affiliates receives OEB approval to promote and/or market system gas commodity, in which case retailers, marketers and vendors will be allowed to promote and/or market their commodity offers through the Insert Service.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Energy Probe, IGUA, OAPPA, TransAlta, TransCanada and Union Gas,

Approval: Enbridge Gas Distribution, Direct Energy, OESLP and Union Energy accept and agree with this proposed settlement. HVAC, VECC and Schools do not agree with the proposed settlement. CCC opposes the proposed settlement in order that it may be permitted to pursue crossexamination on the issue. GEC and Pollution Probe reserve the right to pursue in the Hearing whether the Board should order that third parties not be allowed to use the Billing Services for the billing of specific products on the basis of their environmental attributes. Superior opposes the proposed settlement on the principle that it is not supportive of a settlement position that would allow for the Company to promote system gas through billing inserts as contemplated in Paragraph 13.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

D1-11-1
D1-11-2

D1-11-3
D1-11-4
D1-11-5
D1-11-6
D1-11-7
D1-11-8
D1-11-9
D1-11-10
D1-11-11
D1-11-12
D1-11-13
D1-11-14
D1-11-15
D1-11-16

\footnotetext{
Open Bill Access
Statement of Principles, Objectives and Operating Arrangements for the Consultation Process for Enbridge Gas Distribution's Open Bill Access Proposal Open Bill Access Consultative Process
Meeting Minutes
Third Party Access Report
Open Bill Access Update
Summary Notes from Consultative Meeting on Wednesday July 26, 2006
Open Bull Access Update - July \(26^{\text {th }}, 2006\)
Summary Notes from Consultative Meeting on Tuesday November \(14^{\text {th }}, 2006\)
Presentation - Consultative Meeting on Tuesday November \(14^{\text {th }}, 2006\)
Open Bill Access Standard Bill Service Consultative November \(14^{\text {th }}, 2006\)
Bill Insert Agreement
Open Bill Standard Bill Service Description - Meeting November \(14^{\text {th }}, 2006\) Additional Request for Information
Bill Inserts
Bill Insert Agreement Draft
Initial Draft for Discussion Binding request for Bids - Third Party Bill Inserts for 2007
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D1-11-17
D1-11-18
D1-11-19
D1-11-20
D1-11-21
D1-11-22
D1-11-23
D1-11-24
D1-11-25
D1-11-26
D1-11-27
D1-11-28
D1-11-29
D1-11-30
I-1-74 to 77
I-2-52
I-4-1 to 12
I-16-60 to 61
I-18-1 to 5
I-22-1 to 5
I-24-74 to 75
l-26-12 to 20
L-4-1
L-22-1
L-26-1
I-27-1 to 35
l-29-1 to 5
I-30-22 to 24
l-32-1 to 5
I-33-1 to 12
l-34-1 to 21
I-35-1 to 11
I-36-1 to 16
JT1-JT22

Presentation - Consultative Meeting on November \(23^{\text {rd }}\), 2006
Open Bill Access - Summary Notes from Consultative Meeting on November \(23^{\text {rd }}, 2006\)
Presentation - November \(30^{\text {th }}, 2006\)
Criteria for Bill Inserts
Open Bill Access - Summary Notes from Conference Call between EGD, Intervenors, and Consultants on Friday, December \(1^{\text {st }}, 2006\)
Shared Bill Benefit Calculation
Presentation - December \(5^{\text {th }}\), 2006 Corrected Forecast
Bill Inserts
Bill Inserts
Bill Inserts
Request for Binding Bids - 2007 Third Party Bill Insert Service
Binding Service Request and Bid Form - 2007 Third Party Bill Insert Service
Third Party Access to the Bill Customer Communication Plan
Billing Insert Customer Communication Plan
Board Staff Interrogatories 74 to 77
CCC Interrogatory 52
Direct Energy Interrogatories 1 to 12
SEC Interrogatories 60 to 61
Superior Interrogatories 1 to 5
Union Energy Interrogatories 1 to 5
VECC Interrogatories 74 to 75
HVAC Interrogatories 12 to 20
Evidence of Direct Energy
Evidence of Union Energy
Evidence of HVAC
Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of Union Energy 1 to 35
Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of Direct Energy 1 to 5
Enbridge Gas Distribution Interrogatories of HVAC 22 to 24
HVAC Interrogatories of Direct Energy 1 to 5
Superior Energy Management Interrogatories 1 to 12
Union Energy Interrogatories of Direct Energy 1 to 21
Direct Energy Interrogatories of Union Energy 1 to 11
Direct Energy Interrogatories of HVAC 1 to 16
Transcript of January 10, 2007 Technical Conference
Undertakings from January 10, 2007 Technical Conference

\section*{SUPPLEMENTARY SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL : ISSUE 6.3}

The Settlement Proposal filed as Exhibit N1, Tab 1, Schedule 1, which was approved by the Board on January 29, 2007 (the "January 29 \({ }^{\text {th }}\), 2007 Settlement Proposal"), notes at page 39 of 47 that Issue 6.3 was an Incomplete Settlement. Specifically, there was no agreement on the Company's proposed Invoice Vendor Adjustment (IVA) charge. Discussions have continued in respect of the IVA charge and Parties have been able to come to an agreement to settle outstanding issues relating to the IVA charge.

If this Supplementary Settlement Proposal for the IVA charge is approved by the Board, it will be added to the January \(29^{\text {th, }} 2007\) Settlement Proposal, and the provisions of this Supplementary Settlement Proposal will supersede the reference at page 39 of 47 of the January \(29^{\text {th }}, 2007\) Settlement Proposal which states that there is No Settlement in respect of the IVA charge.

Parties agree that the provisions of the Introduction and Overview sections of the January \(29^{\text {th }}\), 2007 Settlement Proposal apply to this Supplementary Settlement Proposal, except for the chart of settled issues, which does not reflect the complete settlement of Issue 6.3.

With this preamble, the following section represents the complete settlement that has been agreed upon.

\subsection*{6.3 Should the Board approve the contents of the Applicant's Rate Handbook?}
(Complete Settlement)
There is an agreement to settle aspects of this issue, as follows:
The parties agree that:
1. The IVA charge by the Company will equal \(0.65 \%\) of the absolute dollar value of the adjustment. Parties agree that this IVA charge is an interim measure that will apply from June 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007, and is without prejudice to any Party proposing an alternative IVA charge commencing January 1, 2008.
2. The Company will consult with interested parties and will consider the merits of bringing forward a different fee structure for a cost-based IVA charge. The Company will seek approval from the OEB for the new IVA charge, to be effective January 1, 2008.
3. Parties agree that the IVA charge is designed to only recover the costs incurred by the Company to provide this service. As a result, Parties agree that there is no need to adjust the revenue deficiency as a result of forecast IVA charge revenues and costs. The Company will provide parties with a summary of 2007 IVA charge revenues and costs subsequent to December 31, 2007.

Participating Parties: All parties participated in the negotiation and settlement of this issue except Energy Probe, GEC, HVAC, LIEN, OAPPA, Pollution Probe, SEC, Superior, TransCanada, TransAlta, Union Energy and Union Gas.

Approval: All participating parties accept and agree with the proposed settlement of aspects of this issue. Without limiting the generality of the Introduction to the Settlement Proposal, VECC's acceptance of this proposed settlement is without prejudice to it proposing that IVA charges be reviewed as part of the Board's generic review of the QRAM/System Gas. CCC, HVAC, IGUA, Energy Probe, SEC, and Union Energy take no position.

Evidence: The evidence in relation to this issue includes the following:

\section*{SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL FOR CUSTOMER CARE AND CUSTOMER INFORMATION SYSTEM ("CIS") ISSUES}

\section*{I. PREAMBLE}

The following issues related to Enbridge Gas Distribution's Customer Care O\&M and Customer Information System ("CIS") capital budgets, and related matters, have been among the subjects addressed as part of the ongoing Customer Care/CIS Consultative:
7.1 Has Enbridge complied with the direction, in the EB-2005-0001 Decision, to file in evidence the following Customer Care Support Cost information: all agreements between Enbridge and CWLP, ECSI or any other El-related entity related to the provision of customer care or CIS; the Program Agreement between CWLP and Accenture, including any amendments or revisions; financial statements for ECSI and CWLP (historical, bridge and test year); the return analyses described in the decision? (D1-12-3)
7.2 What actions or decisions are required by the Board regarding items in the 2006 and 2007 capital budgets which might be duplicated in the upcoming application for a Regulatory Asset Account? (D1-10-1, p. 2/AppA)
7.3 Are the forecast costs of the new CIS system appropriate? (B1-5-1, p. 3)
7.4 What are the appropriate costs for CIS and Customer Care for 2007, including internal and transition costs? (D1-12-1, p. 2 and D3-2-1, p. 1)

As set out below, parties have been able to come to an agreement to settle these issues, as well as other matters related to Customer Care and CIS.

All aspects of this Supplementary Settlement Proposal are subject to approval by the Board. The parties to the settlement all agree that this Supplementary Settlement Proposal is a package: the individual aspects of this agreement are inextricably linked to one another and none of the parts of this settlement are severable. As such, there is no agreement among the parties to settle any aspect of the issues addressed in this Supplementary Settlement Proposal in isolation from the balance of the issues addressed herein. The parties agree, therefore, that in the event that the Board does not accept this Supplementary Settlement Proposal in its entirety, then (in accordance with the Board's Settlement Conference Guidelines) the Board will reject the

Supplementary Settlement Proposal in its entirety and proceed to hearing on all of the issues listed above.

This Supplementary Settlement Proposal, if approved by the Board, will be added to the Settlement Proposal (Ex. N1-1-1) approved by the Board on January 29, 2007 (the "January \(29^{\text {th }}\) Settlement Proposal") and the provisions of this Supplementary Settlement Proposal will supersede the references at pages 41 and 42 of the January \(29^{\text {th }}\) Settlement Proposal which state that there is no settlement of Issues 7.1 to 7.4.

If approved by the Board, this Supplementary Settlement Proposal will reduce the Company's revenue deficiency for the Test Year by approximately \(\$ 24.2\) million, from the \(\$ 52.1\) million remaining as the revenue deficiency in the Company's Application, after the Settlement Proposal (Ex. N1-1-1) revenue deficiency of \(\$ 29.9\) million was approved by the Board on January 29, 2007 (with \(\$ 26.0\) million thereof recoverable in interim rates effective April 1, 2007). The remaining revenue deficiency at issue in the Company's Application is now about \(\$ 26.1\) million \({ }^{1}\), taking into account the fact that parties are agreeing in this Supplementary Settlement Proposal that the Company can recover a revenue deficiency of approximately \(\$ 1.8\) million in respect of customer care and CIS costs in the Test Year. \({ }^{2}\) This \(\$ 1.8\) million Customer Care revenue deficiency, which is described below in more detail, is the result of extra costs from customer growth, offset by a reduction in bad debt costs.

Finally, although it is not set out expressly in the sections that follow, the parties agree that, as part of this settlement package, Issue 7.2 is resolved because the Regulatory Asset Account application is no longer necessary. The parties also agree that, in response to Issue 7.1, the Company has filed those materials stipulated in the Board's EB-2005-0001 Decision that are currently available. There are, however, some agreements associated with the Company's move away from CustomerWorks Limited Partnership ("CWLP"), including transition agreements with Accenture Business Services for Utilities ("ABSU") \({ }^{3}\), that are not completed. Accordingly, at this time Issue 7.1 is partially resolved and the parties expect that it will be completely resolved when those agreements are finalized and filed.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Note that this does not include any impact of Supplementary Settlement Proposals related to bill access and IVA charges.
\({ }^{2}\) The \(\$ 1.8\) million deficiency to be recovered for Customer Care is derived by starting with the customer care deficiency of \(\$ 26\) million, set out at lines 2 and 3 of the Table at Ex. N1-2-2, p. 2, and then subtracting \(\$ 24.2\) million, which is the agreed-upon revenue deficiency reduction that would result from approval of this Supplementary Settlement Proposal.
\({ }^{3}\) For the purposes of this Supplementary Settlement Proposal, both Accenture Business Services for Utilities and Accenture Inc. will be referred to as "ABSU".
}

With that preamble, the following represents the settlement that has been agreed upon.

\section*{II INTRODUCTION}

Beginning in 2000, Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge Gas Distribution" or the "Company") entered into a series of arrangements whereby CIS and Customer Care services were acquired through a related company, Enbridge Commercial Services Inc. ("ECSI"). ECSI subsequently entered into a limited partnership arrangement with Terasen Inc., CWLP, for the purpose of providing customer related business support and information technology services to utilities. Enbridge Gas Distribution entered into a new Customer Care services agreement with CWLP and consented to ECSI's assignment of its CIS service agreement to CWLP, both effective from January 1, 2002. In August 2002, CWLP entered into an agreement in writing with ABSU, hereinafter referred to as the "Program Agreement", whereby CWLP transferred certain assets and all operating personnel to ABSU , and ABSU agreed to provide Customer Care services, including CIS hosting services, on behalf of CWLP to Enbridge Gas Distribution and other utilities for the period that could be as long as 2002 to 2011 (inclusive) for amounts detailed in a Schedule to the Program Agreement. Since 2002, pursuant to the Program Agreement, ABSU has been performing the Customer Care and CIS services for the Company on behalf of CWLP.

A portion of the fees which the Company has paid to CWLP/ECSI to acquire CIS and Customer Care services was paid by CWLP/ECSI, ultimately, to Enbridge Gas Distribution's parent or other affiliates.

In a series of rate cases, the Intervenors expressed their objection to these arrangements, arguing that ratepayers should only be required to pay for CIS and Customer Care services at a market price or, failing a competitive process, at the cost of any affiliate, or related company, providing the services, including an appropriate return on such an endeavour. In the 2006 rate case decision, the Board agreed that what ABSU was paid to provide the services to Enbridge Gas Distribution for Customer Care and CIS services was relevant to the determination of the market prices for the services. The Board ultimately used CWLP revenue from Enbridge Gas Distribution, expressed as a proportion of CWLP's total revenues, as a tool to derive CWLP overearnings attributable to Enbridge Gas Distribution, and then, using the utility allowed return, the Board determined the amount recoverable from Enbridge Gas Distribution's ratepayers. The Board, in decisions in rate cases beginning in 2003 and culminating in Enbridge Gas Distribution's 2006 rates case, urged the Company to obtain CIS and Customer Care services by direct competitive tender which, in the Board's view, should exclude the right of first refusal in favour of CWLP.

Following the Decision with Reasons of the Board in EB-2005-0001, Enbridge Gas Distribution undertook to do the following:
1. Acquire a new Customer Information System (CIS) through a direct competitive tender;
2. Acquire Customer Care services through a direct competitive tender.

Enbridge Gas Distribution also convened a consultative process (the "Consultative") through which Intervenors could monitor and comment on these procurement processes. In light of the concern which Intervenors had, in past rate cases, expressed about Enbridge Gas Distribution's arrangements for acquiring CIS and Customer Care Services, the Intervenors wanted to be assured that the procurement processes were consistent, in all respects, with accepted industry standards, and that the arrangements resulting from the procurement processes will not result in amounts being paid by Enbridge Gas Distribution to CWLP, Enbridge Gas Distribution's affiliates, or its parent. Enbridge Gas Distribution convened the Consultative in part to give the Intervenors those assurances. To further ensure that the Consultative could achieve its goals, Intervenors were given access to independent expertise to advise them on the procurement processes and the results therefrom.

Through the Consultative, the Company informed Intervenors that CWLP has not indicated any intention to exercise its right of first refusal in respect of the new Customer Care or CIS services. CWLP/ABSU have now committed to include a clause in the transition agreements associated with the move to new service providers that will waive CWLP's right of first refusal when the transition agreements are signed.

The Company represents that, apart from the payments to be made by the Company to CWLP up to April 1, 2007, no more than \(\$ 8.34\) million in aggregate will be paid by any person to CWLP, ECSI, EI or any other related entity in relation to any Customer Care or CIS services included within this agreement and provided to Enbridge Gas Distribution by any person during the course of this agreement.

As a result of the work of the Consultative, Enbridge Gas Distribution and the Intervenors have been able to reach agreement on certain aspects of the procurement processes completed to date. The work of the Consultative is described in the pre-filed evidence of Mario Bauer, filed as Exhibit L-2.

The procurement processes will not be completed, with the selection of a new CIS and a new Customer Care service provider, until mid 2007. As a result, the cost of the new CIS and of the new Customer Care service provider cannot be estimated at this time. In addition, the prudence and cost consequences of the CIS and Customer Care arrangements cannot be determined until those arrangements have been finalized,
which is expected to be in the first half of 2007. As well, the new CIS will not become operational until June 2009 and it is only at that time that final costs for the new CIS will be known. Finally, the shortlisted bidders for Customer Care services include ABSU and a third party, so there is the potential that a new service provider, other than ABSU, will be selected. The introduction of a Customer Care service provider, other than ABSU, will involve transition arrangements with ABSU and others in both 2007 and 2008, and the costs consequences and upper limits of those costs have been estimated. Final estimates of such costs cannot be made until a later date.

Within these practical constraints, the parties have settled Issues 7.1 through 7.4 , which are the Customer Care and CIS issues in this EB-2006-0034 proceeding. The settlement necessarily reflects the fact that certain aspects of the CIS and Customer Care arrangements, including the final costs and contract terms, will not be known until later in 2007.

The parties have agreed that a placeholder amount will be used to establish the revenue requirement for Customer Care costs for 2007. The placeholder chosen is the cost-per-customer set by the Board in the EB-2005-0001 Decision, at \$49.58. As a result of this settlement, the total Customer Care budget to be recovered in rates for 2007, including all internal and external costs (except for bad debt), and including all revenue requirement impacts of CIS, will be \(\$ 90.8\) million, plus an amount of \(\$ 15.1\) million representing the provision for uncollectible accounts.

The settlement includes provision for a "true-up" process to adjust the revenue requirement to reflect the prudent and reasonable forecast amounts resulting from the procurement processes, and to reflect the agreed-upon recovery of certain "transition" costs.

The parties believe that a six-year term, covering the period 2007 through 2012 inclusive, is the appropriate term over which to calculate the revenue requirement relating to Customer Care and CIS. The expected costs of CIS and Customer Care during that period may fluctuate year over year. The parties agree that the annual amounts included in rates should be smoothed, over the 2007-2012 term, to avoid swings in rates. The effect of the true-up process is (a) to capture any variance between the 2007 placeholder for Customer Care and CIS revenue requirement of \(\$ 90.8\) million and the normalized revenue requirement for 2007 and pay that variance to, or recover it from, the ratepayers in the 2008-2012 period, and (b) establish the component of the Company's revenue requirement relating to Customer Care and CIS (except bad debt) for the period 2007-2012, and smooth the rate impacts of that component over that period.

To reflect the settlement the parties have agreed upon a template (the "Template"), which sets out all of the relevant categories of expenses over the 2007 to 2012 period
that relate to Customer Care and CIS (except for bad debt costs). The costs in a number of those categories can be established today, and the parties have therefore agreed to those amounts. However, some costs to be set out in the Template must be determined when the contract prices and other costs are known. For those costs, the parties have agreed to the parameters under which those costs will be calculated or forecast and then included in the true-up calculation.

As the parties anticipate the possibility of an incentive regulation ("IR") regime, the terms of which are expected to be established later in 2007, they believe that the trueup should occur at a time when the IR formula for the Company has been established. Once the contract for Customer Care services has been signed, and the terms of IR are known, which is expected to be in the fall of 2007, the parties have agreed that the trueup should take place, in accordance with the true-up rules set out in this Settlement Proposal and Appendix. Parties agree that adjustments may need to be made to aspects of this agreement in the event that the IR regime that, for the purposes of calculation, was assumed by the parties in creating the Template - ie. a price cap IR regime of five years in duration, beginning January 1, 2008 - is not established. Adjustments may need to be made to the normalization approach set out in the True-Up Rules (which are attached) to make it compatible with the IR model and formula that is approved for Enbridge Gas Distribution. Any such adjustments would not affect the total revenue requirement to be recovered over the term of this agreement, but they may impact upon the amount to be recovered in each year of the agreement under the normalization approach that is used.

Finally, the parties agree that the Consultative will continue to monitor the completion of the procurement process, up to and including reviewing the final terms of the contracts, and thereafter, the implementation of the CIS and Customer Care arrangements, which the parties agree will be no later than six months after the in-service date for the new CIS. As has been the case to date, the Intervenors involved in the Consultative agree that they will raise any concerns about the ongoing process, and the outcomes from that process, as soon as they have sufficient information to identify and communicate those concerns. If the Intervenors involved in the Consultative believe that they are not receiving sufficient information, they will advise the Company immediately. The parties agree that the Consultative will continue to work in a timely, responsive and reasonable manner until its mandate is completed. Finally, the parties agree that all costs of the Consultative, for as long as it continues, will be fully recoverable from ratepayers. Costs of the Consultative that are incurred in 2007 will be included in the already established 2007 Ontario Hearings Costs Variance Account (2007 OHCVA). Parties agree to support the continuation of appropriate deferral accounts in future years for the recording and disposition of future costs of the Consultative, unless these costs are included in the Company's regulatory O\&M budget during the IR term.

\section*{II TERMS OF SETTLEMENT}

Against that background, the parties have agreed as follows:

\section*{(A) 2007 O\&M Customer Care costs}

As noted above, certain of the anticipated costs associated with Customer Care during the period 2007 through 2012 will not be known until RFP processes currently being carried out by the Company are completed and market prices are identified. As a result, revenue requirement will be established for 2007 using a placeholder to calculate the Customer Care costs. The placeholder will be the Board-approved 2006 cost per customer of \(\$ 49.58\), times the projected number of customers in 2007, 1,831,283, to get a total Customer Care placeholder of \(\$ 90.8\) million for 2007.

The parties agree that projected bad debt costs (Provision for Uncollectible Accounts) of \(\$ 15.1\) million as filed by the Company shall be recoverable in rates in 2007. This agreement does not deal with bad debt costs beyond 2007; as a result, bad debt costs are not included in the True-Up calculation. For the period from 2008 to 2012, bad debt costs will be dealt with by the Board along with other O\&M costs, separately from other Customer Care costs which are the subject of this agreement, in such other proceeding or proceedings as the Board may determine.

For the purposes of settlement, the Customer Care placeholder of \(\$ 90.8\) million plus bad debt costs of \(\$ 15.1\) million will replace the amounts in the Company's Application and pre-filed evidence which total \(\$ 130.1\) million, and are comprised of \(\$ 101.6\) million for Customer Care and CIS Service Charges, \(\$ 3.4\) million for Customer Care Internal Costs, \(\$ 15.1\) million for Provision for Uncollectibles and \(\$ 10.0\) million for transition costs (see Exhibit D1-2-1, p. 3, Table 1, lines 2 to 4 and Ex. D1-1-1, p. 1, Table 1, line 3). These internal and transition costs are addressed in the True-Up Rules which are attached as Appendix A.

As a result, the settlement of this item will reduce the Company's revenue deficiency for the Test Year by approximately \(\$ 24.2\) million, from the \(\$ 52.1\) million remaining as the revenue deficiency in the Company's Application, after the Settlement Proposal (Ex. N1-1-1) revenue deficiency of \(\$ 29.9\) million was approved by the Board on January 29, 2007 (with \(\$ 26.0\) million thereof recoverable in interim rates effective April 1, 2007). The remaining revenue deficiency at issue in the Company's Application is now about \(\$ 26.1\) million, taking into account the fact that parties are agreeing in this Supplementary Settlement Proposal that the Company can recover a revenue deficiency of approximately \(\$ 1.8\) million in respect of customer care and CIS costs in the Test Year (the amount that is the difference between the 2006 Board-approved budget of \(\$ 104.1\) million and the \(\$ 105.9\) million total amount for 2007 for Customer Care, CIS and bad debt costs). This \(\$ 1.8\) million Customer Care revenue deficiency can be
derived by accounting for customer growth in F2007 over the previous year (the \(\$ 49.58\) placeholder is multiplied by 46,228 , which is the forecast number of new customers in 2007) and adjusting for a reduction of \(\$ 500,000\) in bad debt costs, as compared to F2006.

\section*{(B) 2007 Capital costs related to CIS}

The parties agree that any capital spending by the Company during the 2007 Test Year related to the new CIS shall be in addition to the Company's overall Board-approved capital budget of \(\$ 300\) million plus the costs of the Portlands Energy Centre LTC. This is consistent with the language in Issue 1.1 of the Settlement Proposal in this EB-20060034 proceeding, which was approved by the Board on January 29, 2007 and which stated that "[p]arties have reached a global settlement of all 2007 Rate Base issues, except for issues related to the capital budget for the new CIS system" (Ex. N1-1-1, p. 13). No capital expenditures in 2007 relating to the new CIS will be closed to rate base in 2007, and the new CIS will have no impact on 2007 rates.

\section*{(C) Selection process for new CIS and Customer Care service providers and Transition Plan}

As explained above in the Introduction section, it is anticipated that the selection of a new CIS and a new Customer Care service provider will occur in the second quarter of 2007, when the associated RFP processes are completed.

Once selections are made, contracts will have to be negotiated and settled with the chosen parties. At that time, some of the expected costs of the new CIS, and payments to be made to the new Customer Care service provider, will be established between Enbridge Gas Distribution and the service providers through contractual arrangements. The Consultative will continue to function until the completion of the procurement process, the implementation of those CIS and Customer Care arrangements and the completion of the true-up process described below. The Consultative will be involved with monitoring the selection process and reviewing the terms and prudence of the resulting contracts, including the reasonableness of their costs. Parties agree that the Consultative will continue to work in a timely, responsive and reasonable manner until its mandate is completed.

The selection processes for both the CIS and the Customer Care services RFPs are underway. At this point, the remaining shortlisted bidders for the Customer Care services include ABSU and a third party. The remaining shortlisted bidders for the
system integrator component of the new CIS include ABSU and a third party. The parties have agreed that for the time period from January 1, 2007 to March 31, 2007, CWLP will continue to provide CIS and Customer Care services to Enbridge Gas Distribution. For the period commencing April 1, 2007 and concluding no later than September 30, 2008, Enbridge Gas Distribution is making arrangements with ABSU to provide the CIS and Customer Care services directly to Enbridge Gas Distribution, at least until the potential transition to new service providers is complete.

There are two types of transition costs addressed in this Supplementary Settlement Proposal: CIS transition costs and Customer Care transition costs.

The parties acknowledge and agree that all transition costs with respect to the new CIS are included in the \(\$ 118.7\) million capital cost of the new CIS (discussed below), whether or not ABSU is awarded the system integrator component of that project.

The parties further acknowledge and agree that, in the event that ABSU is chosen as the Customer Care service provider, there will be no transition costs associated with Customer Care services. In the event that the third party is chosen as the Customer Care service provider, then there will be transition costs associated with the move to the new service provider. Enbridge Gas Distribution has prepared, and has shared with the Consultative, a Transition Plan that sets out how Customer Care may be transitioned to a new service provider. The parties agree that there will be costs associated with any such transition, and that those costs are recoverable in the manner and amounts described in detail in the True-Up Rules at Appendix A. The Company agrees that it will keep the transition costs, and the transition time period, to a reasonable level while managing the risks associated with transition and ensuring that the ongoing provision of Customer Care services meets OEB-mandated service levels. In this regard, the Company agrees that while the maximum time period for transition to a new Customer Care service provider will be 18 months from April 1, 2007, it will make best efforts to shorten that time period. The Company will ensure that its arrangements with ABSU will allow the Company to direct ABSU to cease the provision of some or all Customer Care transition services before the end of 18 months and, as a result, to reduce the transition costs payable by Enbridge Gas Distribution to ABSU.

\section*{(D) The True-Up process and Revenue Requirement for 2008 to 2012}
(i) Overview

The parties agree that, on a date (the "True-Up Time") that is the later of (a) the date when the Company's Customer Care RFP is completed and the contract is signed, and
(b) the date when the Board's decision with respect to the duration, rules and formulae for IR that relate to Enbridge Gas Distribution is released, the parties will calculate a true-up and smoothing for the Customer Care amounts for 2007 to 2012, using the specific rules set forth in Appendix A to this Settlement Proposal (the "True-Up Rules").

As set out in more detail below in Appendix A, the amount of the Customer Care costs that are projected to be incurred by the Company during the 2007 to 2012 period, and which the Company will recover in rates, will be determined by the parties at the TrueUp Time in accordance with the criteria specified in the True-Up Rules. The components of the Customer Care costs and revenue requirement are itemized in the "Customer Care and CIS Settlement Template" (already defined as the "Template"), which is attached to Appendix A.

It is the intention of the parties that the True-Up process will be used to determine the Customer Care amount for 2007 (the "Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement") that, when adjusted using the True-Up Rules for each year until 2012, will allow the Company to fully recover in rates the costs incurred in providing Customer Care services (including CIS) during the period from 2007 through 2012.

In the event that the parties are unable to agree on the amount of any component of the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement or any number to be included in the Template, other than those numbers that are fixed by the terms of this agreement, then parties agree that the unresolved dispute will be determined by the Board in accordance with the criteria specified in the True-Up Rules. Specifically, if the parties have not agreed to the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement within sixty days of the True-Up Time, they shall list the components of the calculation that are in dispute, and provide that list to the Board for determination in accordance with the criteria specified in the True-Up Rules.

The outcome of the True-Up process will be the subject of a separate application to the Board. That application will include, for Board approval, all numbers that are agreed upon and set in accordance with the True-Up Rules, as well as the list of the items remaining at issue to be determined by the Board.

\section*{(ii) 2007 Customer Care Variance Account}

At True-Up Time, the Company will calculate the difference (the "2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement Variance") between that amount of revenue requirement that is, pursuant to the True-Up Rules, recoverable for 2007 Customer Care costs (the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement) and the placeholder of \(\$ 90.8\) million, and will credit or debit the 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement

Variance, as the case may be, to the 2007 Customer Care Variance Account. The balance in that account will be repaid to the ratepayers, or charged to the ratepayers, with interest, over the course of 2008 to 2012. The 2007 Customer Care Variance Account will be cleared in accordance with the True-Up Rules.

In order for effect to be given to this provision of this Settlement Proposal, parties agree that it is appropriate that a 2007 Customer Care Variance Account be created, and continued until 2012.

\section*{(iii) Revenue requirement for Customer Care costs between 2008 and 2012}

The revenue requirement that the Company will be entitled to recover each year in respect of Customer Care costs (including CIS but not including bad debt) from 2008 to 2012 shall be the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement, as adjusted for each year from 2008 to 2012 (inclusive) by the Incentive Regulation formula. The intention of the parties is that this will result in a relatively stable revenue requirement for CIS and Customer Care services over a five year period.

As set out above, and explained in the True-Up Rules, the "Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement" will be the amount that, when adjusted according to the True-Up Rules (including the rules for IR described as part of the True-Up Rules) for each year until 2012, will allow the Company to fully recover in rates the total of all forecast prudent and reasonable Customer Care costs (including CIS but not including bad debt) for the period from 2007 through 2012.

The parties agree that all O\&M costs associated with Customer Care (except for bad debt costs), including O\&M relating to the Company's proposed new CIS, are included in the calculation of Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement and therefore will be properly recovered in rates during the period 2007 through 2012 through the operation of the True-Up Rules.

The Company agrees that, once the outstanding items on the Template are determined, and completed, and, as a result, the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement is established, the Company will not seek any adjustment to its rates or revenue requirement that is directly or indirectly based on changes in Customer Care costs during the term of this agreement. Intervenors similarly agree that they will not seek adjustments to the Company's rates or revenue requirement that is directly or indirectly based on changes in Customer Care costs. As expressed above, bad debt costs are not included as part of the Customer Care costs that are the subject of this agreement from 2008 to 2012.

Notwithstanding the limitations expressed in the preceding paragraph, the parties agree that in the event that new legislative or regulatory requirements, that are currently unknown and that are beyond the Company's control, are imposed on the Company, in the period up to and including 2012, and those requirements materially change the level of Customer Care costs, then any of the parties shall be entitled to make application to the Board for adjustments to rates or revenue requirement as appropriate. The materiality threshold that applies to this aspect of the agreement will be established at the IR proceeding. The parties agree that the rights conferred in this paragraph will be no greater than any rights to revisit any issue based on changes in legislative or regulatory requirements that are established as part of the IR rules that apply to the Company.

In order to give effect to certain aspects of the True-Up Rules, as detailed in Appendix A, parties agree that it is appropriate that 2007 and 2008 Customer Care Transition Costs Variance Accounts be created to track certain transition costs related to Customer Care. The transition costs to be tracked in these accounts relate to activities that ABSU and external contractors and internal resources will undertake to transfer knowledge and services to the new service provider. This will include such tasks as training, documentation and management of the vendors through the transition. The transition costs to be tracked in these accounts are subject to a maximum total amount of \(\$ 11.1\) million. The details of the 2007 and 2008 Customer Care Transition Costs Variance Accounts are set out below, as part of the True-Up Rules.

\section*{(iv) New CIS}

As the Board is aware, the Company is planning to replace its current CIS service with a new CIS that will be owned by the Company. When this system is implemented, which is expected in 2009, its capital cost will be included as part of the Company's utility rate base. Through the Consultative process, and subject to an adjustment described below, the parties have agreed that a reasonable cost for this asset is \(\$ 118.7\) million, including procurement costs of \(\$ 5.1\) million. The parties agree that rates will be set during the period of this agreement on the basis of a CIS cost that will be no higher than \(\$ 118.7\) million. This \(\$ 118.7\) million budget consists of an amount of \(\$ 42\) million for system integrator contract costs, which are subject to a direct competitive tender process, and an amount of about \(\$ 76.7\) million which the Company will manage and control during the CIS procurement and implementation process.

All parties agree that the Company's revenue requirement associated with Customer Care activities for the 2007 to 2012 period will incorporate a portion of the cost for the new CIS of \(\$ 118.7\) million, including procurement costs of \(\$ 5.1\) million, as set out below. The procurement process that provides support for the reasonableness of this cost is
described in the evidence of Mario Bauer (Exhibit L-2), and the CIS cost analysis attached thereto. The parties agree that this \(\$ 118.7\) million cost is subject to reduction in the event that the system integrator contract costs arrived at through the CIS procurement process are less than \(\$ 42\) million. In the event that the system integrator costs are \(\$ 42\) million or more, then the parties agree to the cost of \(\$ 118.7\) million for the completion of the Template and the term of this agreement.

While the revenue requirement attributable to CIS shown in Row 3 of the Template is not yet finalized, the parties agree upon the following:
1. As stated above, the parties agree upon the prudence of the CIS procurement process and the capital cost for the new CIS of \(\$ 118.7\) million, which includes procurement costs of \(\$ 5.1\) million.
2. The parties agree that the amounts to be recovered in rates will be reduced, if the system integrator contract costs arrived at through the CIS procurement process are less than \(\$ 42\) million.
3. Subject to the restrictions on CIS costs set forth in this agreement, there is agreement that all prudently incurred and reasonable costs associated with the new CIS, including return and income taxes, should be recoverable in rates, during the term of this agreement, and for the 10-year economic life of the new CIS assets.
4. The parties agree that the term of this agreement will be six years from 2007 to 2012, in order to enable the smoothing and managing of the recovery of the revenue requirement attributable to the new CIS during those years.
5. The parties agree that they support the decision to procure the new CIS as prudent, the inclusion of the new CIS in rate base in 2009, and the recovery of all amounts associated with the new CIS subject to the terms of this agreement. Subject to any adjustment that may be made to rate base as of December 31, 2012 to reflect the actual costs of the new CIS, as set forth below, the parties agree that, as of January 1, 2013, the amount included in opening rate base for the new CIS shall be its 2012 closing net book value of approximately \(\$ 71.4\) million.
6. The parties agree that, for rate-making purposes, the in-service date of the new CIS will be deemed to be July 1, 2009, regardless of the actual in-service date, and the rate base for the new CIS will be calculated in all respects as if it was brought into service on July 1, 2009.
7. The parties agree that, for rate-making purposes, CIS Capital Costs at the end of the term of this Agreement will be treated as follows:
a. If the actual costs of the New CIS are less than \(\$ 118.7\) million, then the \(\$ 71.4\) million amount included in the January 1, 2013 opening rate base for the New CIS shall be appropriately adjusted downwards;
b. No capital costs in addition to the amount of \(\$ 118.7\) million will be eligible for closure to rate base on January 1, 2013, unless Enbridge Gas Distribution then demonstrates the reasonableness and prudence of such additional costs; and on the further condition that the only additional amounts eligible for consideration will be confined to increases in the system integrator costs beyond the \(\$ 42\) million provision for those costs included within the budget of \(\$ 118.7\) million.

On this basis, and subject to later adjustment as described at point 2 above, the parties request the Board, as part of the approval of this Settlement Proposal, to approve the prudence and \(\$ 118.7\) million cost of the new CIS, which includes procurement costs of \(\$ 5.1\) million.

The parties agree that there are three, and only three, possible adjustments to be made later to the revenue requirement attributable to CIS for the period 2009 through 2012, as shown in Row 3 of the Template.

The first possible adjustment relates to the tax savings associated with the high Capital Cost Allowance (CCA) for IT hardware and software for the CIS asset. The high CCA produces substantial tax savings in the first two years of the asset's ten year life. The Company acknowledges and agrees that the ratepayers are to receive credit for the full value of these tax savings. The tax rules provide that Enbridge Gas Distribution will be kept whole with respect to income taxes over the full economic life of utility assets, including the 10-year life of the CIS assets. Parties disagree over when the tax savings should be reflected in revenue requirement and rates.

To support a settlement, the parties agree, for ratemaking purposes, to the use of the values included in Row 3 of the Template in determining the revenue requirement for use at True-Up Time. Those values are calculated as if the CIS costs, including tax savings, were calculated on a conventional forward test year cost of service basis for each year during the period 2009-2012. The Company has agreed to use this assumption on the understanding that Enbridge Gas Distribution retains the right to bring an application before the Board seeking a different approach to the timing of when the tax savings are reflected in revenue requirement. Enbridge Gas Distribution agrees that it will, if it elects to make such application, file that application by June 30, 2007. Intervenors' rights to oppose any such application remain unfettered and they retain the
right to rely on any and all grounds of opposition considered by them to be appropriate. The parties agree that there will be no inference that Enbridge Gas Distribution has tacitly acquiesced to values in Row 3, by accepting them in this Supplementary Settlement Agreement, and all parties acknowledge that the Company's acceptance of the values in Row 3 is "without prejudice" to the application described above, should the Company decide to file it by June 30, 2007. In the event that the Board approves a different approach to the timing of when the tax savings are reflected in revenue requirement, then parties agree that the values shown in Row 3 of the Template are to be adjusted accordingly. If Enbridge Gas Distribution does not file such an application by June 30, 2007, or if Enbridge Gas Distribution files such an application but the relief requested is not granted, then, subject to the remaining possible adjustments described below, the values in Row 3 of the Template will remain as stated therein.

The two remaining potential adjustments to the CIS revenue requirement amounts for the period 2009 through 2012, as shown in Row 3 of the Template, pertain to Enbridge Gas Distribution's equity ratio and the possibility that the system integrator contract costs resulting from the CIS procurement process are less than \(\$ 42\) million.

The amounts in Row 3 of the Template reflect a \(35 \%\) level of deemed equity for the Company. The issue of the appropriate level of deemed equity for the Company is currently before the Board in this F2007 rate case, and there may be changes from the \(35 \%\) level. Parties agree that the amounts in Row 3 of the Template should be adjusted at True-Up Time in the event that the Company's level of deemed equity is changed in the Board's decision in the F2007 rate case.

The amounts in Row 3 of the Template reflect a \(\$ 118.7\) million cost for the new CIS. In the event that the system integrator contract costs arrived at through the CIS RFP process are less than \(\$ 42\) million, then parties agree that the amounts in Row 3 should be adjusted accordingly. In the event that the system integrator costs are \(\$ 42\) million or more, then the parties agree to the cost of \(\$ 118.7\) million for the term of this agreement.

Subject to the outcome of any application which Enbridge Gas Distribution may bring before the Board, as described above, Enbridge Gas Distribution agrees that once the outstanding items on the Template are determined, and completed, and as a result the Normalized 2008 Customer Care Revenue Requirement is established, the Company will not seek any adjustment to its rates or revenue requirement relating to the cost of the new CIS during the term of this agreement. Intervenors similarly agree that they will not seek adjustments to the Company's rates or revenue requirement that are directly or indirectly based on changes in CIS costs.

Notwithstanding the limitations expressed in the preceding paragraphs, the parties agree that in the event that new legislative or regulatory requirements, that are currently unknown and that are beyond the Company's control, are imposed on the Company, in
the period up to and including 2012, and those requirements materially change the level of CIS costs, then any of the parties shall be entitled to make application to the Board for adjustments to rates or revenue requirement as appropriate. The materiality threshold that applies to this aspect of the agreement will be established at the IR proceeding. The parties agree that the rights conferred in this paragraph will be no greater than any rights to revisit any issue based on changes in legislative or regulatory requirements that are established as part of the IR rules that apply to the Company.

\section*{(v) Future revenue-generating opportunities from the new CIS}

The Company agrees to use its best efforts to identify and take advantage of opportunities to use the new CIS asset to provide CIS services to third party organizations to generate additional revenue opportunities, and that the gains from any such opportunities shall be shared with ratepayers in a manner to be agreed upon. A consultative group, including Intervenors, may be convened to consider how such opportunities would be addressed. The parties agree that, in the event that the sharing of such gains cannot be agreed upon by the parties, then they will put the issue of the appropriate gainsharing to be used to the Board. The parties agree that any gains to be shared with ratepayers would be cleared to ratepayers by way of an annual adjustment to delivery rates.

Billing services on the Enbridge Gas Distribution bill are covered by the Supplementary Settlement Proposal related to open bill access (Ex. N1-1-1, Appendix C), and are not included in or affected by the provisions set out above.

\section*{APPENDIX A - TRUE-UP RULES}

Attached to this Appendix A is a document entitled "Customer Care and CIS Settlement Template" (the "Template"). The parties have completed each of the boxes A1 through G17 of the Template, by inserting a dollar amount, or zero, or a TBD (To Be Determined) which will be completed at the True-Up Time. The following rules apply to the completion of the Template:
1) Where in the Template there is a dollar figure or zero already inserted in any box, that figure is agreed by the parties, and subject to paragraphs 3,4 and 6 below, will not be altered.
2) The figures agreed to by the parties which are fixed and not subject to change, and which are already included in certain boxes within the Template, include the following:
a. Rows 1, 2 and 2a: rows 1 and 2 represent the amounts that parties agree can be recovered in rates related to payments by Enbridge Gas Distribution to ABSU to provide CIS services and the payments by ABSU to ECSI for the use of the existing CIS asset, until the new CIS asset is in service. Row 2a represents the amounts to be paid to CWLP for the use of the CIS asset from January 1, 2007 to March 31, 2007. Parties agree that a total of \(\$ 28.9\) million shall be included on these rows, divided into the individual amounts included in the Template.
b. Row 4: parties agree to the figures included in the Template as the amounts to be paid for the hosting and support of the new CIS. These amounts are based on Enbridge Gas Distribution estimates which the Intervenors, with the support of their consultants, have reviewed and found to be reasonable.
c. Row 5: parties agree to the figures included in the Template as the amounts to be recovered for the Company's backoffice costs (excluding bad debt) associated with both the old and the new CIS. These amounts are based on Enbridge Gas Distribution estimates which the Intervenors, with the support of their consultants, have reviewed and found to be reasonable.
d. Rows 6 and 7: SAP has been chosen as the provider for the software that will support the new CIS. This software may require some modifications or adaptations, from time to time, to fully support the CIS. The parties agree to the figures included rows 6 and 7 of the Template as the amounts
to be paid to SAP for licence fees and for modifications that may be necessary. These amounts are based on Enbridge Gas Distribution estimates which the Intervenors, with the support of their consultants, have reviewed and found to be reasonable.
e. Row 8: box 8 A includes the amount of \(\$ 16.9\) million, which is the amount that parties have agreed can be recovered in rates related to the provision of Customer Care services by CWLP for the period from January 1, 2007 to March 31, 2007 (which is the date on which ABSU will begin providing Customer Care services on a temporary or permanent basis). Given that CWLP will stop providing services to Enbridge Gas Distribution as of April 2007, the amounts to be reflected in boxes 8B, 8C, 8D, 8E and 8F are zero.
f. Row 11: parties agree to the figures included in the Template as the amounts to be recovered for Customer Care licences to support the existing and new Customer Care service provider delivery of Collections, E-Billing and text to speech voice capability functions. These amounts are based on Enbridge Gas Distribution estimates which Intervenors, with the support of their consultants, have reviewed and found to be reasonable.
g. Row 12: parties agree to the figures included in the Template as the amounts to be recovered for the Company's backoffice costs (excluding bad debt) associated with Customer Care services. These amounts are based on Enbridge Gas Distribution estimates which Intervenors, with the support of their consultants, have reviewed and found to be reasonable.
h. Row 13: this row includes the costs incurred by the Company, and accepted for recovery from ratepayers, related to the procurement of a new customer care service provider. The parties have agreed that a total amount of \(\$ 4.9\) million may be recovered at row 13. This total amount represents the internal and external procurement costs for the new Customer Care services that have been determined by the parties to be prudently incurred and reasonable for recovery from ratepayers. This total amount is allocated equally over the five years from 2008 to 2012. Thus, the amount of \(\$ 0.98\) million is inserted in each of the boxes A13 to F13.
i. Row 17: the total number of customers for each year.
3) Row 3 includes the revenue requirement associated with the new CIS for each of the years from 2007 to 2012, to be filled in as follows:
a. The amounts in boxes A3 and B3 shall be zero, since there is no revenue requirement associated with the new CIS until 2009.
b. The amounts in boxes C3, D3, E3 and F3 represent the annual revenue requirement associated with each of 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 for the new CIS. These amounts, which total \(\$ 46.210\) million, are based upon the agreed-upon cost of the new CIS of \(\$ 118.7\) million. The derivation of these amounts is set out in the spreadsheets attached as Appendix B and the total of \(\$ 46.210\) million is the sum of the items in Columns 1, 2, 3 and 4 at line 12 on the first page of Appendix B. These amounts are subject to adjustment as follows:
i. the amounts in row 3 of the Template reflect a \(\$ 118.7\) million cost for the new CIS. In the event that the system integrator contract costs arrived at through the CIS RFP process are less than \(\$ 42\) and the overall cost is therefore reduced, then parties agree that the amounts in row 3 should be changed to correspond to the lower new CIS cost;
ii. the amounts in row 3 of the Template reflect a \(35 \%\) level of deemed equity for the Company. The issue of the appropriate level of deemed equity for the Company is currently before the Board in this F2007 rate case, and there may be changes from the \(35 \%\) level. Parties agree that the amounts in row 3 of the Template should be changed in the event that the Company's level of deemed equity is changed;
iii. In the event that the Company is successful in an application to the Board for a different approach to the timing of when tax savings associated with the new CIS are reflected in revenue requirement, then corresponding changes will be made to the amounts in row 3.
4) The amounts to be inserted in boxes A9 and B9 shall be determined by the parties as the prudent and reasonable amounts for recovery from ratepayers for sums paid or forecast to be payable by the Company to ABSU for Customer Care services during the period April 1, 2007 through September 30, 2008, in accordance with the following criteria:
a. In the event that ABSU is chosen as the new service provider for Customer Care services from and after April 1, 2007 until December 31, 2012, then the figures to be inserted in boxes A9 and B9 are zero, because there will be no need for a transition period to a new service provider;
b. In the event that a third party other than ABSU is chosen as the new service provider for Customer Care services, then there will be the need for a transition period, for a maximum of 18 months from April 1, 2007, during which ABSU will provide Customer Care services until the new service provider can be fully phased-in.
c. The Company has reached agreement with ABSU for Customer Care services to be provided, on a transition basis for 2007 and 2008 in the event that ABSU is not the successful Customer Care bidder. For settlement purposes, subject to subparagraph (d) below, the Parties agree that amounts of up to \(\$ 52,263,000\) for 2007 and \(\$ 42,623,000\) for 2008 will be included in boxes A9 and B9. These numbers represent the maximum agreed-upon level of costs that the Company may recover in rates in respect of the amounts charged by ABSU during 2007 and 2008 for Customer Care services, on a transitional basis, based on a recoverable cost of \(\$ 38\) per customer per year and a transition period of 18 months;
d. The Company will make best efforts to reduce the length of the transition period from 18 months, and to reduce the actual forecast costs per customer from ABSU to be less than currently forecast. In the event that the actual costs to date and updated forecast costs from ABSU at True-up Time for Customer Care services for the transition period are less than \(\$ 52,263,000\) for 2007 or \(\$ 42,623,000\) for 2008, then the numbers to be inserted in boxes A9 and B9 will be the actual costs to date and updated forecast costs at True-Up Time.
e. The amounts to be inserted in boxes C9, D9, E9 and F9 are zero because, in any event, the transition period for customer care services will not extend beyond 2008.
5) The amounts to be inserted in boxes A10 to F10 are the reasonable forecast annual costs of the new Customer Care service provider, to be determined at the True-Up Time through the results of the Customer Care procurement process. In the event that ABSU is chosen as the new service provider, it is expected that these amounts will be effective as of April 1, 2007. In the event that a third party other than ABSU is chosen as the new service provider, it is expected that these amounts will begin at some time in 2007 or 2008 , because of the need for transition time and activities. The amounts to be included in these boxes are subject to review by the Consultative for prudence and reasonableness. In the event that the Intervenors and the Company do not agree, the issue of prudence and reasonableness will be determined by the Board.
6) The amounts at rows 14 and 15 represent the transition costs associated with moving from CWLP as the Customer Care service provider to a different third party service provider. The transition costs to be included in these rows, and tracked in the 2007 and 2008 Customer Care Transition Costs Variance Accounts, relate to activities that ABSU and external contractors and internal resources will undertake to transfer knowledge and services to the new service provider. This will include such tasks as training, documentation and management of the vendors through the transition.
a. In any event, the number in boxes A14/A15 will be zero.
b. In the event that ABSU is chosen as the new Customer Care service provider then the amounts to be inserted in boxes B14 to F14 and B15 to F15 are zero and subparagraphs 6(c) to (f) do not apply.
c. In the event that a different third party is chosen as the new Customer Care service provider, then a total amount of \(\$ 11.1\) million will be included on rows 14 and 15 . This total amount will be split equally between the years 2008 to 2012, in the amount of \(\$ 2.22\) million per year. Thus, each of boxes B14/B15, C14/C15, D14/D15, E14/E15 and F14/F15 will include the number \(\$ 2.22\) million.
d. The Company will record all prudent and reasonable amounts spent for services, both internal and external, to facilitate the transition from CWLP/ABSU providing Customer Care services to a new service provider in the 2007 and 2008 Customer Care Transition Costs Variance Accounts, to a total maximum of \(\$ 11.1\) million. It is agreed that amounts paid for internal costs shall not include the costs of employees or other resources already included in the budget for the year and re-assigned to this transition, unless a specific new resource was acquired to backfill those other functions.
e. Commencing in 2008, and continuing each year until 2012, the Company will expense the amount of \(\$ 2.22\) million for Customer Care costs, and will at the same time, deduct the same amount from the total amounts recorded in the 2007 and 2008 Customer Care Transition Costs Variance Accounts. The parties agree that, even if the outstanding balance in the 2007 and 2008 Customer Care Transition Costs Variance Accounts becomes zero before 2012, the Company is still entitled to expense and recover the amount of \(\$ 2.22\) million for each year until 2012. The parties further agree that no negative balances will be reflected in the 2007 and 2008 Customer Care Transition Costs Variance Accounts.
f. Parties agree that if the total amounts recorded in the 2007 and 2008 Customer Care Transition Costs Variance Accounts are less than \$11.1 million as of December 31, 2008, then the difference between \(\$ 11.1\) million and the total amounts recorded in the 2007 and 2008 Customer Care Transition Costs Variance Accounts will be credited to ratepayers with interest in equal amounts in 2009 to 2012.
7) Row 16 will be the totals of each of the columns, to be completed when all of the above figures are determined.
8) Column \(G\) will be the totals of each of the rows, to be completed when all of the above figures are determined.
9) Box G16 will be the total of all Customer Care costs and revenue requirement forecast for the period (the "Total Customer Care Forecast").
10) Box G17, already completed, is the forecast total of annual numbers of customers during the period (the "Customer Count").

At True-Up Time, once the Template has been completed, then the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement can be determined. This will be calculated by starting with the Total Customer Care Revenue Requirement for 2007 to 2012, which is the sum of boxes A16 to F16. That Total Customer Care Revenue Requirement will then be placed into an amortization model that calculates, using the IR annual adjustment that is approved for Enbridge Gas Distribution, the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement which is the number that, when adjusted for IR annual adjustment for each year from 2008 through 2012, would allow the Company to fully recover the Adjusted Customer Care Revenue Requirement for 2007 to 2012.

At the same time, parties will calculate the 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement Variance by taking the difference between the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement and the placeholder of \(\$ 90.8\) million. The Company will credit or debit the 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement Variance, as the case may be, to the 2007 Customer Care Variance Account. The balance in that account will be repaid to the ratepayers, or charged to the ratepayers, with interest, over the course of 2008 to 2012.

Attached to this Appendix A is an illustrative example of how the True-Up will be applied. For the purpose of this example, the following assumptions have been employed: (i) at row 3, the CIS cost is recovered by recognizing the tax shield benefit in the first four years, and a deemed equity level of \(35 \%\) is assumed; (ii) ABSU is not awarded the Customer Care contract, so there are transition costs included at row 9; (iii) at row 10, the new CIS service provider contract cost is \(\$ 60\) million per year; and (iv) the
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IR Annual Adjustment is \(1 \%\). The illustrative example sets out the steps that are followed, and the amortization model that is used, to derive the 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement Variance and the Normalized Customer Care Revenue Requirements for 2007 to 2012.
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Customer Care and CIS Settlement Template
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & A & B & C & D & E & \(F\) & G \\
\hline \# & Category of Cost & 2007 & 2008 & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & 2012 & Totals \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CIS Related Categories
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & Old CIS Licence Fee & \multirow{3}{*}{\$14,200,000} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$9,800,000} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$4,900,000} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$0} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$0} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$0} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$28,900,000} \\
\hline 2 & Old CIS Hosting and Support & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 a & Incumbent (CWLP) CIS Services being provided from January to March 2007 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & New CIS Capital Cost & \$0 & SO & \$880,000 & ( \(\$ 5,340,000\) ) & \$25,810,000 & \$24,860,000 & \$46,210,000 \\
\hline 4 & New CIS Hosting and Support & \$0 & SO & \$4,350,000 & \$8,700,000 & \$8,700,000 & \$8,700,000 & \$30,450,000 \\
\hline 5 & CIS Backoffice (EGD Staffing) & \$1,000,000 & \$1,030,000 & \$2,000,000 & \$2,060,000 & \$2,121,800 & \$2,185,454 & \$10,397,254 \\
\hline 6 & SAP Licence Fees & \$0 & SO & \$1,113,500 & \$2,227,000 & \$2,227,000 & \$2,227,000 & \$7,794,500 \\
\hline 7 & SAP Modifications & \$0 & SO & \$1,000,000 & \$1,000,000 & SO & \$0 & \$2,000,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 8 & Incumbent (CWLP) Customer Care Services being provided from - January to March 2007 & \$16,900,000 & SO & \$0 & \$0 & SO & \$0 & \$16,900,000 \\
\hline 9 & Customer Care Transition Service Provider Contract Cost - ABSU April, 2007 to Sep 30, 2008 & Up to \$52,263,000 & Up to \$42,623,000 & \$0 & \$0 & SO & \$0 & \$0 \\
\hline 10 & New Service Provider Contract Cost & TBD & TBD & TBD & TBD & TBD & TBD & \$0 \\
\hline 11 & Customer Care Licences & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$8,400,000 \\
\hline 12 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Customer Care Backoffice (EGD staffing) \\
Customer Care Procurement Costs
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 3,100,000 \\
\$ 0
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 3,193,000 \\
\$ 980,000
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 3,288,790 \\
\$ 980,000
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 3,387,454 \\
\$ 980,000
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 3,489,077 \\
\$ 980,000
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 3,593,750 \\
\$ 980,000
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 20,052,071 \\
\$ 4,900,000
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 14 & Transition Costs - Consultants and ISP & \$0 & \$2,220,000 & \$2,220,000 & \$2,220,000 & \$2,220,000 & \$2,220,000 & \$11,100,000 \\
\hline 15 & Transition Costs - EGD Staffing & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|r|l|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 16 & Total CIS \& Customer Care & TBD & TBD & TBD & TBD & TBD & TBD & TBD \\
\hline 17 & Number of Customers & \(1,831,283\) & \(1,878,004\) & \(1,925,563\) & \(1,973,575\) & \(2,021,588\) & \(2,069,600\) & \(11,699,613\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Customer Care and CIS Settlement Template - Example for purpose of illustrating True-Up} \\
\hline & & A & B & c & D & E & F & G \\
\hline \# & Category of Cost & 2007 & 2008 & 2009 & 2070 & 2077 & 2072 & Totals \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{CIS Related Categories} \\
\hline 1 & Old CIS Licence Fee & \multirow{3}{*}{\$14,200,000} & \multirow{3}{*}{59,800,000} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$4,900,000} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$0} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$0} & \multirow{3}{*}{so} & \multirow{3}{*}{\$28,900,000} \\
\hline 2 & Old CIS Hosting and Support & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 a & Incumbent (CWLP) CIS Services being provided from January to March 2007 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & New CIS Capital Cost (Intervenor Mode/ @ 35\% Equity) & \$0 & so & \$880,000 & ( \(55,340,000\) ) & \$25,810,000 & \$24,860,000 & \$46,210,000 \\
\hline 4 & New CIS Hosting and Support & so & so & \$4,350,000 & \$8,700,000 & \$8,700,000 & \$8,700,000 & \$30,450,000 \\
\hline 5 & CIS Backoffice (EGD Staffing) & \$1,000,000 & \$1,030,000 & \$2,000,000 & \$2,060,000 & \$2,121,800 & \$2,185,454 & \$10,397,254 \\
\hline 6 & SAP Licence Fees & \$0 & So & \$1,113,500 & \$2,227,000 & \$2,227,000 & \$2,227,000 & \$7,794,500 \\
\hline 7 & SAP Modifications & so & so & \$1,000,000 & \$1,000,000 & \$0 & so & \$2,000,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Customer Care Related Categories
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 8 & Incumbent (CWLP) Customer Care Services being provided from - January to March 2007 & \$16,900,000 & SO & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & so & \$16,900,000 \\
\hline 9 & Customer Care Transition Service Provider Contract Cost - ABSU April, 2007 to Sep 30, 2008 & \$52,263,530 & \$42,623,220 & S0 & \$0 & \$0 & So & \$94,886,750 \\
\hline 10 & New Service Provider Contract Cost - (Values placed for illustrative purposes) & \$0 & \$24,000,000 & \$60,000,000 & \$60,000,000 & \$60,000,000 & \$60,000,000 & \$264,000,000 \\
\hline 11 & Customer Care Licences & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$1,400,000 & \$8,400,000 \\
\hline 12 & Customer Care Backoffice (EGD staffing) & \$3,100,000 & \$3,193,000 & \$3,288,790 & \$3,387,454 & \$3,489,077 & \$3,593,750 & \$20,052,071 \\
\hline 13 & Customer Care Procurement Costs & \$0 & \$980,000 & 5980,000 & 5980,000 & \$980,000 & \$980,000 & \$4,900,000 \\
\hline 14 & Transition Costs - Consultants and ISP & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$0} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$2,220,000} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$2,220,000} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$2,220,000} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$2,220,000} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$2,220,000} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$11,100,000} \\
\hline 15 & Transition Costs - EGD Staffing & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 16 & Total CIS \& Customer Care & \$88,863,530 & \$85,246,220 & \$82,132,290 & \$76,634,454 & \$106,947,877 & \$106,166,204 & \$545,990,575 \\
\hline 17 & Number of Customers & 1,831,283 & 1,878,004 & 1,925,563 & 1,973,575 & 2,021,588 & 2,069,600 & 11,699,613 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & True-Up Process Step & A & B & c & D & E & \(F\) & G \\
\hline 18 & The Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement can be determined. This will be calculated by starting with the Total Customer Care Revenue Requirement for 2007 to 2012, which is the amount in box G16 & \$545,990,575 & & & & & & \\
\hline 19 & That Total Customer Care Revenue Requirement will then be placed into an amortization model that calculates, using the IR annual adjustment that is approved for Enbridge Gas Distribution, the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement which is the number that, when adjusted for IR annual adjustment for each year from 2008 through 2012, will allow the Company to fully recover the Total Customer Care Revenue Requirement for 2007 to 2012 & \$88,749,876.15 & & & & & & \\
\hline 20 & The Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement will then be compared to the 2007 placeholder of \(\$ 90.8\) million, and the difference will be the 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement Variance. & (\$2,050,124) & & & & & & \\
\hline 21 & The Company will credit or debit the 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement Variance, as the case may be, to the 2007 Customer Care Variance Account. The balance in that account will be repaid to the ratepayers, or charged to the ratepayers, with interest, over the course of 2008 to 2012. & & \((\$ 410,025)\) & \((\$ 410,025)\) & \((\$ 410,025)\) & \((\$ 410,025)\) & \((\$ 410,025)\) & \\
\hline 22 & The Normalized 2008 Customer Care Revenue Requirement will be the Normalized 2007 Customer Care Revenue Requirement, plus or minus the \(I R\) annual adjustment that is approved for Enbridge Gas Distribution. & & \$89,637,375 & \$90,533,749 & \$91,439,086 & \$92,353,477 & \$93,277,012 & \\
\hline 23 & Total Customer Care Revenue By Year (Including repayment of 2007 variance) & 90,800,000 & \$ 89,227,350 & \$ 90,123,724 & \$ 91,029,061 & S 91,943,452 & \$ 92,866,987 & \$ 545,990,575 \\
\hline 24 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Normalized Customer Care Revenue Requirement \\
Per Customer without Bad Debt
\end{tabular} & 49.58 & 47.51 & 46.80 & 46.12 & 45.48 & \$ 44.87 & \\
\hline & IR Annual Adjustment & \(1 \%\) & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & Appendix B & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Utility \\
Ontario Ut Incremental
\end{tabular} & Owned CIS S 10 Year Life tility Capital Long Term & \begin{tabular}{l}
stem \\
tructure \\
Debt / 35\% E
\end{tabular} & & & & & \\
\hline & Col. 1 & Col. 2 & Col. 3 & Col. 4 & & & & & & \\
\hline Line No. & Component & Indicated Cost Rate & Return Component & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (4 dec.) } \\
& \text { Return } \\
& \text { Component }
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & & & \\
\hline & \% & \% & \% & \% & & & & & & \\
\hline 1. Long-term debt & 65.00 & 5.35 & 3.48 & 3.4775 & & & & & & \\
\hline 2. Short-term debt & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.0000 & & & & & & \\
\hline 3. & 65.00 & & 3.48 & 3.4775 & & & & & & \\
\hline 4. Preference shares & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.0000 & & & & & & \\
\hline 5. Common equity & 35.00 & 8.39 & \(\underline{2.94}\) & \(\underline{2.9365}\) & & & & & & \\
\hline 6. & 100.00 & & \(\underline{6.42}\) & \(\underline{6.4140}\) & & & & & & \\
\hline (\$Millions) & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & 2012 & 2013 & 2014 & 2015 & 2016 & 2017 & 2018 \\
\hline 7. Ontario Ltility Income (\$M) & 6.69 & 9.89 & (10.77) & (10.92) & (11.07) & (11.22) & (11.37) & (11.52) & (11.67) & (11.81) \\
\hline 8. Rate base (\$M) & 112.98 & 101.09 & 89.20 & 77.31 & 65.42 & 53.52 & 41.63 & 29.74 & 17.85 & 5.96 \\
\hline 9. Indicated rate of return \% & 5.921 \% & 9.783 \% & (12.074)\% & (14.125)\% & (16.921)\% & (20.963)\% & (27.311)\% & (38.734)\% & (65.372)\% & (198.101)\% \\
\hline 10. (Deficiency) in rate of return \% & (0.493)\% & \(3.369 \%\) & (18.488)\% & (20.539)\% & (23.335)\% & (27.377)\% & (33.725)\% & (45.148)\% & (71.786)\% & (204.515)\% \\
\hline 11. Net (deficiency) (\$M) & (0.56) & 3.41 & (16.49) & (15.88) & (15.27) & (14.65) & (14.04) & (13.43) & (12.81) & (12.19) \\
\hline 12. Gross (deficiency) (\$M) & \(\stackrel{0.88)}{=}\) & \(\stackrel{5.34}{\underline{-1}}\) & (25.81) & \(\stackrel{(24.86)}{ }\) & \((23.90)\) & \((22.93)\) & \((21.98)\) & \((\underline{\underline{21.02)}}\) & \(\stackrel{(20.05)}{ }\) & \(\stackrel{(19.08)}{ }\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Appendix B} \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Utility Owned CIS System 10 Year Life Ontario Utility Income \\
(\$Millions)
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline No. & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Line} & 2018 \\
\hline Revenue & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1. Gas sales & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 2. Transportation of gas & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 3. Transmission and compression & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 4. Storage service & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 5. Other operating revenue & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 6. Interest and property rental & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 7. Other incorne & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 8. Total revenue & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Costs and expenses} \\
\hline 9. ClS -selection procurement cost & 5.10 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10. Operation and maintenance & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 11. Depreciation and amortization & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 \\
\hline 12. Provincial capital taxes & 0.16 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 13. Total costs and expenses & 17.15 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 \\
\hline 14. Utility income before inc. taxes & (17.15) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Income taxes} \\
\hline 15. Excluding interest shield & (22.42) & (20.51) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 16. Tax shield on interest expense & (1.42) & (1.27) & (1.12) & (0.97) & (0.82) & (0.67) & (0.52) & (0.37) & (0.22) & (0.08) \\
\hline 17. Total income taxes & (23.84) & (21.78) & (1.12) & (0.97) & (0.82) & (0.67) & (0.52) & (0.37) & (0.22) & (0.08) \\
\hline 18. Ontario utility net income & 6.69 & 9.89 & (10.77) & (10.92) & (11.07) & (11.22) & (11.37) & (11.52) & (11.67) & (11.81) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix B \\ Utility Owned CIS System \\ 10 Year Life \\ Ontario Utility Taxable Income and Income Tax Expense}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & (\$Millions) & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Line \\
No.
\end{tabular} & & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & 2012 & 2013 & 2014 & 2015 & 2016 & 2017 & 2018 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1.} & Utility income before income taxes & (17.15) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) & (11.89) \\
\hline & Add Backs & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2. & Depreciation and amortization & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 \\
\hline 3. & Large corporation tax & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 4. & Other non-deductible iterns & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 5. & Any other add back(s) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 6. & Total added back & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7.} & Sub total - pre-tax income plus add backs & (5.26) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & Deductions & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 8. & Capital cost allowance - Federal & 56.80 & 56.80 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 9. & Capital cost allowance - Provincial & 56.80 & 56.80 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10. & Hems capitalized for regulatory purposes & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 11. & Deduction for "grossed up" Part V1.1 tax & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 12. & Amortization of share and debt issue expense & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 13. & Amortization of cumulative eligible capital & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 14. & Amortization of C.D.E. \& C.O.G.P.E. & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 15. & Any other deduction(s) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 16. & Total Deductions - Federal & 56.80 & 56.80 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 17. & Total Deductions - Provincial & 56.80 & 56.80 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 18. & Taxable income - Federal & (62.06) & (56.80) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 19. & Taxable income - Provincial & (62.06) & (56.80) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 20. & Income tax provision-Federal @ \(22.12 \%\) & (13.73) & (12.56) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 21. & Income tax provision - Provincial @ \(14.00 \%\) & (8.69) & (7.95) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 22. & Income tax provision - combined & (22.42) & (20.51) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 23. & Part V1.1 tax & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 24. & Investrient tax credit & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{25.} & Total taxes excluding tax shield on interest expense & (22.42) & (20.51) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & Tax shield on interest expense & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 26. & Rate base as adjusted & 112.98 & 101.09 & 89.20 & 77.31 & 65.42 & 53.52 & 41.63 & 29.74 & 17.85 & 5.96 \\
\hline 27. & Return component of debt & 3.4775\% & 3.4775\% & 3.4775\% & 3.4775\% & 3.4775\% & 3.4775\% & 3.4775\% & 3.4775\% & 3.4775\% & 3.4775\% \\
\hline 28. & Interest expense & 3.93 & 3.52 & 3.10 & 2.69 & 2.28 & 1.86 & 1.45 & 1.03 & 0.62 & 0.21 \\
\hline 29. & Combined tax rate & 0.3612 & 0.3612 & 0.3612 & 0.3612 & 0.3612 & 0.3612 & 0.3612 & 0.3612 & 0.3612 & 0.3612 \\
\hline 30. & Income tax credit & (1.42) & (1.27) & (1.12) & (0.97) & (0.82) & (0.67) & (0.52) & (0.37) & (0.22) & (0.08) \\
\hline 31. & Total income taxes & (23.84) & (21.78) & (1.12) & (0.97) & (0.82) & (0.67) & (0.52) & (0.37) & (0.22) & (0.08) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & ppendix B & & & & & & \\
\hline & & &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
med CIS S \\
Year Life \\
Revenue
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
stem \\
quiremen
\end{tabular} & & & & & \\
\hline (\$Millions) & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Ho. & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & 2012 & 2013 & 2014 & 2015 & 2016 & 2017 & 2018 \\
\hline Cost of capital & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1. Rate base & 112.98 & 101.09 & 89.20 & 77.31 & 65.42 & 53.52 & 41.63 & 29.74 & 17.85 & 5.96 \\
\hline 2. Required rate of return & 6.4140\% & 6.4140\% & 6.4140\% & 6.4140\% & 6. \(6140 \%\) & 6.4140\% & 6.4140\% & 6.4140\% & 6.4140\% & 6. \(6140 \%\) \\
\hline 3. Cost of capital & 7.25 & 6.48 & 5.72 & 4.96 & 4.20 & 3.43 & 2.67 & 1.91 & 1.15 & 0.38 \\
\hline Cost of service & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 4. CIS -selection procurement cost & 5.10 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 5. Operation and maintenance & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 6. Depreciation and amortization & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 \\
\hline 7. Municipal and other taxes & 0.16 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 8. Cost of service & 17.15 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 & 11.89 \\
\hline Misc. \& Non-Op. Rev & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 9. Other operating revenue & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10. Other income & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 11. Misc, \& Non-operating Rev. & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Income taxes on earnings & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 12. Excluding tax shield & (22.42) & (20.51) & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 13. Tax shield provided by interest expens & (1.42) & (1.27) & (1.12) & (0.97) & (0.82) & (0.67) & (0.52) & (0.37) & (0.22) & (0.08) \\
\hline 14. Income taxes on earnings & (23.84) & (21.78) & (1.12) & (0.97) & (0.82) & (0.67) & (0.52) & (0.37) & (0.22) & (0.08) \\
\hline Taxes on deficiency & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15. Gross deficiency & (0.88) & 5.34 & (25.81) & (24.86) & (23.90) & (22.93) & (21.98) & (21.02) & (20.05) & (19.08) \\
\hline 16. Net deficiency & (0.56) & 3.41 & (16.49) & (15.88) & (15.27) & (14.65) & (14.04) & (13.43) & (12.81) & (12.19) \\
\hline 17. Taxes on deficiency & 0.32 & (1.93) & 9.32 & 8.98 & 8.63 & 8.28 & 7.94 & 7.59 & 7.24 & 6.89 \\
\hline 18. Revenue requirement & 0.88 & (5.34) & 25.81 & 24.86 & 23.90 & 22.93 & 21.98 & 21.02 & 20.06 & 19.08 \\
\hline Revenue at existing Rates & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 19. Gas sales & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 20. Transportation service & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 21. Transmission, compression and storag & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 22. Rounding adjustment & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 23. Revenue at existing rates & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 24. Gross revenue deficiency & \(\stackrel{(0.88)}{\underline{=}}\) & \(\underline{5.34}\) & (25.81) & (24.86) & \((\underline{23.90})\) & (22.93) & (21.98) & (21.02) & \((20.06)\) & (19.08) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{2007 TEST YEAR \\ FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL}
1. This exhibit is being filed in order to provide the Board with the financial impact of the Settlement Proposal filed at Exhibit N1.T1.S1 against the Company's updated deficiency request filed at Exhibit M1, Tab 2, Schedule 1. Acceptance of the Settlement Proposal will decrease the Company's gross revenue deficiency in the 2007 Test Year by \(\$ 76.7\) million, from \(\$ 158.7\) million as shown at Exhibit M1.T2.S1, to \(\$ 82.0\) million as shown at Exhibit N1, Tab 2, Schedule 2. The \(\$ 82.0\) million gross deficiency amount includes within it, a gross deficiency amount of \(\$ 29.9\) million related to issues which have been agreed to in the Settlement Proposal, and a gross deficiency amount of \(\$ 52.1\) million relating to issues which remain unresolved. The financial adjustments which achieve the \(\$ 82.0\) million deficiency amount are shown within Schedules 2 through 6 of this exhibit while the adjustments which result in the \(\$ 29.9\) million deficiency are shown within Schedule 2, pages 1 and 2.

\section*{Rate Base (Exhibit N1.T2.S3)}
2. The Company's rate base forecast will decrease by \(\$ 54.6\) million, from \(\$ 3,798.3\) million at Exhibit M1.T2.S2 to \(\$ 3,743.7\) million at Exhibit N1.T2.S3, p.1, Line 13, as a result of the Settlement Proposal.
3. The \(\$ 56.4\) million reduction to the property, plant and equipment portion of rate base is the summary impact of reductions to the capital expenditure budget (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issues 1.1 through 1.8) and the removal of the proposed changes to depreciation rates within the depreciation study (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 Issue 3.11).
4. The working cash allowance component of rate base has been recalculated to reflect the impact of the Settlement Proposal with respect to the decrease in operation and maintenance costs included in the calculation (Exhibit N1.T1.S1. Issues 1.6 and 3.2), resulting in a \(\$ 1.8\) million increase. A decrease in \(O \& M\) results in an increase in working cash allowance because of the negative O\&M lag day factor embedded in the calculation. A negative \(O \& M\) lag day factor multiplied by a reduced O\&M value, results in a lower credit within the working cash allowance calculation and thus a higher total working cash allowance. The working cash allowance calculation of \(\$ 2.5\) million is filed at Exhibit N1.T2.S3, on page 3, and compares to the level of \(\$ 0.7\) million filed at Exhibit M1.T2.S2, page 3.

\section*{Utility Income (Exhibit N1.T2.S4)}
5. Acceptance of the Settlement Proposal will result in an increase to the Company's forecast of net income in the amount of \(\$ 46.2\) million, from \(\$ 188.4\) million at Exhibit M1.T2.S3 to \(\$ 234.6\) million at Exhibit N1.T2.S4, pg.1, line 22. The individual revenue and expense items which have been adjusted as a result of the Settlement Proposal can be examined at Exhibit N1.T.2.S4, on pages 1 through 3, and are discussed in the following paragraphs.
6. Other operating revenue will increase by \(\$ 5.2\) million, from \(\$ 23.7\) million at Exhibit M1.T2.S3, line 4 to \(\$ 28.9\) million at Exhibit N1.T2.S4, pg.1, line 4, as a result of the Settlement Proposal for the following:
- Transactional Services revenue increase of \(\$ 3.5\) million (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issue 2.1),
- Service charges \& DPAC revenue increase of \(\$ 1.0\) million (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issue 2.2); and
- imputed NGV program revenue of \$0.7 million (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issue 2.2).
7. As a result of the Settlement Proposal relating to DSM, Corporate Cost Allocation and Other O\&M, operation and maintenance costs will decrease by \(\$ 24.1\) million, from \(\$ 365.8\) million at Exhibit M1.T2.S3, pg.1, line 9 to \(\$ 341.7\) million at Exhibit N1.T2.S4, pg.1, line 9. This is the result of a \(\$ 0.5\) million EnVision related other O\&M reduction, a further \(\$ 18.8\) million general reduction to other O\&M and a \(\$ 4.8\) million reduction to the corporate cost allocation amount as agreed to in the Settlement Proposal (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issues 1.6 \& 3.2).
8. Depreciation and amortization expense decreases by \(\$ 27.5\) million as a result of the Settlement Proposal. Of this decrease, \(\$ 24.8\) million is due to the agreed upon withdrawal of the depreciation rate changes within the proposed depreciation study (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issue 3.11) while \(\$ 2.7\) million is due to the agreed upon reductions to capital expenditures (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issues 1.1 through 1.8).
9. Municipal and other taxes will decrease by \(\$ 1.7\) million, from \(\$ 47.6\) million at Exhibit M1.T2.S3, pg.1, line 14 to \(\$ 45.9\) million (Exhibit N1.T2.S4, pg.1, line 14) as a result of a general reduction to municipal and other taxes of \(\$ 1.3\) million within the Settlement Proposal (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issue 3.14) and a reduction in capital taxes due to capital expenditure reductions within the Settlement Proposal at (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issues 1.1 through 1.8).
10. As a result of the Settlement Proposal, Utility income before income taxes will increase by \(\$ 58.5\) million, which will result in an increase in income taxes excluding the tax shield provided by interest expense in the amount of \(\$ 12.0\) million. The tax shield provided by interest expense will decrease by \(\$ 0.3\) million as a result of the decline in rate base of \(\$ 54.6\) million (Exhibit N1.T2.S3, pg.1, line 13). The decrease
in the tax shield provided by interest expense associated with the decline in rate base is partially offset by a \(0.04 \%\) increase in the capital structure return component of long and short-term debt which has increased from 4.31\% as filed at Exhibit M1.T2.S4, pg.1, Line 3, Col. 4 to 4.35\% found at Exhibit N1.T2.S5, pg.1, line 3, Col. 4. Total income taxes will increase by \(\$ 12.3\) million, from \(\$ 48.1\) million filed at Exhibit M1.T2.S3, pg.1, line 21 to \$60.4 million at Exhibit N1.T2.S4, pg.1, line 21.

\section*{Capital Structure (Exhibit N1.T2.S5)}
11. The proposed method and costs of financing capital requirements have been incorporated into the capital structure found (Exhibit N1.T2.S5, pg.1). The overall rate of return on rate base of \(7.67 \%\) includes an \(8.39 \%\) rate of return on common equity as determined by the current Board approved formula as agreed to in the Settlement Proposal. (Exhibit N1.T1.S1 - Issue 4.1)
12. Utility income in the amount of \(\$ 234.6\) million represents an indicated return of \(6.27 \%\) on a rate base of \(\$ 3,743.7\) million, indicating a deficiency in return in the amount of \(1.40 \%\) in comparison to the requested overall rate of return of \(7.67 \%\). This results in a net deficiency of \(\$ 52.4\) million and a gross revenue deficiency of \$82.0 million, as shown at Exhibit N1, Tab 2, Schedule 5.
13. Acceptance of the Settlement Proposal will result in a gross revenue deficiency of \(\$ 82.0\) million, which is a decrease of \(\$ 76.7\) million, as shown at Exhibit N1, Tab 2, Schedule 6, in comparison to the Company's deficiency request filed at Exhibit M1, Tab 2, Schedules \(4 \& 5\) in the amount of \(\$ 158.7\) million.

\section*{Utility ADR Impact Summary \\ 2007 Test Year}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Line} \\
\hline No. & Col. 1 & Col. 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Reference (\$Millions)
1. Utility rate base

N1.T2.S3.P1* 3,743.7
2. Utility income

N1.T2.S4.P1 234.6
3. Indicated rate of return

N1.T2.S5.P1 6.27\%
4. Requested rate of return

N1.T2.S5.P1 7.67\%
5. (Deficiency) in rate of return

N1.T2.S5.P1 (1.40)\%
6. Net (deficiency)

N1.T2.S5.P1
7. Gross (deficiency)

N1.T2.S5.P1 (82.0)
8. Revenue at existing rates

N1.T2.S6.P1 3,071.8
9. Revenue requirement

N1.T2.S6.P1 3,153.8
10. Gross revenue (deficiency)

N1.T2.S6.P1 (82.0)
11. Unsettled Issues and Gross deficiency
amounts to be resolved (N1.T2.S2.page 2)
52.1
12. ADR Resolved Issues and embedded Gross Deficiency
(29.9)
*N1.T2.S2.P1 refers to Exhibit N1, Tab 2, Schedule 2, page 1.

\section*{2007 Test Year}

Deficiency for Implementation April 1, 2007
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
& Col. 1 \\
& Gross \\
Line & Deficiency \\
No. & Amount \\
\hline & \((\) (\$millions \()\)
\end{tabular}
1. Post ADR Settlement Proposal Gross Deficiency
(82.0)
(includes deficiency amounts for settled and unsettled / unresolved issues)

\section*{Unsettled / Unresolved Issues and embedded Deficiency amounts}
2. Customer support costs in filing vs. in existing rates (\$120.1 vs. 104.1) 16.0
3. Transition costs in filing versus in existing rates 10.0
4. Equity at \(38 \%\) versus \(35 \%\) in existing rates (Updated 2007-01-18, A2.T5.S1, col.4) 10.0
5. Change in volumes deficiency impact (Updated 2007-01-18, A2.T5.S1, col.2) 16.1
6. Sub-total Unsettled / Unresolved Issues and Gross Deficiency
7. ADR Resolved Issues and embedded Gross Deficiency

\title{
Utility Rate Base 2007 Test Year
}

Col. 1
Col. 2
Col. 3
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
& & ADR \\
Line & Impact No.1 Filed: & Utility \\
No. & 2006-12-06 & Rate \\
\hline & M1.T2.S2 & Adjustments
\end{tabular} Base \begin{tabular}{llll} 
& (\$Millions) & (\$Millions) & (\$Millions)
\end{tabular}

Property, plant, and equipment
1. Cost or redetermined value
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 5,048.3 & (69.6) & 4,978.7 \\
\hline \((1,852.6)\) & 13.2 & \((1,839.4)\) \\
\hline 3,195.7 & (56.4) & 3,139.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Allowance for working capital}
4. Accounts receivable merchandise
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
finance plan & 0.1 & 0.1
\end{tabular}
5. Accounts receivable rebillable projects 6.9
\(6.9 \quad 6.9\)
6. Materials and supplies
21.0
21.0
7. Mortgages receivable
8. Customer security deposits
0.9 0.9
9. Prepaid expenses
(42.8)
(42.8)
10. Gas in storage
11. Working cash allowance
12. Total Working Capital
2.7
2.7
613.1
613.1
\begin{tabular}{l}
0.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
13. Utility rate base
\(\underline{\underline{3,798.3}} \xlongequal{\text { 3,743.7 }}\)

\title{
Explanation of Adjustments to Utility Rate Base \\ 2007 Test Year
}

Line
No.
Adj'd Adjustments
Explanation
(\$Millions)
1. (69.6) Cost or redetermined value

To reflect the impact of capital expenditure reductions, due to the settlement of Issues 1.1 through 1.8, on the value of gross plant within rate base.
2. \(\mathbf{1 3 . 2}\) Accumulated depreciation

To reflect the impact on accumulated depreciation arising from capital expenditure reductions due to the settlement of Issues 1.1 through 1.8, and from a return to the use of existing Board Approved depreciation rates as a result of the settlement of Issue 3.11.

\section*{11. 1.8 Working cash allowance}

To reflect the impact on the Company's working cash allowance as a result of changes to operation and maintenance expenses as per the Settlement Proposal. An explanation of changes to operation and maintenance expenses can be found in Exhibit N1, Tab 2, Schedule 4. The working cash allowance calculation can be found on Exhibit N1, Tab 2, Schedule 3, page 3.

\title{
Working Capital Components - Working Cash Allowance 2007 Test Year
}
\[
\text { Col. } 1 \quad \text { Col. } 2 \quad \text { Col. } 3 \quad \text { Col. } 4
\]


Note 1: Represents non-cash items such as amortization of deferred charges, accounting adjustments and the T-service capacity credit.

\title{
Gas in Storage \\ Month End Balances and Average of Monthly Averages 2007 Test Year
}
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Col. } 1 & \text { Col. } 2 & \text { Col. } 3\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Line & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Impact No. 1 Filed:} \\
\hline No. & Volume & M1.T2.S2 & Adjustments & Value \\
\hline & 10*6 M * 3 & (\$Millions) & (\$Millions) & (\$Millions) \\
\hline 1. January 1 & 1,848.2 & 785.3 & & 785.3 \\
\hline 2. January 31 & 1,397.6 & 589.6 & & 589.6 \\
\hline 3. February & 1,048.0 & 437.2 & & 437.2 \\
\hline 4. March & 809.0 & 333.7 & & 333.7 \\
\hline 5. April & 768.7 & 317.1 & & 317.1 \\
\hline 6. May & 927.1 & 383.7 & & 383.7 \\
\hline 7. June & 1,151.6 & 478.8 & & 478.8 \\
\hline 8. July & 1,411.3 & 588.9 & & 588.9 \\
\hline 9. August & 1,731.4 & 721.8 & & 721.8 \\
\hline 10. September & 2,078.1 & 863.1 & & 863.1 \\
\hline 11. October & 2,276.0 & 941.2 & & 941.2 \\
\hline 12. November & 2,220.2 & 912.2 & & 912.2 \\
\hline 13. December & 1,958.3 & 794.4 & & 794.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
14. Avg. of monthly avgs.
\(\xlongequal{1,476.9} \xlongequal{613.1} \xlongequal{\underline{-}}\)

\section*{Utility Income \\ 2007 Test Year}
Col. 1
Col. 2
Col. 3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Line No. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Impact No. 1 Filed 2006-12-06 \\
M1.T2.S3
\end{tabular} & Adjustments & ADR Utility Income \\
\hline & (\$Millions) & (\$Millions) & (\$Millions) \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Revenue} \\
\hline 1. Gas sales & 2,348.9 & & 2,348.9 \\
\hline 2. Transportation of gas & 720.9 & & 720.9 \\
\hline 3. Transmission and compression \& storage & 1.9 & & 1.9 \\
\hline 4. Other operating revenue & 23.7 & 5.2 & 28.9 \\
\hline 5. Interest and property rental & - & & - \\
\hline 6. Other income & 0.2 & & 0.2 \\
\hline 7. Total revenue & 3,095.6 & 5.2 & 3,100.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Costs and expenses} \\
\hline 8. Gas costs & 2,170.6 & & 2,170.6 \\
\hline 9. Operation and maintenance & 365.8 & (24.1) & 341.7 \\
\hline 10. Transition costs customer care & 10.0 & & 10.0 \\
\hline 11. Depreciation and amortization & 254.6 & (27.5) & 227.1 \\
\hline 12. Fixed financing costs & 1.3 & & 1.3 \\
\hline 13. Notional utility account recovery & 9.2 & & 9.2 \\
\hline 14. Municipal and other taxes & 47.6 & (1.7) & 45.9 \\
\hline 15. Interest and financing amortization expense & - & & - \\
\hline 16. Other interest expense & - & & - \\
\hline 17. Total costs and expenses & 2,859.1 & (53.3) & 2,805.8 \\
\hline 18. Utility income before income taxes & 236.5 & 58.5 & 295.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Income taxes} \\
\hline 19. Excluding interest shield & 107.2 & 12.0 & 119.2 \\
\hline 20. Tax shield on interest expense & (59.1) & 0.3 & (58.8) \\
\hline 21. Total income taxes & 48.1 & 12.3 & 60.4 \\
\hline 22. Utility net income & 188.4 & 46.2 & 234.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Explanation of Adjustments to Utility Income 2007 Test Year}

Line
No.
Adj'd Adjustments Explanation
(\$Millions)
4. \(\quad 5.2 \quad\) Other operating revenue

To reflect the impact of a \(\$ 3.5\) million increase in the ratepayer guaranteed amount of Transactional Services revenue, an increase in Other Revenue of \(\$ 1.0\) million, and imputing revenue of \(\$ 0.7\) million to the NGV program as a result of the settlement of Issues 2.1 and 2.2.
9. (24.1) Operation and maintenance

To reflect the impact of a \(\$ 0.5\) million Envision related O\&M reduction, a \(\$ 4.8\) million reduction to achieve the agreed upon corporate cost allocation amount of \(\$ 18.1\) million, and a further \(\$ 18.8\) million reduction to achieve the agreed upon other O\&M amount of \(\$ 181.5\) million per the settlement of Issues 1.6 and 3.2.
11. (27.5) Depreciation and amortization

To reflect the impact on depreciation and amortization arising from capital expenditure reductions due to the settlement of Issues 1.1 through 1.8, and from a return to the use of existing Board Approved depreciation rates as a result of the settlement of Issue 3.11.
14. (1.7) Municipal and other taxes

To reflect the impact of a \(\$ 1.3\) million reduction to municipal taxes, per the settlement of Issue 3.14, and a \(\$ 0.4\) million reduction to capital taxes that results from the reduction of capital expenditures agreed to in Issues 1.1 through 1.8 of the Settlement Proposal.
19. 12.0 Income taxes - excluding interest shield

To reflect adjustments to utility income taxes as a result of the above noted changes contributing to higher taxable income and income tax excluding the interest tax shield. The Utility's income tax calculations are found in Exhibit N1, Tab 2, Schedule 4, page 3.
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\section*{Utility Taxable Income and Income Tax Expense 2007 Test Year}
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Col. } 1 & \text { Col. } 2 & \text { Col. } 3\end{array}\)


\section*{Utility Capital Structure 2007 Test Year}
Col. 1
Col. 2
Col. 3
Col. 4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Line No. & & Principal & Component & Cost Rate & Return Component \\
\hline & & (\$Millions) & \% & \% & \% \\
\hline 1. & Long term debt & 2,234.4 & 59.68 & 7.31 & 4.36 \\
\hline 2. & Short term debt & (13.2) & (0.35) & 4.12 & (0.01) \\
\hline 3. & & 2,221.2 & 59.33 & & 4.35 \\
\hline 4. & Preference shares & 99.9 & 2.67 & 5.00 & 0.13 \\
\hline 5. & Common equity & 1,422.6 & 38.00 & 8.39 & 3.19 \\
\hline 6. & & 3,743.7 & 100.00 & & 7.67 \\
\hline 7. & Utility income & (\$Millions) & & & 234.6 \\
\hline 8. & Utility Rate base & (\$Millions) & & & 3,743.7 \\
\hline & Indicated rate of return & & & & 6.27\% \\
\hline & (Deficiency) in rate of return & & & & (1.40)\% \\
\hline 11. & Net (deficiency) & (\$Millions) & & & (52.4) \\
\hline 12. & Gross (deficiency) & (\$Millions) & & & (82.0) \\
\hline 13. & Revenue at existing rates & (\$Millions) & & & 3,071.8 \\
\hline 14. & Revenue requirement & (\$Millions) & & & 3,153.8 \\
\hline 15. & Gross revenue (deficiency) & (\$Millions) & & & (82.0) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Change in Revenue Requirement 2007 Test Year}

Col. 1
Col. 2
Col. 3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Line No. & \begin{tabular}{l}
ADR \\
Settlement Proposal
\end{tabular} & Impact No. 1 Filed: 2006-12-06 M1.T2.S5 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Change \\
(Col.1-Col.2)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & (\$Millions) & (\$Millions) & (\$Millions) \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Cost of capital (\$M10} \\
\hline 1. Rate base & 3,743.7 & 3,798.3 & (54.6) \\
\hline 2. Required rate of return & 7.67\% & 7.63\% & \\
\hline 3. Cost of capital & 287.1 & 289.8 & (2.7) \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Cost of service} \\
\hline 4. Gas costs & 2,170.6 & 2,170.6 & - \\
\hline 5. Operation and maintenance & 341.7 & 365.8 & (24.1) \\
\hline 6. Transition costs customer care & 10.0 & 10.0 & - \\
\hline 7. Depreciation and amortization & 227.1 & 254.6 & (27.5) \\
\hline 8. Fixed financing expense & 1.3 & 1.3 & - \\
\hline 9. Notional utility account recovery & 9.2 & 9.2 & - \\
\hline 10. Municipal and other taxes & 45.9 & 47.6 & (1.7) \\
\hline 11. Cost of service & 2,805.8 & 2,859.1 & (53.3) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Miscellaneous operating and non-operating income
12. Other operating revenue
13. Interest and property rental
14. Other income
15. Misc. operating and non-operating income
(28.9)
\begin{tabular}{c}
- \\
\((0.2)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(29.1)

Income taxes on earnings
16. Excluding tax shield
17. Tax shield provided by interest expense
18. Income taxes on earnings

Taxes on sufficiency I (deficiency)
19. Gross sufficiency / (deficiency)
20. Net sufficiency / (deficiency)
21. Income taxes on sufficiency / (deficiency)
22. Revenue requirement

Revenue at existing Rates
23. Gas sales
24. Transportation service
25. Transmission, compression and storage
26. Sub-total
27. Rounding adjustment
28. Revenue at existing rates
29. Gross revenue sufficiency I (deficiency)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (82.0) & (158.7) & 76.7 \\
\hline (52.4) & (101.4) & 49.0 \\
\hline 29.6 & 57.3 & (27.7) \\
\hline 3,153.8 & 3,230.4 & (76.6) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 2,348.9 & 2,348.9 & - \\
\hline 720.9 & 720.9 & - \\
\hline 1.9 & 1.9 & - \\
\hline 3,071.7 & 3,071.7 & - \\
\hline 0.1 & - & 0.1 \\
\hline 3,071.8 & 3,071.7 & 0.1 \\
\hline (82.0) & (158.7) & 76.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPENDIX "B"}

\section*{TO INTERIM RATE ORDER}

BOARD FILE NO. EB-2006-0034

DATED MARCH 26, 2007

\section*{Supporting Documentation}

\section*{Documentation for Working Papers Supporting the EB-2006-0034 Interim Rate Order}

The attached working papers provide support for the Rate Handbook filed as Appendix A to the Draft Interim Rate Order for January 1, 2007 interim rates. The Rate Handbook reflects the OEB approved EB-2006-0034 Settlement Agreement as filed at Exhibit N1, Tab 1, Schedule 1.

The rates shown in the Rate Handbook are designed to recover the revenue requirement stemming from the EB-2006-0034 Settlement Agreement and incorporate the July 1, 2006 (EB-2006-0099) rates as the base rates. The revenue deficiency as outlined in the Settlement Agreement is derived based on the following:
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
& \(\frac{(\$ 000)}{3,072.6}\) & \\
& & Reference \\
Revenue at Existing Rates 2, Schedule 1 Including DPAC \\
Revenue Requirement & \(\frac{3,098.6}{26.0}\) & \\
H2, Tab 2, Schedule 1 Including DPAC
\end{tabular}

The following sections have been changed or removed in the Rate Handbook and result from the EB-2006-0034 Settlement Agreement:

Issue
6.3 - Glossary of Terms

Affiliated Gas Users
Annual Contract Demand ("ACD")
Authorized Volume
Banked Gas Account
Billing Contract Demand
Billing Month
Bundled Service
Buy/Sell Price
Contract Demand
Curtailment Credit
Daily Capacity Repurchase Quantity
Customer Charge
Daily Gas Quantity
Demand Charge
Direct Purchase
Firm Service
Firm Service Tendered ("FST")
Firm Transportation ("FT")
Gas Purchase Agreement
Gas Sale Contract
Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge

Location in Handbook

Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 1
Page 2
Page 2
Page 2
Page 2
Page 2
Page 2
Page 2
Page 2
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Imperial Conversion Factors & Page 2 \\
Large Volume Service Rates & Page 3 \\
Large Volume Distribution Contract ("LVDC") & Page 3 \\
Large Volume Distribution Contract Rates & Page 3 \\
Mean Daily Volume & Page 3 \\
Metric Conversion Factors & Page 3 \\
Minimum Annual Volume & Page 3 \\
Nominate, Nomination & Page 3 \\
Overrun Gas & Page 3 \\
Rate Schedule & Page 3 \\
Removal Permit & Page 3 \\
Required Orders & Page 3 \\
Sales Service & Page 3 \\
Seasonal Credit & Page 3 \\
System Sales Service & Page 3 \\
Supply Overrun & Page 3 \\
Transportation Service & Page 3 \\
Unbundled Service & Page 3 \\
Western Canada Buy Price & Page 3 \\
In Franchise Services & Page 4 \\
Direct Purchase Arrangements & Page 4 \\
Western Canada & Page 4 \\
Ontario Buy/Sell Arrangement & Page 4 \\
Western Canada Buy/Sell & Page 4 \\
Ontario Delivery T-Service Arrangements & Page 4 \\
Minimum Bills & Page 5 \\
Resale Prohibition & Page 6 \\
Measurement & Page 6 \\
Daily Delivered Volumes & Page 6 \\
Authorized Overrun Gas & Page 6 \\
Unauthorized Overrun Gas & Page 6 \\
Offset of Banked Gas Accounts & Page 8 \\
Disposition of Banked Gas Account Balances & Page 8 \\
&
\end{tabular}

Rate Schedules
Unauthorized Overrun Gas Rate
Rates 100, 110, 115, 135, 145, 170, 200

The working papers are laid out as follows:
H2: Design of Rates using FACS shown at G2
G2: Fully Allocated Cost Study (FACS) using 2007 Board Approved methodology

\section*{Description of H2 Exhibits}

The rates shown in the H 2 exhibits are designed to recover the allocation of the revenue requirement based on the cost allocation methodology as approved in the EB-2006-0034 Settlement Agreement.

All exhibits in the H 2 series follow the same format as in previous rate filings and rate orders and are listed below:
a) Tab 1, Schedule 1 of this exhibit summarizes, by rate class, and rate component, the revenues at existing and 2007 Interim rates found in EB-2006-0034. The forecast of billed revenues at 2006 July QRAM rates (Interim EB-2006-0099) is shown in columns 1 through 5. The revenues at the 2007 Interim rates are shown in columns 11 through 15. The net change in revenue, or the revenue deficiency/sufficiency, by component, is shown in columns 6 to 10. The total in column 10 indicates the forecast revenue deficiency that will be recovered from billed revenues. Schedule 2 displays the revenue requirement, unit rates and associated volumes by rate class and component.
b) The Tab 2 schedule summarizes the revenues shown in Schedule 1 and presents the unbilled revenues at current and 2007 Interim rates to yield calendar year revenues.
c) The schedule at Tab 3 compares the unit rates from EB-2006-0099 to the 2007 Interim unit rates.
d) Exhibits under Tab 4 show the derivation of gas supply commodity, gas supply load balancing rates and transportation rates from the cost allocated to the rate classes in the FACS which is found at Exhibit G2. The derivation of the Seasonal credits is found at page 3.
e) The schedules under Tab 5 show the detailed revenue calculations by rate class.
f) Annual bill comparisons indicating the impact of the 2007 Interim rates on typical customers relative to the July 1, 2006 rates are shown at Tab 7.
g) Tab 8 shows the derivation of the Rider E unit rates. The unit rates are derived by comparing the revenue at existing rates (EB-2006-0099) to the revenue at 2007 Interim rates. The revenues are based on the rates applied to the 2007 forecast volumes for the months of April to December 2007. This analysis can be found in pages 3 to 7 of Tab 8 . Page 2 of Tab 8 derives the unit rates by component based on the change in revenue divided by the forecast volume. Page 1 is the determination of the unit rates based on the type of service.

\section*{DOCUMENTATION FOR WORKING PAPERS SUPPORTING THE} SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL: EB-2006-0034

\section*{Description of Cost Allocation (G2) Exhibits}

The G2 exhibits, also referred to as the Fully Allocated Cost Study (FACS), allocate the test year revenue requirement to the customer rate classes.

All G2 series exhibits have been updated for the Impact Statement No. 1 (EB-2006-0034, Exhibit M1), which the Company filed with the Board on December 06, 2006, and the Settlement Proposal (EB-2006-0034, Exhibit N1), which the Board approved on January 29, 2007.

The cost of service total of \(\$ 3,098.6\) million shown at G2/T2/S1/P1/L4/C1 equals revenues at existing rates of \(\$ 3,071.8\) million (N1/T2/S2/P1/L8/C2), plus direct purchase revenues at existing rates of \(\$ 0.9\) million (H2/T2/S1/P1/L15/C4), plus a settled deficiency in the amount of \(\$ 26.0\) million (N1/T1/S1/P46/Item 9.1).

As outlined in the Settlement Proposal at Issue 9.1, the parties agree that the Company can adjust rates to recover a \(\$ 26.0\) million deficiency effective as of January 1, 2007.

In its original filing the Company requested a \(\$ 167.8\) million deficiency. The Impact Statement No. 1 and Settlement Proposal adjustments reduce the deficiency to \(\$ 26.0\) million as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|l|r|}
\hline Original Deficiency & 167.8 \\
\hline \hline Adjustments to Net Investments & \((30.4)\) \\
Adjustments to O\&M and Storage Costs & \((28.5)\) \\
Adjustments to Return and Taxes & \((82.9)\) \\
\hline \hline Deficiency from the Settlement Proposal to be & \\
Recovered in Rates Effective Jan. 01, 2007 & 26.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notes:
1) Adjustments reflect total net adjustments in Tables 2, 3 and 4 below.

The adjustments to rate base, net investments and operating and maintenance (O\&M) expenses reflect the specific impacts of settled issues. The adjustments to return and taxes reflect the impact on return and taxes from settled issues and also capture deficiency consequences from unsettled issues.

The following four tables illustrate how the adjustments were made in the FACS for both the Impact Statement No. 1 and the Settlement Proposal.

The adjustments are compared to the Company's original filing with respect to:
- rate base for plant, equipment and working capital allowance;
- net investments;
- O\&M and storage costs; and
- return and taxes.

Table 1: Rate Base Adjustments to Plant, Equipment and Working Capital Allowance
\begin{tabular}{|c|l|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Item } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Impact \\
Statement \\
Adjustment
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Settlement \\
Proposal \\
Adjustment
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Net \\
Adjustment
\end{tabular} & Reference \\
\hline \hline 1.0 & Distribution Plant \({ }^{(1)}\) & 0 & \((56.4)\) & \((56.4)\) & G2/T3/S1/P1/L2/C1 \\
2.0 & General Plant & 0 & 0 & 0 & G2/T3/S1/P1/L3/C1 \\
3.0 & Working Capital Allow. \({ }^{(2)}\) & \((3.0)\) & 1.8 & \((1.2)\) & G2/T3/S1/P1/L6/C1 \\
\hline \hline 4.0 & Total & \((3.0)\) & \((54.6)\) & \((57.6)\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notes:
1) The impact on rate base and accumulated depreciation from the settlement of Issues 1.1 through 1.8 and Issue 3.11 .
2) The impact on working capital allowance from the EB-2005-0551 NGEIR Decision to reflect cost-based storage rates for services acquired from Union Gas and from reduction to O\&M expenses as per the Settlement Proposal.

Table 2: Adjustments to Net Investments
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# & Item & Impact Statement Adjustment & Settlement Proposal Adjustment & Net Adjustment & Reference \\
\hline 1.1
1.2 & Depreciation \({ }^{(1)}\) Other Taxes \({ }^{(2)}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
(27.5) \\
(1.7) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
(27.5) \\
(1.7) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
G2/T3/S3/P1/L1.1/C1 \\
G2/T3/S3/P1/L1.2+1.3/C1
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1.0 & Total Investments & 0 & (29.2) & (29.2) & G2/T3/S3/P1/L1/C1 \\
\hline 2.0 & Misc. Revenues \({ }^{(3)}\) & 3.5 & (4.7) & (1.2) & G2/T3/S3/P1/L2/C1 \\
\hline 3.0 & Total & 3.5 & (33.9) & (30.4) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notes:
1) The impact on depreciation and amortization from reduction in capital expenditures and from existing Board-approved depreciation rates as per the settlement of Issues 1.1 through 1.8 and Issue 3.11 respectively.
2) The impact on other taxes from \(\$ 1.3 \mathrm{M}\) reduction in municipal taxes and \(\$ 0.4 \mathrm{M}\) reduction in capital taxes as per the settlement of Issue 3.14 and Issues 1.1 through 1.8 respectively.
3) The impact on misc. revenues from transactional services' revenues and increases in other and NGV program revenues. Note that misc. revenues are shown as credits in G2 exhibits.

Table 3: Adjustments to Operating and Maintenance (O\&M) and Storage Costs
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# & Item & Impact Statement Adjustment & Settlement Proposal Adjustment & Net Adjustment & Reference \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1.0 \\
2.0 \\
\hline 3
\end{tabular} & Storage with Union Gas \({ }^{(1)}\) DSM and other \({ }^{(2)}\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
(6.0) \\
1.6 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& 0 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
(6.0) \\
1.6 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { G2/T6/S2/P2/L4.1+4.2/C3 } \\
\text { G2/T3/S4/P2/L4.10+4.11/C1 }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 3.0 & Utility O\&M and Storage \({ }^{(3)}\) & 0 & (24.1) & (24.1) & G2/T3/S4 \& G2/T6+7/S2+3 \\
\hline 4.0 & Total & (4.4) & (24.1) & (28.5) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notes:
1) The impact on storage service with Union Gas from the EB-2005-0551 NGEIR Decision to reflect cost-based storage rates.
2) The impact on DSM from the EB-2006-0021 Decision to set DSM budget at \(\$ 22.0 \mathrm{M}\), which required an increase of \(\$ 1.7 \mathrm{M}\) to the \(\$ 20.3 \mathrm{M}\) DSM budget embedded in the original filing. Includes a \(\$ 0.1 \mathrm{M}\) reduction in other \(\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}\) for which reference is not provided.
3) The impact on utility O\&M and storage costs from the Settlement Proposal. These adjustments are reflected in exhibits G2/T3/S4/tems 2 through 8/C1 and G2/T6/S2/P2/L1.5+2.4 and G2/T7/S3/P1/L2.1+2.2+2.3.

Table 4: Adjustments to Return \& Taxes
\begin{tabular}{|c|l|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Item
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Impact \\
Statement \\
Adjustment
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Settlement \\
Proposal \\
Adjustment
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Net \\
Adjustment
\end{tabular} & Reference \\
\hline \hline 1.0 & Return \& Taxes & \((7.8)\) & \((71.3)\) & \((79.1)\) & G2/T5/S3/P1/L6/C3 \\
2.0 & Tecumseh Return \& Taxes & \((0.4)\) & \((3.4)\) & \((3.8)\) & G2/T7/S3/P1/L1 \\
\hline \hline 3.0 & Total \(^{(1)}\) & \((8.2)\) & \((74.7)\) & \((82.9)\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notes:
1) The impact on return and taxes from settled issues and deficiency consequences from unsettled issues.

The G2 exhibits provided in this filing follow the same format as in previous rate filings or rate orders:
a) Tab 2 exhibits provide a summary of the FACS' results. They outline the allocation of the proposed revenue requirement, return on the allocated rate base and the revenue to cost ratio by rate class.
b) Tab 3 exhibits functionalize rate base, working capital, net investment, and O\&M costs into similar operating functions to facilitate identification of costs that are associated with a distinct aspect of the Company. The functionalization of costs allows for consistent treatment of similar costs.
c) Tab 4 exhibits classify the functionalized costs into categories that vary between rate classes by an identifiable factor or allocator. In this step the costs are classified to three general cost groups based on whether they vary with volumetric demands, peak demands, or other customer specific demands. The costs are further sub-classified within these three broad categories of classification when required.
d) Tab 5 exhibits allocate the classified cost to each rate class based on allocation factors that are referenced on the exhibits.
e) Tab 6 exhibits provide rate base, working capital and net investment functionalization factors, classify transportation and storage costs and gas costs to operations, and provide cost of service allocation factors and allocation percentages.
f) Tab 7 exhibits provide functionalization and classification of costs for Tecumseh Gas. These costs are then used to charge back storage costs to Enbridge Gas Distribution's in-franchise customers and to derive ex-franchise storage rates.








\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Col. 1 & Col. 2 & Col. 3 & Col. 4 & Col. 5 & Col. 6 & Col. 7 & Col. 8 \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{EB-2006-0099} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{INTERIM EB-2006-0034} & \\
\hline Item & Rate & & Unbilled & & Proposed & Unbilled & & Total \\
\hline No. & No. & Revenue & Revenue & Total & Revenue & Revenue & Total & \\
\hline & & (\$000) & (\$000) & (\$000) & (\$000) & (\$000) & (\$000) & (\$000) \\
\hline 1. & 1 & 1,776,189 & 1,038 & 1,777,227 & 1,794,577 & 1,054 & 1,795,631 & 18,404 \\
\hline 2. & 6 & 868,817 & \((3,556)\) & 865,261 & 872,136 & \((3,558)\) & 868,578 & 3,317 \\
\hline 3. & 9 & 2,842 & 0 & 2,842 & 2,907 & 0 & 2,907 & 65 \\
\hline 4. & 100 & 194,976 & (0) & 194,976 & 197,264 & 361 & 197,625 & 2,649 \\
\hline 5. & 110 & 53,872 & (12) & 53,860 & 53,561 & (13) & 53,547 & (312) \\
\hline 6. & 115 & 52,050 & 1 & 52,051 & 52,416 & 1 & 52,416 & 365 \\
\hline 7. & 125 & 1,220 & 0 & 1,220 & 1,296 & 0 & 1,296 & 76 \\
\hline 8. & 135 & 3,580 & 0 & 3,580 & 3,597 & 0 & 3,597 & 17 \\
\hline 9. & 145 & 28,503 & 0 & 28,503 & 28,728 & 56 & 28,784 & 281 \\
\hline 10. & 170 & 40,770 & 1 & 40,771 & 41,148 & 1 & 41,148 & 378 \\
\hline 11. & 200 & 49,288 & 0 & 49,288 & 49,704 & 0 & 49,704 & 416 \\
\hline 12. & 300 & 150 & 0 & 150 & 110 & 0 & 110 & (40) \\
\hline 13. & SUB-TOTAL & 3,072,257 & \((2,529)\) & 3,069,728 & 3,097,441 & \((2,099)\) & 3,095,342 & 25,614 \\
\hline 14. & STORAGE & 1,896 & 0 & 1,896 & 1,655 & 0 & 1,655 & (241) \\
\hline 15. & DPAC & 900 & 0 & 900 & 1,560 & 0 & 1,560 & 660 \\
\hline 16. & TOTAL & 3,075,053 & \((2,529)\) & 3,072,524 & 3,100,656 & \((2,099)\) & 3,098,557 & 26,033 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RATE CHANGE BY RATE CLASS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Col. 1} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Col. 2} & Col. 3 & Col. 4 & Col. 5 \\
\hline Item & Rate & & & & & Rate & Interim \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{No.} & No. & & Rate Block & & EB-2006-0099 & Change & EB-2006-0034 \\
\hline & & & \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & & cents * & cents * & cents * \\
\hline & \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{RATE 1} \\
\hline 1.01 & & Customer Charge & & & \$11.25 & \$0.63 & \$11.88 \\
\hline 1.02 & & Delivery Charge & first & 30 & 9.7581 & 0.5399 & 10.2979 \\
\hline 1.03 & & & next & 55 & 9.1295 & 0.5051 & 9.6346 \\
\hline 1.04 & & & next & 85 & 8.6369 & 0.4779 & 9.1148 \\
\hline 1.05 & & & over & 170 & 8.2703 & 0.4576 & 8.7278 \\
\hline 1.06 & & Gas Supply Load Balancing & & & 1.1433 & (0.3282) & 0.8151 \\
\hline 1.07 & & Gas Supply Transportation & & & 3.8159 & (0.0485) & 3.7674 \\
\hline 1.08 & & Gas Supply Commodity - System & & & 34.0717 & 0.0391 & 34.1108 \\
\hline 1.09 & & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & & & 34.0538 & 0.0385 & 34.0923 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{RATE 6} \\
\hline & Customer Charge & & \$22.00 & \$1.58 & \$23.58 \\
\hline & Delivery Charge & First 500 & 8.7165 & 0.6233 & 9.3398 \\
\hline & & Next 1050 & 6.6633 & 0.4765 & 7.1398 \\
\hline & & Next 4500 & 5.2260 & 0.3737 & 5.5997 \\
\hline & & Next 7000 & 4.3021 & 0.3076 & 4.6098 \\
\hline & & Next 15250 & 3.8915 & 0.2783 & 4.1697 \\
\hline & & Over 28300 & 3.7888 & 0.2709 & 4.0597 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Load Balancing & & 1.2027 & (0.3651) & 0.8376 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Transportation & & 3.8598 & (0.0485) & 3.8112 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Commodity - System & & 34.2140 & 0.0598 & 34.2738 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & & 34.1961 & 0.0591 & 34.2552 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{RATE 9} \\
\hline & Customer Charge & & & \$200.00 & \$20.55 & \$220.55 \\
\hline & Delivery Charge & first & 20000 & 9.0864 & 0.9337 & 10.0201 \\
\hline & & over & 20000 & 8.5052 & 0.8739 & 9.3791 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Load Balancing & & & 0.0855 & (0.0855) & 0.0000 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Transportation & & & 3.7041 & (0.0485) & 3.6555 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Commodity - System & & & 33.9354 & 0.0044 & 33.9398 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & & & 33.9175 & 0.0037 & 33.9212 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{RATE 100} \\
\hline & Customer Charge & & \$100.00 & \$15.10 & \$115.10 \\
\hline & Demand Charge (Cents/Month/m³) & & - & 8.0000 & 8.0000 \\
\hline & Delivery Charge & first 14,000 & 5.0940 & (0.2695) & 4.8245 \\
\hline & & next 28,000 & 3.7350 & (0.2695) & 3.4655 \\
\hline & & over 42,000 & 3.1760 & (0.2695) & 2.9065 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Load Balancing & & 1.0669 & (0.3939) & 0.6730 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Transportation & & 3.7041 & (0.0485) & 3.6555 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Commodity - System & & 34.0023 & (0.0070) & 33.9953 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & & 33.9843 & (0.0075) & 33.9768 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{RATE 110} \\
\hline & Customer Charge & & \$500.00 & \$54.50 & \$554.50 \\
\hline & Demand Charge (Cents/Month/m³) & & 20.0000 & 2.1800 & 22.1800 \\
\hline & Delivery Charge & first 1,000,000 & 0.4569 & 0.0474 & 0.5044 \\
\hline & & over 1,000,000 & 0.3069 & 0.0474 & 0.3544 \\
\hline & Load Balancing Commodity & & 0.3858 & (0.2043) & 0.1815 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Transportation & & 3.7041 & (0.0485) & 3.6555 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Commodity - System & & 33.9354 & 0.0044 & 33.9398 \\
\hline & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & & 33.9175 & 0.0037 & 33.9212 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NOTE : * Cents unless otherwise noted.
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
\\
& & & & & & \\
\\
& & & & & Interim Rate Order \\
Filed: 2007-02-23
\end{tabular}

NOTE : * Cents unless otherwise noted.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& & & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Interim Rate Order \\
Filed: 2007-02-23
\end{tabular} \\
EB-2006-0034 \\
Exhibit H2
\end{tabular}

NOTE : * Cents unless otherwise noted.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RATE CHANGE BY RATE CLASS (con't)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Col. 1 & Col. 2 & Col. 3 & Col. 4
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Item & Rate & & & Interim \\
No. & No. & \(\frac{\text { Rate Block }}{m^{3}}\) & \(\frac{\text { EB-2006-0099 }}{\text { cents * }}\) & \(\frac{\text { Change }}{\text { cents * }} \quad \frac{\text { EB-2006-0034 }}{\text { cents * }}\)
\end{tabular}

RATE 325
Transmission \& Compression
Demand Charge - ATV (\$/Month/10 \(\left.\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\)
Demand Charge - Daily Wdrl. \(\left(\$ /\right.\) Month \(\left./ 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)\)
Commodity Charge

Storage
Demand Charge - ATV (\$/Month \(\left./ 10^{\star} 3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)\)
Demand Charge - Daily Wdrl. \(\left(\$ /\right.\) Month \(\left./ 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)\)
Commodity Charge
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
0.1776 & \((0.0124)\) & 0.1652 \\
16.0517 & \((1.1183)\) & 14.9334 \\
1.7920 & \((0.3196)\) & 1.4724 \\
& & \\
0.2131 & \((2)\) & \((0.0196)\) \\
19.3327 & \((2)\) & \((1.7769)\)
\end{tabular}
(2) Note: These are UNBUNDLED Rates
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{RATE 330} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Storage Service - Firm}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline 2.00 & Minimum & 0.3907 & (0.0320) & 0.3587 \\
\hline 2.01 & Maximum & 1.9535 & (0.1599) & 1.7936 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Demand Charge (\$/Month/103 \({ }^{3}{ }^{3}\) of Daily Withdrawal)} \\
\hline 2.02 & Minimum & 35.3844 & (2.8952) & 32.4892 \\
\hline 2.03 & Maximum & 176.9221 & (14.4760) & 162.4461 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Commodity Charge} \\
\hline 2.04 & Minimum & 2.5240 & (0.4699) & 2.0541 \\
\hline 2.05 & Maximum & 12.6200 & (\$2.3494) & 10.2706 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Storage Service - Interruptible \\
Demand Charge ( \(\$ /\) Month \(/ 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) of ATV)
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline 2.06 & Minimum & 0.3907 & (0.0320) & 0.3587 \\
\hline 2.07 & Maximum & 1.9535 & (0.1599) & 1.7936 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Demand Charge (\$/Month/103 \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) of Daily Withdrawal)} \\
\hline 2.08 & Minimum & 28.3075 & (2.3162) & 25.9914 \\
\hline 2.09 & Maximum & 141.5377 & (\$11.5808) & 129.9569 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Commodity Charge} \\
\hline 2.10 & Minimum & 2.5240 & (0.4699) & 2.0541 \\
\hline 2.11 & Maximum & 12.6200 & (2.3494) & 10.2706 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Storage Service - Off Peak Commodity Charge} \\
\hline 2.12 & Minimum & 1.0527 & (0.1585) & 0.8942 \\
\hline 2.13 & Maximum & 42.7418 & (4.6343) & 38.1075 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
RATE 331 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Tecumseh Transmission Service \\
Firm \\
Demand Charge \(\left(\$ /\right.\) Month \(/ 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) of \\
Maximum Contracted Daily Delivery \()\)
\end{tabular} & 3.3350 & 1.1430 & 4.4780
\end{tabular}

NOTE : * Cents unless otherwise noted.


\section*{CALCULATION OF SEASONAL CREDIT FOR RATE 135, 145, 170 \& 200}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & Reference \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{RATE 135} \\
\hline Seasonal Credits Applicable to Rate 135 & \$ & (467) & G2T5S3 line 3.3 \\
\hline Annual Volume (103 m3) & & 55,396 & \\
\hline Mean Daily Volume (103 m3) & & 152 & \\
\hline Annual Seasonal Credits & \$ & (3.08) & \\
\hline Payable from December to March & \$ & (0.77) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{RATE 145} \\
\hline Seasonal Credits Applicable to Rate 145 & \$ & (940) & G2T5S3 line 2.4 \\
\hline Annual Volume (103 m3) & & 251,217 & \\
\hline Mean Daily Volume (103 m3) & & & \\
\hline 16 Hours & & 406 & \\
\hline 72 Hours & & 287 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Annual Seasonal Credits} \\
\hline 16 Hours & \$ & (2.00) & \\
\hline Payable from December to March & \$ & (0.50) & \\
\hline 72 Hours & \$ & (0.45) & \\
\hline Payable from December to March & \$ & (0.11) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Seasonal Credits Applicable to Rate 145} \\
\hline 16 Hours & \$ & (811.12) & \\
\hline 72 Hours & \$ & (129.36) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{RATE 170} \\
\hline Seasonal Credits Applicable to Rate 170 & \$ & \((8,795)\) & G2T5S3 line 2.4 \\
\hline Annual Volume (103 m3) & & 729,625 & \\
\hline Mean Daily Volume (103 m3) & & 1,999 & \\
\hline Annual Seasonal Credits & \$ & (4.40) & \\
\hline Payable from December to March & \$ & (1.10) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{RATE 200} \\
\hline Seasonal Credits Applicable to Rate 200 & \$ & (123) & G2T5S3 line 2.4 \\
\hline Annual Volume (103 m3) & & 10,217 & \\
\hline Mean Daily Volume (103 m3) & & 28 & \\
\hline Annual Seasonal Credits & \$ & (4.40) & \\
\hline Payable from December to March & \$ & (1.10) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DETAILED REVENUE CALCULATION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Col. 1 & Col. 2 & Col. 3
EB-2 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Col. 4 \\
-0099
\end{tabular} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Rate Change cents*} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Interim } \\
\text { EB-2006-0034 } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline Item No. & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\frac{\text { Rate Block }}{\mathrm{m}^{3}}
\] \\
RATE 1
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Bills \& } \\
\text { Volumes }
\end{array} \\
10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Rate }}{\text { cents }^{*}}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Revenues }}{\$ 000}
\] & & \[
\frac{\text { Rate }}{\text { cents }^{*}}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Revenues }}{\$ 000}
\] \\
\hline 1.1 & Customer Charge Bills & 20,055,803 & \$11.25 & 225,628 & \$0.63 & \$11.88 & 238,263 \\
\hline 1.2 & Delivery Charge first 30 & 573,680 & 9.7581 & 55,980 & 0.5399 & 10.2979 & 59,077 \\
\hline 1.3 & next 55 & 838,570 & 9.1295 & 76,557 & 0.5051 & 9.6346 & 80,793 \\
\hline 1.4 & next 85 & 920,584 & 8.6369 & 79,510 & 0.4779 & 9.1148 & 83,909 \\
\hline 1.5 & over 170 & 2,143,465 & 8.2703 & 177,270 & 0.4576 & 8.7278 & 187,078 \\
\hline 1. & Total Distribution Charge & 4,476,300 & & 614,946 & & & 649,121 \\
\hline 2.1 & Gas Supply Load Balancing & 4,476,300 & 1.1433 & 51,178 & (0.3282) & 0.8151 & 36,486 \\
\hline 2.2 & Gas Supply Transportation & 4,476,300 & 3.8159 & 170,812 & (0.0485) & 3.7674 & 168,639 \\
\hline 3.1 & Gas Supply Commodity - System & 2,757,004 & 34.0717 & 939,358 & 0.0391 & 34.1108 & 940,436 \\
\hline 3.2 & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & 0 & 34.0538 & 0 & 0.0385 & 34.0923 & 0 \\
\hline 3. & Total Gas Supply Charge & 2,757,004 & & 939,358 & & & 940,436 \\
\hline 4.1 & TOTAL DISTRIBUTION & 4,476,300 & & 614,946 & & & 649,121 \\
\hline 4.2 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY LOAD BALANCINC & 4,476,300 & & 221,990 & & & 205,126 \\
\hline 4.3 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY COMMODITY & 2,757,004 & & 939,358 & & & 940,436 \\
\hline 4. & TOTAL RATE 1 & 4,476,300 & & 1,776,294 & & & 1,794,682 \\
\hline 5. & Adj. Factor 0.9999 & & & & & & \\
\hline 6. & ADJUSTED REVENUE & & & 1,776,189 & & & 1,794,577 \\
\hline 7. & REVENUE INC./(DEC.) & & & & & & 18,388 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

EB-2006-0099 vs EB-2006-0034
Col. 3
Col. 4
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& \multicolumn{2}{c}{ EB-2006-0034 } \\
\cline { 2 - 3 } \(\begin{array}{c}\text { Rate } \\
\text { Change }\end{array}\) & \(\frac{\text { Rate }}{\text { cents }^{*}}\) & \(\frac{\text { Revenues }}{\$ 000}\)
\end{tabular}
0.53051
0.4779
0.4576
(0.3282) \(0.8151 \quad 36,486\)
0.0391
34.1108

1,776,189
1,794,577

NOTE: * Cents unless otherwise noted.

Interim Rate Order
Filed: 2007-02-23
EB-2006-0034
Exhibit H2
Tab 5
Schedule 1
Page 2 of 7

DETAILED REVENUE CALCULATION


EB-2006-0099 vs EB-2006-0034

NOTE * Cents unless otherwise noted.
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
\\
& & & & & & \\
Interim Rate Order \\
\\
& & & & & & \\
Filed: 2007-02-23
\end{tabular}

NOTE: * Cents unless otherwise noted.
Interim Rate Order
Filed: 2007-02-23
EB-2006-0034
Exhibit H2
Tab 5
Schedule 1
Page 4 of 7

DETAILED REVENUE CALCULATION
Col. 1

Item

Col. 2


R 110
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1.1 & Customer Charge Contracts & 3,264 \\
\hline 1.2 & Demand Charge & 35,929 \\
\hline 1.3 & Delivery Charge first 1,000,000 & 529,548 \\
\hline 1.4 & over 1,000,000 & 90,881 \\
\hline 1. & Total Distribution Charge & 620,429 \\
\hline 2.1 & Load Balancing Demand & 35,929 \\
\hline 2.2 & Load Balancing Commodity & 620,429 \\
\hline 2.3 & Gas Supply Transportation & 620,429 \\
\hline 2. & Total Gas Supply Load Balancing & \\
\hline 3.1 & Gas Supply Commodity - System & 50,038 \\
\hline 3.2 & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & 0 \\
\hline 3. & Total Gas Supply Charge & 50,038 \\
\hline 4.1 & TOTAL DISTRIBUTION & 620,429 \\
\hline 4.2 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY LOAD BALANCIN & 620,429 \\
\hline 4.3 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY COMMODITY & 50,038 \\
\hline 4. & TOTAL RATE 110 & 620,429 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
5. REVENUE INC./(DEC.)

EB-2006-0099 vs EB-2006-0034
Col. 3
Col. 4
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\hline\(\frac{\text { Rate }}{\text { cents }^{*}}\) & \(\frac{\text { Revenues }}{\$ 000}\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Rate \\
Change \\
cents*
\end{tabular}

Col. 5
Col. 6
Col. 7

Interim
cents*
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
\(\$ 54.50\) & \(\$ 554.50\) & 1,810 \\
2.1800 & 22.1800 & 7,969 \\
0.0474 & 0.5044 & 2,671 \\
0.0474 & 0.3544 & 322 \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & & 12,772 \\
0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0 \\
\((0.2043)\) & 0.1815 & 1,126 \\
\((0.0485)\) & 3.6555 & 22,680 \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & & 23,806 \\
0.0044 & 33.9398 & 16,983 \\
0.0037 & 33.9212 & 0 \\
& & 16,983 \\
& & 12,772 \\
& & 23,806 \\
& & 16,983 \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & & 53,561 \\
& &
\end{tabular}
(311)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{\text { Rate Block }}{\mathrm{m}^{3}}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Contracts \& \(\frac{\text { Volumes }}{10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{EB-2006-0099} & \multirow{3}{*}{Rate Change cents*} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Interim } \\
\text { EB-2006-0034 } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline & & & \[
\frac{\text { Rate }}{\text { cents* }}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Revenues }}{\$ 000}
\] & & \[
\frac{\text { Rate }}{\text { cents* }}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Revenues }}{\$ 000}
\] \\
\hline & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{RATE 115} & & \\
\hline 6.6 & Customer Charge Contracts & 608 & \$500.00 & 304 & \$110.78 & \$610.78 & 371 \\
\hline 6.2 & Demand Charge & 34,811 & 20.0000 & 6,962 & 4.4300 & 24.4300 & 8,504 \\
\hline 6.3 & Delivery Charge first 1,000,000 & 300,110 & 0.2356 & 707 & 0.0374 & 0.2730 & 819 \\
\hline 6.4 & over 1,000,000 & 606,085 & 0.1356 & 822 & 0.0374 & 0.1730 & 1,049 \\
\hline 6 & Total Distribution Charge & 906,196 & & 8,795 & & & 10,744 \\
\hline 7.1 & Load Balancing Demand & 34,811 & 0.0000 & 0 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0 \\
\hline 7.7 & Load Balancing Commodity & 906,196 & 0.1682 & 1,524 & (0.1264) & 0.0418 & 379 \\
\hline 7.3 & Gas Supply Transportation & 906,196 & 3.0449 & 27,593 & (0.0485) & 2.9964 & 27,153 \\
\hline 7 & Total Gas Supply Load Balancing & & & 29,117 & & & 27,532 \\
\hline 8.1 & Gas Supply Commodity - System & 41,661 & 33.9354 & 14,138 & 0.0044 & 33.9398 & 14,140 \\
\hline 8.2 & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & 0 & 33.9175 & 0 & 0.0037 & 33.9212 & 0 \\
\hline 8. & Total Gas Supply Charge & 41,661 & & 14,138 & & & 14,140 \\
\hline 9.1 & TOTAL DISTRIBUTION & 906,196 & & 8,795 & & & 10,744 \\
\hline 9.2 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY LOAD BALANCIN & 906,196 & & 29,117 & & & 27,532 \\
\hline 9.3 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY COMMODITY & 41,661 & & 14,138 & & & 14,140 \\
\hline 9. & TOTAL RATE 115 & 906,196 & & 52,050 & & & 52,415 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NOTE: * Cents unless otherwise noted.


NOTE: * Cents unless otherwise noted.
Interim Rate Order
Interim Rate Order
Filed: 2007-02-23
Filed: 2007-02-23
EB-2006-0034
EB-2006-0034
Exhibit H2
Exhibit H2
Tab 5
Tab 5
Schedule 1
Schedule 1
Page 6 of 7
Page 6 of 7

DETAILED REVENUE CALCULATION
Col. 1


Item
No.
\(\quad\) RATE 145
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1.1 & Customer Charge & Contracts & 2,316 \\
\hline 1.2 & Demand Charge & & 24,934 \\
\hline 1.2 & Delivery Charge & first 14,000 & 30,526 \\
\hline 1.3 & & next 28,000 & 51,632 \\
\hline 1.4 & & over 42,000 & 169,059 \\
\hline 1. & Total Distribution & & 251,217 \\
\hline 2.1 & Gas Supply Load & & 251,217 \\
\hline 2.2 & Gas Supply Trans & & 251,217 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2.3 Curtailment Credit
\begin{tabular}{llrrr}
3.1 & Gas Supply Commodity - System & 41,142 & 34.0606 & 14,013 \\
3.2 & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & 0 & 34.0427 & 0 \\
\cline { 3 - 4 } 3. & Total Gas Supply Charge & 41,142 & & 14,013 \\
& & & & \\
4.1 & TOTAL DISTRIBUTION & 251,217 & & 9,637 \\
4.2 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY LOAD BALANCIN & 251,217 & & 9,853 \\
4.3 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY COMMODITY & 41,142 & \(\mathbf{1 4 , 0 1 3}\) \\
\cline { 3 - 4 } & TOTAL RATE 145 & \(\mathbf{2 5 1 , 2 1 7}\) & \(\mathbf{2 8 , 5 0 3}\)
\end{tabular}
5. REVENUE INC./(DEC.)

EB-2006-0099 vs EB-2006-0034

Col. 3
Col. 4
\(\frac{\text { EB-2006-0099 }}{\frac{\text { Rate }}{\text { cents* }} \quad \frac{\text { Revenues }}{\$ 000}}\)
\(\$ 100.00232\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Rate \\
\cline { 2 - 3 } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ EB-2006-0034 } \\
\cline { 2 - 3 } & Cents* & \(\frac{\text { Rate }}{\text { cents* }^{*}}\)
\end{tabular}\(\frac{\frac{\text { Revenues }}{\$ 000}}{}\)
\$17.11
8.11 \$1
8
\begin{tabular}{lrr}
\((0.4940)\) & 2.8296 & 864 \\
\((0.4940)\) & 1.4706 & 759 \\
\((0.4940)\) & 0.9116 & 1,541 \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & & 5,430 \\
\((0.1738)\) & 0.4185 & 1,051
\end{tabular}

271
1,995
(0.0485)

1,05
9,183
\((940)\)
\(\begin{array}{rr}34.0363 & 14,003 \\ 34.0177 & 0 \\ & 14,003\end{array}\)

5,430
9,294
28,728

225
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Contracts \& \\
\hline Rate Block & Volumes \\
\hline \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & \(10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

RATE 170
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6.6 & Customer Charge Contracts & 522 & \$200.00 & 104 \\
\hline 6.2 & Demand Charge & 56,003 & 3.0000 & 1,680 \\
\hline 6.3 & Delivery Charge first 1,000,000 & 411,401 & 0.4026 & 1,656 \\
\hline 6.4 & over 1,000,000 & 318,224 & 0.2026 & 645 \\
\hline 6 & Total Distribution Charge & 729,625 & & 4,086 \\
\hline 7.1 & Gas Supply Load Balancing & 729,625 & 0.2977 & 2,172 \\
\hline 7.7 & Gas Supply Transportation & 729,625 & 3.2648 & 23,821 \\
\hline 7.3 & Curtailment Credit & & & \((8,795)\) \\
\hline 8.1 & Gas Supply Commodity - System & 57,424 & 33.9354 & 19,487 \\
\hline 8.2 & Gas Supply Commodity - Buy/Sell & 0 & 33.9175 & 0 \\
\hline 8. & Total Gas Supply Charge & 57,424 & & 19,487 \\
\hline 9.1 & TOTAL DISTRIBUTION & 729,625 & & 4,086 \\
\hline 9.2 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY LOAD BALANCIN & 729,625 & & 17,198 \\
\hline 9.3 & TOTAL GAS SUPPLY COMMODITY & 57,424 & & 19,487 \\
\hline 9. & TOTAL RATE 170 & 729,625 & & 40,770 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
10. REVENUE INC./(DEC.)

Interim

\section*{Rate \\ \(\frac{\text { Change }}{\text { cents* }}\)}


NOTE: * Cents unless otherwise noted.
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
\\
\\
& & & & & & \\
Interim Rate Order \\
Filed: 2007-02-23 \\
EB-2006-0034 \\
Exhibit H2
\end{tabular}

\section*{ANNUAL BILL COMPARISON - RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS}
(A) EB-2006-0034 @ \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) vs (B) EB-2006-0099 @ \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\)

Item No.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1.2 & CUSTOMER CHG. \\
1.3 & DISTRIBUTION CHG. \\
1.4 & LOAD BALANCING \\
1.5 & SALES COMMDTY \\
1.6 & TOTAL SALES \\
1.7 & TOTAL T-SERVICE \\
1.8 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
1.9 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE \\
& \\
1.10 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
1.11 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrrcc} 
& (A) & (B) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 3,064 & 3,064 & (A) - (B) & 0 \\
& & & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & 142.56 & 135.00 & 7.56 & \(5.6 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & 281.41 & 266.66 & 14.75 & \(5.5 \%\) \\
\(\S \$\) & 140.39 & 151.93 & \((11.54)\) & \(-7.6 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(1,045.16\) & \(1,043.95\) & 1.21 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$\) & \(1,609.52\) & \(1,597.54\) & 11.98 & \(0.7 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & 564.36 & 553.59 & 10.77 & \(1.9 \%\) \\
\(\$ / m^{3}\) & & & & \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 0.5253 & 0.5214 & 0.0039 & \(0.7 \%\) \\
& 0.1842 & 0.1807 & 0.0035 & \(1.9 \%\) \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{GJ}\) & 13.937 & 13.834 & 0.1037 & \(0.7 \%\) \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{GJ}\) & 4.887 & 4.794 & 0.0933 & \(1.9 \%\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrcc} 
(A) & (B) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\hline & & (A) - (B) & \multicolumn{1}{l}{\(\%\)} \\
4,691 & 4,691 & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
142.56 & 135.00 & 7.56 & \(5.6 \%\) \\
424.20 & 401.94 & 22.26 & \(5.5 \%\) \\
214.96 & 232.63 & \((17.67)\) & \(-7.6 \%\) \\
\(1,600.14\) & \(1,598.30\) & 1.84 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(2,381.86\) & \(2,367.87\) & 13.99 & \(0.6 \%\) \\
781.72 & 769.57 & 12.15 & \(1.6 \%\) \\
& & & \\
0.5078 & 0.5048 & 0.0030 & \(0.6 \%\) \\
0.1666 & 0.1641 & 0.0026 & \(1.6 \%\) \\
& & & \\
13.472 & 13.393 & 0.0791 & \(0.6 \%\) \\
4.421 & 4.353 & 0.0687 & \(1.6 \%\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{}} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Heating Only} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Heating \& Water Htg.} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(A)} & (B) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CHANGE} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(A)} & (B) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CHANGE} \\
\hline & & & & & (A) - (B) & \% & & & (A) - (B) & \% \\
\hline 2.1 & VOLUME & \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 1,955 & 1,955 & 0 & 0.0\% & 2,005 & 2,005 & 0 & 0.0\% \\
\hline 2.2 & CUSTOMER CHG. & \$ & 142.56 & 135.00 & 7.56 & 5.6\% & 142.56 & 135.00 & 7.56 & 5.6\% \\
\hline 2.3 & DISTRIBUTION CHG. & \$ & 180.50 & 171.03 & 9.47 & 5.5\% & 187.85 & 177.98 & 9.87 & 5.5\% \\
\hline 2.4 & LOAD BALANCING & & 89.59 & 96.95 & (7.36) & -7.6\% & 91.87 & 99.43 & (7.56) & -7.6\% \\
\hline 2.5 & SALES COMMDTY & \$ & 666.87 & 666.09 & 0.78 & 0.1\% & 683.92 & 683.13 & 0.79 & 0.1\% \\
\hline 2.6 & TOTAL SALES & \$ & 1,079.52 & 1,069.07 & 10.45 & 1.0\% & 1,106.20 & 1,095.54 & 10.66 & 1.0\% \\
\hline 2.7 & TOTAL T-SERVICE & \$ & 412.65 & 402.98 & 9.67 & 2.4\% & 422.28 & 412.41 & 9.87 & 2.4\% \\
\hline 2.8 & SALES UNIT RATE & \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.5522 & 0.5468 & 0.0053 & 1.0\% & 0.5517 & 0.5464 & 0.0053 & 1.0\% \\
\hline 2.9 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE & \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.2111 & 0.2061 & 0.0049 & 2.4\% & 0.2106 & 0.2057 & 0.0049 & 2.4\% \\
\hline 2.10 & SALES UNIT RATE & \$/GJ & 14.651 & 14.509 & 0.1418 & 1.0\% & 14.638 & 14.497 & 0.1411 & 1.0\% \\
\hline 2.11 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE & \$/GJ & 5.600 & 5.469 & 0.1312 & 2.4\% & 5.588 & 5.457 & 0.1306 & 2.4\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
§ The Load Balancing Charge shown here includes proposed transportation charges
}

\title{
Interim Rate Order \\ Filed: 2007-02-23 \\ EB-2006-0034 \\ Exhibit H2 \\ Tab 7 \\ Schedule 1 \\ Page 2 of 8
}

\section*{ANNUAL BILL COMPARISON - RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS}

\section*{(A) EB-2006-0034 @ 37.69 MJ/m² vs (B) EB-2006-0099 @ \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\)}


\footnotetext{
§ The Load Balancing Charge shown here includes proposed transportation charges
}

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& \\
& \\
1.1 & VOLUME \\
& \\
1.2 & CUSTOMER CHG. \\
1.3 & DISTRIBUTION CHG. \\
1.4 & LOAD BALANCING \\
1.5 & SALES COMMDTY \\
& \\
1.6 & TOTAL SALES \\
1.7 & TOTAL T-SERVICE \\
& \\
1.8 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
1.9 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE \\
& \\
1.10 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
1.11 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Commercial Heating \& Other Uses} \\
\hline & (A) & (B) & CHA & \\
\hline & & & (A) - (B) & \% \\
\hline \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 22,606 & 22,606 & 0 & 0.0\% \\
\hline \$ & 282.96 & 264.00 & 18.96 & 7.2\% \\
\hline \$ & 1,597.92 & 1,491.16 & 106.76 & 7.2\% \\
\hline § \$ & 1,050.91 & 1,144.41 & (93.50) & -8.2\% \\
\hline \$ & 7,747.94 & 7,734.42 & 13.52 & 0.2\% \\
\hline \$ & 10,679.73 & 10,633.99 & 45.74 & 0.4\% \\
\hline \$ & 2,931.79 & 2,899.57 & 32.22 & 1.1\% \\
\hline \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.4724 & 0.4704 & 0.0020 & 0.4\% \\
\hline \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.1297 & 0.1283 & 0.0014 & 1.1\% \\
\hline \$/GJ & 12.535 & 12.481 & 0.0537 & 0.4\% \\
\hline \$/GJ & 3.441 & 3.403 & 0.0378 & 1.1\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Medium Commercial Customer
\begin{tabular}{lrrcc} 
& (A) & (B) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 169,563 & 169,563 & \((\mathrm{~A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & 0 \\
& & & & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & 282.96 & 264.00 & 18.96 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(8,605.13\) & \(8,030.38\) & 574.75 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(\S \$\) & \(7,882.67\) & \(8,584.06\) & \((701.39)\) & \(-8.2 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(58,115.68\) & \(58,014.31\) & 101.37 & \(0.2 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$\) & \(74,886.44\) & \(74,892.75\) & \((6.31)\) & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(16,770.76\) & \(16,878.44\) & \((107.68)\) & \(-0.6 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 0.4416 & 0.4417 & \((0.0000)\) & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 0.0989 & 0.0995 & \((0.0006)\) & \(-0.6 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\$/GJ & 11.718 & 11.719 & \((0.0010)\) & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\$/GJ & 2.624 & 2.641 & \((0.0168)\) & \(-0.6 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Large Commercial Customer
\begin{tabular}{rrcc}
\((\mathrm{A})\) & \((\mathrm{B})\) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\hline & & \((\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(\%\)} \\
339,125 & 339,125 & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
282.96 & 264.00 & 18.96 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(15,755.58\) & \(14,703.30\) & \(1,052.28\) & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(15,765.29\) & \(17,168.06\) & \((1,402.77)\) & \(-8.2 \%\) \\
\(116,231.02\) & \(116,028.24\) & 202.78 & \(0.2 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(148,034.85\) & \(148,163.60\) & \((128.75)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
\(31,803.83\) & \(32,135.36\) & \((331.53)\) & \(-1.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
0.4365 & 0.4369 & \((0.0004)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
0.0938 & 0.0948 & \((0.0010)\) & \(-1.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
11.582 & 11.592 & \((0.0101)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
2.488 & 2.514 & \((0.0259)\) & \(-1.0 \%\)
\end{tabular}
§ The Load Balancing Charge shown here includes proposed transportation charges

\section*{ANNUAL BILL COMPARISON - COMMERCIAL \& INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS}
(A) EB-2006-0034 @ \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) vs (B) EB-2006-0099 @ \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\)
\begin{tabular}{ll}
3.1 & VOLUME \\
3.2 & CUSTOMER CHG. \\
3.3 & DISTRIBUTION CHG. \\
3.4 & LOAD BALANCING \\
3.5 & SALES COMMDTY \\
3.6 & TOTAL SALES \\
3.7 & TOTAL T-SERVICE \\
& \\
3.8 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
3.9 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE \\
& \\
3.10 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
3.11 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Col. } 1 & \text { Col. } 2 & \text { Col. } 3 & \text { Col. } 4\end{array}\)
Industrial General Use
\begin{tabular}{rrrcc} 
& (A) & (B) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 43,285 & 43,285 & (A) - (B) & 0 \\
& & & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & 282.96 & 264.00 & 18.96 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(2,832.89\) & \(2,643.66\) & 189.23 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(\S \$\) & \(2,012.23\) & \(2,191.27\) & \((179.04)\) & \(-8.2 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(14,835.42\) & \(14,809.52\) & 25.90 & \(0.2 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$\) & \(19,963.50\) & \(19,908.45\) & 55.05 & \(0.3 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(5,128.08\) & \(5,098.93\) & 29.15 & \(0.6 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$ / m^{3}\) & 0.4612 & 0.4599 & 0.0013 & \(0.3 \%\) \\
\$/m & 0.1185 & 0.1178 & 0.0007 & \(0.6 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\$/GJ & 12.237 & 12.203 & 0.0337 & \(0.3 \%\) \\
\$/GJ & 3.143 & 3.125 & 0.0179 & \(0.6 \%\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Medium Industrial Customer}
\begin{tabular}{rrrcr} 
& (A) & (B) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 169,563 & 169,563 & (A) - (B) & 0 \\
& & & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & 282.96 & 264.00 & 18.96 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(8,812.11\) & \(8,223.60\) & 588.51 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(\S \$\) & \(7,882.69\) & \(8,584.06\) & \((701.37)\) & \(-8.2 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(58,115.69\) & \(58,014.29\) & 101.40 & \(0.2 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$\) & \(75,093.45\) & \(75,085.95\) & 7.50 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(16,977.76\) & \(17,071.66\) & \((93.90)\) & \(-0.6 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$ / m^{3}\) & 0.4429 & 0.4428 & 0.0000 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$ / m^{3}\) & 0.1001 & 0.1007 & \((0.0006)\) & \(-0.6 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{GJ}\) & 11.750 & 11.749 & 0.0012 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{GJ}\) & 2.657 & 2.671 & \((0.0147)\) & \(-0.6 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Col. 5
Col. 6
Col. 7
Col. 8
Industrial Heating \& Other Uses
\begin{tabular}{rrcr}
\((\mathrm{A})\) & \((\mathrm{B})\) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\hline & & \((\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & 0 \\
63,903 & 63,903 & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
282.96 & 264.00 & 18.96 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(3,799.49\) & \(3,545.72\) & 253.77 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(2,970.73\) & \(3,235.07\) & \((264.34)\) & \(-8.2 \%\) \\
\(21,901.98\) & \(21,863.79\) & 38.19 & \(0.2 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(28,955.16\) & \(28,908.58\) & 46.58 & \(0.2 \%\) \\
\(7,053.18\) & \(7,044.79\) & 8.39 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
& & & \\
0.4531 & 0.4524 & 0.0007 & \(0.2 \%\) \\
0.1104 & 0.1102 & 0.0001 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
& & & \\
12.022 & 12.003 & 0.0193 & \(0.2 \%\) \\
2.928 & 2.925 & 0.0035 & \(0.1 \%\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Large Industrial Customer}
\begin{tabular}{rrcc}
\((A)\) & \((B)\) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\hline & & \((\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(\%\)} \\
339,124 & 339,124 & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
282.96 & 264.00 & 18.96 & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(15,909.36\) & \(14,846.84\) & \(1,062.52\) & \(7.2 \%\) \\
\(15,765.24\) & \(17,168.01\) & \((1,402.77)\) & \(-8.2 \%\) \\
\(116,230.69\) & \(116,027.89\) & 202.80 & \(0.2 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(148,188.25\) & \(148,306.74\) & \((118.49)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
\(31,957.56\) & \(32,278.85\) & \((321.29)\) & \(-1.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
0.4370 & 0.4373 & \((0.0003)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
0.0942 & 0.0952 & \((0.0009)\) & \(-1.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
11.594 & 11.603 & \((0.0093)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
2.500 & 2.525 & \((0.0251)\) & \(-1.0 \%\)
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
§ The Load Balancing Charge shown here includes proposed transportation charges
}

\section*{ANNUAL BILL COMPARISON - LARGE VOLUME CUSTOMERS}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& \\
& \\
1.1 & VOLUME \\
& \\
1.2 & CUSTOMER CHG. \\
1.3 & DISTRIBUTION CHG. \\
1.4 & LOAD BALANCING \\
1.5 & SALES COMMDTY \\
& \\
1.6 & TOTAL SALES \\
1.7 & TOTAL T-SERVICE \\
1.8 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
1.9 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE \\
1.10 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
1.11 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
& \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Rate 100-Small Commercial Firm } & \\
& (A) & (B) & CHANGE & \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 339,188 & 339,188 & \((\mathrm{~A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & 0 \\
& & & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(1,381.20\) & \(1,200.00\) & 181.20 & \(15.1 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(16,548.04\) & \(14,588.90\) & \(1,959.14\) & \(13.4 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(14,681.81\) & \(16,182.56\) & \((1,500.75)\) & \(-9.3 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(115,307.98\) & \(115,331.72\) & \((23.74)\) & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$\) & \(147,919.03\) & \(147,303.18\) & 615.85 & \(0.4 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(32,611.05\) & \(31,971.46\) & 639.59 & \(2.0 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 0.4361 & 0.4343 & 0.0018 & \(0.4 \%\) \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 0.0961 & 0.0943 & 0.0019 & \(2.0 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{GJ}\) & 11.571 & 11.522 & 0.0482 & \(0.4 \%\) \\
\(\$ / \mathrm{GJ}\) & 2.551 & 2.501 & 0.0500 & \(2.0 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Rate 100 - Small Industrial Firm
Rate 100 - Average Industrial Firm
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2.1} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{VOLUME} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(\mathrm{m}^{3}\)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{339,188} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{339,188} & (A) - (B) & \% \\
\hline & & & & & 0 & 0.0\% \\
\hline 2.2 & CUSTOMER CHG. & \$ & 1,381.20 & 1,200.00 & 181.20 & 15.1\% \\
\hline 2.3 & DISTRIBUTION CHG. & \$ & 16,820.85 & 14,861.70 & 1,959.15 & 13.2\% \\
\hline 2.4 & LOAD BALANCING & \$ & 14,681.82 & 16,182.54 & \((1,500.72)\) & -9.3\% \\
\hline 2.5 & SALES COMMDTY & \$ & 115,307.98 & 115,331.72 & (23.74) & 0.0\% \\
\hline 2.6 & TOTAL SALES & \$ & 148,191.85 & 147,575.96 & 615.89 & 0.4\% \\
\hline 2.7 & TOTAL T-SERVICE & \$ & 32,883.87 & 32,244.24 & 639.63 & 2.0\% \\
\hline 2.8 & SALES UNIT RATE & \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.4369 & 0.4351 & 0.0018 & 0.4\% \\
\hline 2.9 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE & \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.0969 & 0.0951 & 0.0019 & 2.0\% \\
\hline 2.10 & SALES UNIT RATE & \$/GJ & 11.592 & 11.544 & 0.0482 & 0.4\% \\
\hline 2.11 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE & \$/GJ & 2.572 & 2.522 & 0.0500 & 2.0\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{ANNUAL BILL COMPARISON - LARGE VOLUME CUSTOMERS}
(A) EB-2006-0034 @ \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) vs (B) EB-2006-0099 @ \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3.2 & CUSTOMER CHG. & \$ & 1,405.32 & 1,200.00 & 205.32 & 17.1\% \\
\hline 3.3 & DISTRIBUTION CHG. & \$ & 9,781.66 & 8,584.07 & 1,197.59 & 14.0\% \\
\hline 3.4 & LOAD BALANCING & \$ & 11,958.70 & 12,712.05 & (753.35) & -5.9\% \\
\hline 3.5 & SALES COMMDTY & \$ & 115,447.05 & 115,529.46 & (82.41) & -0.1\% \\
\hline 3.6 & TOTAL SALES & \$ & 138,592.73 & 138,025.58 & 567.15 & 0.4\% \\
\hline 3.7 & TOTAL T-SERVICE & \$ & 23,145.68 & 22,496.12 & 649.56 & 2.9\% \\
\hline 3.8 & SALES UNIT RATE & \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.4086 & 0.4069 & 0.0017 & 0.4\% \\
\hline 3.9 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE & \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.0682 & 0.0663 & 0.0019 & 2.9\% \\
\hline 3.10 & SALES UNIT RATE & \$/GJ & 10.841 & 10.797 & 0.0444 & 0.4\% \\
\hline 3.11 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE & \$/GJ & 1.811 & 1.760 & 0.0508 & 2.9\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Rate 145 - Small Industrial Interr.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & (A) & (B) & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{CHANGE} \\
\hline & & & (A) - (B) & \% \\
\hline \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 339,188 & 339,188 & 0 & 0.0\% \\
\hline \$ & 1,405.32 & 1,200.00 & 205.32 & 17.1\% \\
\hline \$ & 10,054.46 & 8,856.86 & 1,197.60 & 13.5\% \\
\hline \$ & 11,958.71 & 12,712.04 & (753.33) & -5.9\% \\
\hline \$ & 115,447.05 & 115,529.47 & (82.42) & -0.1\% \\
\hline \$ & 138,865.54 & 138,298.37 & 567.17 & 0.4\% \\
\hline \$ & 23,418.49 & 22,768.90 & 649.59 & 2.9\% \\
\hline \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.4094 & 0.4077 & 0.0017 & 0.4\% \\
\hline \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.0690 & 0.0671 & 0.0019 & 2.9\% \\
\hline \$/GJ & 10.862 & 10.818 & 0.0444 & 0.4\% \\
\hline \$/GJ & 1.832 & 1.781 & 0.0508 & 2.9\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Rate 145 - Average Industrial Interr.
\begin{tabular}{rrcc} 
(A) & (B) & CHANGE \\
\hline & & \((\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & \(\%\) \\
598,567 & 598,567 & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(1,405.32\) & \(1,200.00\) & 205.32 & \(17.1 \%\) \\
\(14,463.93\) & \(13,111.59\) & \(1,352.34\) & \(10.3 \%\) \\
\(21,103.89\) & \(22,434.65\) & \((1,330.76)\) & \(-5.9 \%\) \\
\(203,730.05\) & \(203,875.50\) & \((145.45)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(240,703.19\) & \(240,621.74\) & 81.45 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(36,973.14\) & \(36,746.24\) & 226.90 & \(0.6 \%\) \\
& & & \\
0.4021 & 0.4020 & 0.0001 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
0.0618 & 0.0614 & 0.0004 & \(0.6 \%\) \\
& & & \\
10.669 & 10.666 & 0.0036 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
1.639 & 1.629 & 0.0101 & \(0.6 \%\)
\end{tabular}
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\section*{ANNUAL BILL COMPARISON - LARGE VOLUME CUSTOMERS}
(A) EB-2006-0034 @ \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) vs (B) EB-2006-0099 @ \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\)

Item
No.
No.

VOLUME
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(A)} & (B) & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{CHANGE} \\
\hline & & & & & (A) - (B) & \% \\
\hline 5.1 & VOLUME & \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 598,568 & 598,568 & 0 & 0.0\% \\
\hline 5.2 & CUSTOMER CHG. & \$ & 6,654.00 & 6,000.00 & 654.00 & 10.9\% \\
\hline 5.3 & DISTRIBUTION CHG. & \$ & 11,781.13 & 10,635.90 & 1,145.23 & 10.8\% \\
\hline 5.4 & LOAD BALANCING & \$ & 22,967.18 & 24,480.64 & \((1,513.46)\) & -6.2\% \\
\hline 5.5 & SALES COMMDTY & \$ & 203,152.78 & 203,126.44 & 26.34 & 0.0\% \\
\hline 5.6 & TOTAL SALES & \$ & 244,555.09 & 244,242.98 & 312.11 & 0.1\% \\
\hline 5.7 & TOTAL T-SERVICE & \$ & 41,402.31 & 41,116.54 & 285.77 & 0.7\% \\
\hline 5.8 & SALES UNIT RATE & \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.4086 & 0.4080 & 0.0005 & 0.1\% \\
\hline 5.9 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE & \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.0692 & 0.0687 & 0.0005 & 0.7\% \\
\hline 5.10 & SALES UNIT RATE & \$/GJ & 10.840 & 10.826 & 0.0138 & 0.1\% \\
\hline 5.11 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE & \$/GJ & 1.835 & 1.823 & 0.0127 & 0.7\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Rate 110 - Average Ind. Firm - 75\% LF
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
& \((\mathrm{A})\) & (B) & CHANGE \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & \(9,976,120\) & \(9,976,120\) & \((\mathrm{~A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & 0 \\
& & & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(6,654.00\) & \(6,000.00\) & 654.00 & \(10.9 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(147,234.78\) & \(132,976.24\) & \(14,258.54\) & \(10.7 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(382,785.88\) & \(408,009.99\) & \((25,224.11)\) & \(-6.2 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(3,385,875.17\) & \(3,385,436.23\) & 438.94 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$\) & \(3,922,549.83\) & \(3,932,422.46\) & \((9,872.63)\) & \(-0.3 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(536,674.66\) & \(546,986.23\) & \((10,311.57)\) & \(-1.9 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\$/m & & 0.3942 & \((0.0010)\) & \(-0.3 \%\) \\
\$/m & 0.3932 & 0.0548 & \((0.0010)\) & \(-1.9 \%\) \\
& 0.0538 & & & \\
\$/GJ & 10.432 & 10.459 & \((0.0263)\) & \(-0.3 \%\) \\
\$/GJ & 1.427 & 1.455 & \((0.0274)\) & \(-1.9 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Rate 110 - Small Ind. Firm - 50\% LF
.7\%

Col. 5
Col. 6
Col. \(7 \quad\) Col. 8

Rate 110 - Average Ind. Firm - 50\% LF
\begin{tabular}{rrcc}
\((\mathrm{A})\) & \((\mathrm{B})\) & CHANGE \\
\hline & & \((\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & \(\%\) \\
\(9,976,121\) & \(9,976,121\) & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(6,654.00\) & \(6,000.00\) & 654.00 & \(10.9 \%\) \\
\(192,663.39\) & \(173,837.81\) & \(18,825.58\) & \(10.8 \%\) \\
\(382,785.91\) & \(408,010.00\) & \((25,224.09)\) & \(-6.2 \%\) \\
\(3,385,875.51\) & \(3,385,436.57\) & 438.94 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(3,967,978.81\) & \(3,973,284.38\) & \((5,305.57)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
\(582,103.30\) & \(587,847.81\) & \((5,744.51)\) & \(-1.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
0.3977 & 0.3983 & \((0.0005)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
0.0583 & 0.0589 & \((0.0006)\) & \(-1.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
10.553 & 10.567 & \((0.0141)\) & \(-0.1 \%\) \\
1.548 & 1.563 & \((0.0153)\) & \(-1.0 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Rate 115 - Large Ind. Firm - 80\% LF
\begin{tabular}{rrrc}
\((\mathrm{A})\) & \((\mathrm{B})\) & CHANGE & \\
\hline & & \((\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & 0 \\
\(69,832,850\) & \(69,832,850\) & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(7,329.36\) & \(6,000.00\) & \(1,329.36\) & \(22.2 \%\) \\
\(833,250.76\) & \(680,131.14\) & \(153,119.62\) & \(22.5 \%\) \\
\(2,121,650.86\) & \(2,243,819.74\) & \((122,168.88)\) & \(-5.4 \%\) \\
\(23,701,129.63\) & \(23,698,056.97\) & \(3,072.66\) & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(26,663,360.61\) & \(26,628,007.85\) & \(35,352.76\) & \(0.1 \%\) \\
\(2,962,230.98\) & \(2,929,950.88\) & \(32,280.10\) & \(1.1 \%\) \\
& & & \\
0.3818 & 0.3813 & 0.0005 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
0.0424 & 0.0420 & 0.0005 & \(1.1 \%\) \\
& & & \\
10.130 & 10.117 & 0.0134 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
1.125 & 1.113 & 0.0123 & \(1.1 \%\)
\end{tabular}

\title{
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Item
No.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
7.2 & CUSTOMER CHG. \\
7.3 & DISTRIBUTION CHG. \\
7.4 & LOAD BALANCING \\
7.5 & SALES COMMDTY \\
7.6 & TOTAL SALES \\
7.7 & TOTAL T-SERVICE \\
& \\
7.8 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
7.9 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE \\
& \\
7.10 & SALES UNIT RATE \\
7.11 & T-SERVICE UNIT RATE
\end{tabular}

Col. 1

\section*{Rate 135 - Seasonal Firm}
\begin{tabular}{rrrcc} 
& \((\mathrm{A})\) & \((\mathrm{B})\) & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & 598,567 & 598,567 & \((\mathrm{~A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & 0 \\
& & & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(1,326.36\) & \(1,200.00\) & 126.36 & \(10.5 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(7,702.7\) & \(6,860.83\) & 841.86 & \(12.3 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(10,371.32\) & \(11,019.54\) & \((648.23)\) & \(-5.9 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(203,526.55\) & \(204,204.13\) & \((677.58)\) & \(-0.3 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$\) & \(222,926.92\) & \(223,284.50\) & \((357.59)\) & \(-0.2 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(19,400.37\) & \(19,080.37\) & 319.99 & \(1.7 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\$/m & 0.3724 & 0.3730 & \((0.0006)\) & \(-0.2 \%\) \\
\$/m & 0.0324 & 0.0319 & 0.0005 & \(1.7 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\$/GJ & 9.882 & 9.897 & \((0.0159)\) & \(-0.2 \%\) \\
\$/GJ & 0.860 & 0.846 & 0.0142 & \(1.7 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Rate 170 - Average Ind. Interr. - 75\% LF
\begin{tabular}{rrrcc} 
& \((\mathrm{A})\) & \((\mathrm{B})\) & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ CHANGE } \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) & \(9,976,120\) & \(9,976,120\) & \((\mathrm{~A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & 0 \\
& & & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(3,227.40\) & \(2,400.00\) & 827.40 & \(34.5 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(68,621.1\) & \(53,272.96\) & \(15,348.09\) & \(28.8 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(221,011.84\) & \(235,142.45\) & \((14,130.61)\) & \(-6.0 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(3,385,875.17\) & \(3,385,436.23\) & 438.94 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\(\$\) & \(3,678,735.46\) & \(3,676,251.64\) & \(2,483.82\) & \(0.1 \%\) \\
\(\$\) & \(292,860.29\) & \(290,815.41\) & \(2,044.88\) & \(0.7 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\$/m & 0.3688 & 0.3685 & 0.0002 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
\$/m & 0.0294 & 0.0292 & 0.0002 & \(0.7 \%\) \\
& & & & \\
\$/GJ & 9.784 & 9.777 & 0.0066 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
\$/GJ & 0.779 & 0.773 & 0.0054 & \(0.7 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Rate 170 - Average Ind. Interr. - 50\% LF
\begin{tabular}{rrcc}
\((\mathrm{A})\) & \((\mathrm{B})\) & CHANGE \\
\hline & & \((\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})\) & \(\%\) \\
\(9,976,121\) & \(9,976,121\) & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(3,227.40\) & \(2,400.00\) & 827.40 & \(34.5 \%\) \\
\(75,680.3\) & \(58,174.28\) & \(17,505.98\) & \(30.1 \%\) \\
\(221,011.85\) & \(235,142.50\) & \((14,130.65)\) & \(-6.0 \%\) \\
\(3,385,875.51\) & \(3,385,436.57\) & 438.94 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(3,685,795.02\) & \(3,681,153.35\) & \(4,641.67\) & \(0.1 \%\) \\
\(299,919.51\) & \(295,716.78\) & \(4,202.73\) & \(1.4 \%\) \\
& & & \\
0.3695 & 0.3690 & 0.0005 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
0.0301 & 0.0296 & 0.0004 & \(1.4 \%\) \\
& & & \\
9.803 & 9.790 & 0.0123 & \(0.1 \%\) \\
0.798 & 0.786 & 0.0112 & \(1.4 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Rate 170 - Large Ind. Interr. - 75\% LF
\begin{tabular}{rrcc}
\((A)\) & \((B)\) & CHANGE \\
\hline & & \((A)-(B)\) & \(\%\) \\
\(69,832,850\) & \(69,832,850\) & 0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(3,227.40\) & \(2,400.00\) & 827.40 & \(34.5 \%\) \\
\(364,777.9\) & \(257,316.22\) & \(107,461.68\) & \(41.8 \%\) \\
\(1,547,083.01\) & \(1,645,997.54\) & \((98,914.53)\) & \(-6.0 \%\) \\
\(23,701,129.63\) & \(23,698,056.97\) & \(3,072.66\) & \(0.0 \%\) \\
& & & \\
\(25,616,217.94\) & \(25,603,770.73\) & \(12,447.21\) & \(0.0 \%\) \\
\(1,915,088.31\) & \(1,905,713.76\) & \(9,374.55\) & \(0.5 \%\) \\
& & & \\
0.3668 & 0.3666 & 0.0002 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
0.0274 & 0.0273 & 0.0001 & \(0.5 \%\) \\
& & & \\
9.733 & 9.728 & 0.0047 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
0.728 & 0.724 & 0.0036 & \(0.5 \%\)
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Revenue Adjustment Rider (Rider E) Summary}

Period: April 1st to December 31st, 2007

Col. 1

Item No. Description
\[
\text { Col. } 2
\]

Sales Service
(cent/m \({ }^{3}\) )
\begin{tabular}{cc}
0.2688 & 0.2310 \\
0.0798 & 0.0185 \\
0.2598 & 0.2586 \\
\((0.1788)\) & \((0.1732)\) \\
\((0.0327)\) & \((0.0346)\) \\
0.0132 & 0.0117 \\
- & - \\
0.0038 & 0.0038 \\
\((0.1556)\) & \((0.1402)\) \\
0.0174 & 0.0153 \\
0.1244 & 0.1204 \\
\(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}\) & \((0.0640)\)
\end{tabular}

Notes: Sales Service Rider includes Distribution, Gas Supply Load Balancing and Gas Supply Commodity unit rates shown on Page 2.
Transportation Service Rider equals Sales Service Rider less Gas Supply Commodity unit rate.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 889＇98ャ＇Z & 196 & & SL大＇t\＆s＇9 & （ع90＇6T） & & \(0 \varepsilon 6^{\prime}\)＇ஏ¢s＇9 & 9Lさ＇とて & ¢セłO1 & \({ }^{\prime} \downarrow \tau\) \\
\hline & & & & － & & & － & － & saכ & ＇\(\varepsilon 1\) \\
\hline & e／u & e／u & & e／u & e／u & （0t90＇0） & ¢st＇とz & （¢T） & \(00 \varepsilon\) әұех & ＇\(\tau\) \\
\hline Itoo＇0 & 678 ＇t9 & \(\varepsilon\) & （ャててて＇0） & Stでと8 & （¢8т） & 8てヤを．0 & Stでと8 & S82 & \(00 z\) әхех & ＇\(\tau\) \\
\hline LZOO\％ & 98L＇8¢ & \(\tau\) & （6S90．0） & てS6＇L6巾 & （8zع） & てT80．0 & てS6＇L6巾 & カ0t & 0＜t әғе & － 0 \\
\hline （ \(\mathrm{bTO} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{O}\) & 9＜t＇¢ & （t） & （z8ttoo） & عZL＇ost & （عટ乙） & 08000 & ع LL＇ost & てT & SカT әұе⿺ & 6 \\
\hline （0000＇0） & 80Z＇s & （0） & \(0000 \cdot 0\) & さてL＇ゅG & （0） & 88000 & さてL＇けs & て & s¢г әғу & ＇8 \\
\hline 00000 & e／u & e／u & \(0000 \cdot 0\) & － & － & \(0000 \cdot 0\) & － & － & SZI әғе & ＇L \\
\hline Stoo 0 & โع6＇0¢ & 0 & （ヶt90．0） & 988＇029 & （でち） & 0ع \(\angle 0 \cdot 0\) & 988＇0＜9 & 067 & SIt әғе & ＇9 \\
\hline 61000 & ャ68＇㬂 & I & （てtした） & 206＇08t & （6くt） & 99 200 & 206＇08t & 0¢ع & Ott әғе & ＇s \\
\hline （9900＇0） & 096＇0ZT & （L） & （8zce．0） & 089＇TLL & （ع乙८＇ح） & 962T0 & 089＇TLL & \(98 \varepsilon^{\prime} \tau\) & 00t әæех & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline عโ00＇0 & ¢0て＇t & 0 & （8880．0） & OZL＇s & （z） & ヤ L6で0 & OZL＇s & \(\angle \tau\) & 6 әгй & ＇\(\varepsilon\) \\
\hline عโ90＇0 & ع66＇zтน & LEt & （ \(\downarrow\) カーヤ゙o） & 68L＇88S＇T & （¢マャ＇9） & 6ててヤフ & 68L＇88s＇โ & 6TL＇9 & 9 әуеу & ＇z \\
\hline 8LEOO & 989＇T0カ＇し & 0¢ऽ & （6898．0） & LS8＇9Lて＇乙 & （98て＇8） & \(6769^{\circ}\) & Ls8＇9Lて＇て & StS＇\(¢ \tau\) & \(\tau\) әெу & \({ }^{\tau}\) \\
\hline \(6^{\prime} 100\) & \(8^{\prime} 100\) & \(\angle 100\) & \(9^{\prime} 100\) & s \({ }^{\prime} 0\) & \(\checkmark^{\prime} 100\) & \(\varepsilon \cdot 100\) & 2＇100 & I＇100 & & \\
\hline （ \(\omega / \neq\) ） әъеу गฺuก &  &  & （ \({ }_{\varepsilon} \omega /\) ） әъеบ गฺuก &  &  &  &  & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Looz rew-uec } \\
\text { (000\$) (щns) } \\
\text { \&əa uo!!nq!ns!a }
\end{gathered}
\] & \(\overline{\text { uolpdujesa }}\) & ON Wəサ \\
\hline OT＇\({ }^{\prime} 0\) & \％ & \(8^{\prime} 100\) & L＇100 & \(9^{\prime} 100\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
s ' \\
ธ әןррәчэs
\end{tabular} & \(\checkmark\)＇100 & \(\varepsilon{ }^{\prime} 100\) & z＇10כ & T＇00 & \\
\hline & & & səృе & み！uก（ヨ ләр！ & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
у）ләр！̣ ұиәшısn！p \(\forall\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
pollod \\
әப \(\ddagger 0\) uo！
\end{tabular} & ел！ぇдд & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total Distribution Revenue Variance From EB-2006-0034 to EB-2006-0099 } \\
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { Col. } 4 & \text { Col. } 5 & \text { Col. } 6 & \text { Col. } 7 & \text { Col. } 8 & \text { Col. } 9 & \text { Col. } 9
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{m}{\dot{\delta}} \\
& \frac{2}{\dot{\circ}}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { त } \\
\text { 훙 }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\]





\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{t}} \\
& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  & （e） & 告 & 商 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Total Load Balancing Revenue Variance From EB-2006-0034 to EB-2006-0099
Total Load Balancing Revenue Variance From EB－2006－0034 to EB－2006－0099

TOTAL

吕
 \begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\begin{array}{c}18,071 \\
\text { 3，279 } \\
26\end{array}\) & \(\begin{array}{r}20,758 \\
20\end{array}\) \\
\hline 1.376 & 49,642 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} ～N


．
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REVENUE TO COSTI
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(8 S^{\prime} 0\) & T0＇\(\tau\) & 78＇0 & 00＇0 & 000 & 00 ＇ & ＋6\％ 0 & 20＇七 & 68.0 & e／u & ع6＇0 & T0＇\(\tau\) & \(66^{\circ} 0\) & L8＇0 & 00 ＇ & 00 ＇ & 00 ＇ & uo！ș！əəa p．eog 9002 оџеу łsoう of әпиәләу & ＇0T \\
\hline 00＇T & \(00 \cdot \tau\) & T9 0 & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot \tau\) & 68.0 & 860 & ع60 & カナO & ع60 & T0＇\(\tau\) & 86.0 & 980 & T0＇\(\tau\) & T0＇t & \(00 \cdot \tau\) & olpey lisoj of ənuәләу & ＇6 \\
\hline \(\forall / N\) & \％ZO＇9 & \％66＇T－ & \％00＇0 & \％00＇0 & \％6L＇\({ }^{\text {b }}\) & \％\(¢<L^{\circ} 6^{-}\) & \％\({ }^{\text {c }}\)＇\(\downarrow\) & \％T0＇\({ }^{-}\) & \％ちT＇9－ & \％T0＇9－ & \％\(\downarrow\) L＇9 & \％00＇s & \％0ヤ＊－ & \％6t＇9 & \％68＇9 & \％ \(80 \cdot 9\) & әseg әŋеу ио uməу & ＇8 \\
\hline \(\forall / N\) & 96． \(98 \tau\) & \(\angle 9^{\circ} 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & LでてT & 8®＇乙乙 & 98＇tz & 82＇ & 996 & \(\angle s ' t z\) & \(6 乙^{\prime}\) 功 & ع0＇0६z & \(6 \%^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\) & \(08 \cdot \downarrow \angle 8\) &  &  & әseg әтеу & ＇L \\
\hline \(\forall / N\) & \(\forall / N\) & （ \(\mathrm{St}^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}\) ） & 00.0 & 000 & （ \(\left\llcorner\right.\)－\({ }^{\text {c }}\) ） & （ع8＊9） & （ \(\varepsilon 6^{\circ} \mathrm{T}\) ） & （ \(\mathrm{L} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{s}\) ） & e／u & （29＇t） & 29.0 & （ \(\mathrm{cs}^{\prime}\)＇\()^{\text {（ }}\) & （ \(¢\ulcorner\cdot \downarrow 9\) ） & T9＇\(\tau\) & เย＇乙 & 000 &  ио！̣nq！ииог дәрип／дəло & ＇9 \\
\hline （00＇0） & （00＇0） & （80＇0） & 00.0 & \(00^{\circ}\) & （zて＇0） & （86＇t） & （66\％） & （8て＇0） & （99＇T） & （8t＇t） & \(88^{\prime} 0\) & （ \(8 \iota^{\prime}\)＇\()^{\prime}\) & （ \(\angle \vdash^{\prime} 0\) ） & \(90 \cdot \mathrm{~s}\) & ¢ع＇0т & （00＇0） & uо！̣nq！มиоэ ләрип／גәло & ＇G \\
\hline 9S＇\(\tau\) & 99＇โ & OZ＇0 & \(00^{\prime} 0\) & 00＇0 & ع6＇6t & \(\varepsilon \tau^{\prime \prime} 9 \mathrm{t}\) & LZ＇6Z & L8＇\(\varepsilon\) & \(96^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}\) & 09＇9S & 9T＇\(¢\) S & OT＇T0Z & \(8 \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon\) & TS＇\(¢ 98\) & \(8 \chi^{\prime} 98 L^{\prime} \tau\) & LS＇860＇\(\varepsilon\) &  & ＇ \\
\hline \(99^{\prime}\) โ & 99＇ 5 & 2T＇0 & \(00^{\circ} 0\) & \(00^{\circ}\) & 0L＇6t & ST＇It & 8L＇82 & \(09^{\prime} \varepsilon\) & \(0 \varepsilon^{\prime} \tau\) & 2t＇zs & ¢s＇¢¢ & ع9＇L6T & โ6＇ 2 & 8S＇898 & ع9＇s6L＇โ & LS＇860＇\(\varepsilon\) & sənuәләу 1еャ○」 & ＇\(\varepsilon\) \\
\hline \(00 \cdot 0\) & 00.0 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 00.0 & 000 & \(00^{\circ}\) & \(00^{\circ}\) & \(90^{\circ} 0\) & \(00^{\circ}\) & \(00^{\circ}\) & 00＇0 & （ 10.0 ） & \(98^{\circ}\) & 00＇0 & （99＇\(¢\) ） & S0＇T & （0t＇z） & sənuәләу pəı！！qu & ＇z \\
\hline \(99^{\prime}\) โ & \(99^{\prime}\) T & 2T0 & 000 & 000 & 02＇6t & ST＇It & \(\varepsilon \iota^{\prime} 8 乙\) & \(09^{\prime} \varepsilon\) & \(0 \varepsilon^{\prime} \tau\) & でて＇ZS & \(9 \mathrm{~S} \cdot \varepsilon \mathrm{~s}\) & 9て＇L6I & L6＇ 2 & カ†＇ZL8 & \(8 S^{\prime} \downarrow 6 L^{\prime} \tau\) & L9＇00T＇\(\varepsilon\) & әпиəләу＇suex \(\downarrow\) pue səjes & \({ }^{\text {＇}}\) \\
\hline ヨSVHכ¢ &  & S08 & Saつ 008 & 008 & 002 & 0＜T & StI & S¢T & SZT & STI & OTT & 00T & 6 & 9 & I & \(7 \forall \perp\) ¢ & NOILdİYOSヨa & ON \\
\hline 1כヨコاด & \(\exists \perp \forall\) ¢ & ヨ1ナy & \(\exists \perp \forall y\) & \(\exists \perp \forall ¢\) & ヨ1マy & ヨıVy & ヨ1ナy & ヨıVy & ヨıVy & ヨıVy & \(\exists \perp \forall\) ¢ & \(\exists \perp \forall ¢\) & \(\exists \perp \forall y\) & \(\exists \perp \forall ¢\) & \(\exists \perp \forall ¢\) & & & Wヨ \\
\hline LT 100 & 91＇\({ }^{\circ}\) & St \({ }^{\circ}\) & ャt ¢ & عt＇\({ }^{\prime}\) & てT \(\dagger\) & It 100 & 0t＇00 & 6 \({ }^{\prime}\) & 8 \({ }^{\prime}\) & ＜ 100 & 9 ＇00 & \(\mathrm{s}^{\prime} \mathrm{o}\) & \(\checkmark\)－100 & \(\varepsilon\) ¢ 10 & z 100 & ¢ \(10 \bigcirc\) & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{19}{|l|}{SNOSIZ甘 I ISOO OL ヨחNヨ＾ヨy} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Filed; 2007-02-23 Interim Rate Order EB-2006-0034 Exhibit G 2 Tab 3 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& Working Capital Allowance \\
1. & Prepaid Expenses \\
& \\
2.1 & Materials \& Supplies \\
2.2 & NGV Inventory \\
2.3 & Warehouse Inventory \\
2.4 & Holding Account \\
3. & Mortgages Receivable \\
4. & Merchandise Sales Financed \\
5. & Rebilled Construction Work \\
6. & Gas in Inventory \\
7. & Customer Security Deposits \\
& Working Cash Allowance \\
8.1 & Gas Costs/O\&M \\
8.2 & GST \\
9. & Total Working Capital
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Functionalization of Ontario Utility Working Capital Year Ended Dec. 31, 2007 \(\qquad\) (millions of dollars)}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Col. 1 & Col. 2 & Col. 3 & Col. 4 & Col. 5 & Col. 6 & Col. 7 & Col. 8 & Col. 9 & Col. 10 & Col. 11 \\
\hline Total Requirement & \begin{tabular}{l}
Gas \\
Supply
\end{tabular} & Storage & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sales \\
Stations
\end{tabular} & Distribution Measurement & Services & Mains & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sales/ \\
Marketing
\end{tabular} & Customer Accounting & Unidentifiable & \begin{tabular}{l}
GST \\
Revenue
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 2.70 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.33 & 0.33 & 0.02 & 0.00 & 2.01 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1.34 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1.34 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 3.09 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.70 & 2.39 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 5.07 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 2.53 & 2.53 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 9.14 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 4.57 & 4.57 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.90 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.90 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.10 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 2.60 & 0.00 & (2.50) & 0.00 \\
\hline 6.90 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 6.90 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 613.10 & 613.10 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline (42.80) & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & (42.80) & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline (2.20) & 20.67 & 1.37 & (0.92) & (1.01) & (2.11) & (4.37) & (2.89) & (12.81) & (0.14) & 0.00 \\
\hline 3.46 & (5.93) & 21.86 & 0.02 & 0.02 & 0.54 & 1.13 & 0.06 & 0.28 & 0.00 & (14.53) \\
\hline 600.80 & 627.84 & 23.23 & (0.90) & (0.99) & 6.58 & 13.48 & 1.13 & (55.33) & 0.28 & (14.53) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Investment Costs
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Customer Service Costs Operating Costs \\
\hline 3.1.1 & Heating Equipment Service \\
\hline 3.1.1 & Other Service Work \\
\hline 3.1.2 & Inspect Appl Inst. \\
\hline 3.1.3 & Sub-total Service Work \\
\hline 3.1.4 & Mtr Locks, Unlks, Exchanges \\
\hline 3.1.5 & Jobbing Contracts Costs \\
\hline 3.1.6 & Sub-total \\
\hline 3.1.7 & Training \& Supervision \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3.1} & Total Operating Costs \\
\hline & Maintenance Costs \\
\hline 3.2.1 & Co Equip on Cust. Premises \\
\hline 3.2.2 & Service Lines \\
\hline 3.2 & Total Maintenance Costs \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3.} & Total Customer Service Costs \\
\hline & Sales/Marketing Costs \\
\hline 4.1 & Residential Promotion \\
\hline 4.2 & Commercial Promotion \\
\hline 4.3 & Industrial Promotion \\
\hline 4.4 & Res/Comm Promotions \\
\hline 4.4 & General Sales Promotion \\
\hline 4.5 & Merchandising Expenses \\
\hline 4.6 & NGV Operation \\
\hline 4.7 & Contract Administration Costs \\
\hline 4.8 & Sub-total \\
\hline 4.9 & General Supervision \\
\hline 4.10 & DSM - Program \\
\hline 4.11 & DSM - General \\
\hline 4. & Total Sales \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & & & SSIFICATION DEC. 31, & OF RATE BA 2007 & & & & & \\
\hline & & Col. 15 & Col. 16 & Col. 17 & Col. 18 & Col. 19 & \begin{tabular}{l}
(millions of \\
Col. 20
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
dollars) \\
Col. 21
\end{tabular} & Col. 22 & Col. 23 & Col. 24 & Col. 25 & Col. 26 \\
\hline & & ------- Cl & OMER R & ATED INV & MENTS ---- & ------- & ---------- NU & ER OF C & TOMERS & ------- | & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Item } \\
& \text { No. } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & Description & Meters & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sales \\
Stations
\end{tabular} & Services & Customer
Plant & Rentals & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Commercial/ } \\
\text { Industrial } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & Contracts & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Direct } \\
\text { Purchase } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & Total & \begin{tabular}{l}
Readings \\
Processed
\end{tabular} & Entrac & \begin{tabular}{l}
GST \\
Revenue
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & GAS SUPPLY & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1. & Gas Supply & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2. & Storage & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline & distribution & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 3. & Mains & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 429.51 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 4. & Distribution Reg. & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline & CUSTOMER & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 5. & Sales Station & 0.00 & 85.38 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 6. & Meters & 235.58 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 7. & Services & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1,115.47 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 8. & Rental Equipment & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 2.31 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 9. & Sales/Marketing & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 6.30 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 10. & Customer Accounting & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & (8.20) & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 11. & GST Revenue & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & (14.53) \\
\hline 12. & Sub-total & 235.58 & 85.38 & 1,115.47 & 429.51 & 2.31 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & (1.90) & 0.00 & 0.00 & (14.53) \\
\hline 13. & Unidentifiable & 1.63 & 0.59 & 7.73 & 2.98 & 0.02 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & (0.01) & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 14 & Entrac & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 12.43 & 0.00 \\
\hline 15 & Total Classified & 237.21 & 85.97 & 1,123.20 & 432.48 & 2.33 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & (1.91) & 0.00 & 12.43 & (14.53) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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(millions of dollars)
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Col. } 13 & \text { Col. } 14 & \text { Col. } 15 & \text { Col. } 16 & \text { Col. } 17 & \text { Col. } 18 & \text { Col. } 19 & \text { Col. } 20 & \text { Col. } 21 & \text { Col. } 22 & \text { Col. } 23\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Item No. & Description & TP Capacity & \begin{tabular}{l}
HP \\
Capacity
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
LP \\
Capacity
\end{tabular} & Commodity & Bad Debt Distribution & DSM & Meters & Sales Stations & Services & Customer Plant & Rentals \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{GAS SUPPLY} \\
\hline 1.1 & Gas Purchased & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 14.74 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1.2 & Stored Gas & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1.3 & A\&G & 12.78 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1.4 & System Gas Management & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1.5 & Direct Purchase Management & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1. & Total Gas Supply & 12.78 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 14.74 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{DISTRIBUTION} \\
\hline & OPERATING COSTS & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.1 & Chart Processing & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.2 & District Stations & 0.75 & 0.27 & 1.36 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.3 & System Operations & 10.57 & 3.77 & 19.17 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 15.68 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.4 & Gas Dispatched & 5.75 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{MAINTENANCE COSTS} \\
\hline 2.5 & Dist. System Reg. & 0.24 & 0.09 & 0.43 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.6 & Sales Meters & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 2.16 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.7 & Other Meters & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 11.33 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.8 & Instruments & 3.03 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.9 & Mains & 2.18 & 0.78 & 10.42 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 3.23 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.10 & Structures & 0.06 & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.04 & 0.01 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2. & Total Distribution Costs & 22.58 & 4.90 & 31.38 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 11.34 & 2.16 & 0.04 & 18.92 & 0.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
& OPERATING COSTS \\
3.1 & Heating Equipment \\
3.2 & Appliance Inspection \\
3.3 & Locks/Unlocks/Exchanges \\
3.4 & JC Costs \\
3.5 & JC Revenues \\
& \\
3.7 & MAINTENANCE COSTS \\
3.8 & Rentals \\
3. & Service Lines \\
& Total Customer Service \\
4.1 & SALES/MARKETING \\
4.2 & Residential \\
4.3 & Commercial \\
4.4 & Industrial \\
4.5 & Gesidential/Commercial \\
4.6 & NGV Operat Promotion \\
4.7 & Contract Administration \\
4.8 & DSM - Program \\
4.9 & DSM - General \\
4. & Total Promotions \\
& \\
5.1 & CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING \\
5.2 & Billing \\
5.3 & Enquiry \\
5.4 & Readings \\
5.5 & Credit \\
5. & Uncollectibles \\
7. & Total Customer Accounting \\
8. & Deferred Taxes \\
9. & Fixed Financing Costs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 4.45 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 4.45 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 5.33 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 20.28 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 8.16 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 5.33 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 28.45 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 8.33 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 8.33 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 40.69 & 4.90 & 31.38 & 14.75 & 8.33 & 28.45 & 11.34 & 2.16 & 4.48 & 18.92 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 40.69 & 4.90 & 31.38 & 14.75 & 8.33 & 28.45 & 11.34 & 2.16 & 4.48 & 18.92 & 0.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{CLASSIFICATION OF O\&M COSTS}

DEC. 31, 2007
(millions of dollars)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Col. 24 & Col. 25 & Col. 26 & Col. 27 & Col. 28 & Col. 29 & Col. 30
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Item No. & Description & Commercial/
Industrial & Contracts & \begin{tabular}{l}
Direct \\
Purchase
\end{tabular} & Total & Readings Processed & \begin{tabular}{l}
Deferred \\
Taxes
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fixed \\
Financing
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{GAS SUPPLY} \\
\hline 1.1 & Gas Purchased & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1.2 & Stored Gas & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1.3 & A\&G & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1.4 & System Gas Management & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1.5 & Direct Purchase Management & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1.56 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1. & Total Gas Supply & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1.56 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{DISTRIBUTION} \\
\hline & OPERATING COSTS & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.1 & Chart Processing & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 3.70 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.2 & District Stations & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.3 & System Operations & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.4 & Gas Dispatched & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{MAINTENANCE COSTS} \\
\hline 2.5 & Dist. System Reg. & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.6 & Sales Meters & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.7 & Other Meters & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.8 & Instruments & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.9 & Mains & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2.10 & Structures & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.13 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 2. & Total Distribution Costs & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.13 & 3.70 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{CUSTOMER SERVICE}
OPERATING COSTS
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
0.00 \\
Heating Equipment & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
Appliance Inspection & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 2.77 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
Locks/Unlocks/Exchanges & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 12.22 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
JC Costs & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
JC Revenues & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & \\
& & & & & & & 0.00 \\
MAINTENANCE COSTS & & & & & & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
Rentals & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
Service Lines & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
Total Customer Service & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 14.99 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00
\end{tabular}

\section*{SALES/MARKETING}

\section*{Residential}

\section*{Commercia}

Residential/Commercial
General Promotion
NGV Operation
Contract Administration
DSM - Program
DSM - General
Total Promotions
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc}
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
3.37 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
4.78 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 4.16 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 8.14 & 4.16 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& CUSTOMER ACCOUNTING \\
5.1 & Billing \\
5.2 & Enquiry \\
5.3 & Readings \\
5.4 & Credit \\
5.5 & Uncollectibles \\
5. & Total Customer Accounting \\
6. & Total O\&M \\
7. & Deferred Taxes \\
8. & Fixed Financing Costs \\
9. & Total O\&M Expense
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrr}
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 70.46 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 34.46 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 11.95 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 22.16 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 127.09 & 11.95 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 8.14 & 4.16 & 1.56 & 142.21 & 15.64 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 9.20 & 0.00 \\
0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1.30 \\
\hline 8.14 & 4.16 & 1.56 & 142.21 & 15.64 & 9.20 & 1.30 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＊G2．6．3 refers to Exhibit G2，Tab 6，Schedule 3.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 29.0 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & LでてT & 8ع＇乙て & 98. TZ & 8L＇T & 996 & LS＇tて & 6 て＇It \(^{\text {l }}\) & ع0＇0¢乙 & 6 T ＇G & 08＇†L8 & 9T＇と1દ＇乙 & S9＇LS9＇\(غ\) &  \\
\hline & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(80^{\circ} 0\) & \(6 \varepsilon^{\prime} 0\) & ET＇0 & ع0＇0 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(8 \mathrm{t}^{\prime} 0\) & ع＇ 0 & t＜＇0 & 000 & LT＇Z & L0＇8 & Et＇zT & эฉนヨ 9 \\
\hline & \(80^{\circ}\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & （98．0） & 切て & \(96^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}\) & ¢9＇t & So＇0 & OZ＇T & \(90 \cdot \mathrm{~s}\) & とで七乙 & \(96 . \downarrow\) & \(6 て ゙ \varepsilon L Z\) & Sع＇ャてT＇t & S \(8^{6} 6 \mathrm{t}^{\prime}\) T &  \\
\hline T＇t & 000 & 000 & （98＇0） & （8T＇0） & （عт＇0） & （z0＇0） & 000 & （عт＇0） & （ST＇0） & （L9＇0） & （20＇0） & （とt＇t） & （5t＇8） &  & әпиәләу 1 Sऽ \(\tau\) ¢＇G \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6＇ナ88＇t} & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & pessəo．ıd s6uipery 0t＇s \\
\hline & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & to 0 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & S \(L^{\prime}\)＇t & \(69^{\circ}\) & \(60^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}\) & LS＇L &  \\
\hline s＇t & （00．0） & 000 & （00\％） & （00．0） & （00．0） & （00\％） & （00\％） & （00．0） & （00．0） & （00．0） & （000） & （9ヶ\％） &  & （ \(\tau 6\) T） & s．amołsno keto 8 S \\
\hline UT＇t & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 &  \\
\hline L＇t & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & sıгеиuos 9＇s \\
\hline \(9 \cdot \downarrow\) & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & sıəmoısno＇pu｜／＇muos s＇s \\
\hline \(\rightarrow\)－ & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(98^{\prime}\) T & \(\angle \rightarrow 0\) & \＆と＇乙 & speruay \(\downarrow\)＇ s \\
\hline \(\varepsilon \cdot \downarrow\) & to 0 & 000 & 000 & \(68^{\circ}\) & Ls O & sto & 000 & てع0 & \(6 L^{\circ} 0\) & Lて＇も & 90.0 & Lて＇9てT & 68．066 & 0て＇とてT＇七 &  \\
\hline でし & 900 & 000 & 000 & \(88^{\prime}\) T & 切して & OZ＇T & 000 & 090 & 8L＇Z & 00 ＇t & 200 & 七¢＇七9 & 29 ＇s & L6＇98 & suoneis sajes て＇G \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{T＇t} & T0＇0 & 000 & 000 & 乙८＇0 & LO＇\(\tau\) & て¢0 & So＇0 & \(88^{\circ}\) & ¢9＇โ & ع9＇6 & OTO & 0＜＇L8 & 86 ＇ร์โ & นて＇しદะ & งıəəว์ T＇s \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &  \\
\hline & \(09^{\prime} 0\) & \(00^{\circ}\) & OT＇t & \(09^{\prime} \varepsilon\) & OS＇L & \(80^{\circ} 0\) & 19＇6 & 16．8T & 80＇sz & TS＇92T & OZ＇0 & 0L＇8LE & T6． 698 & 08＇カカカ＇T & uọnnqunsia｜elo \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline \(\dagger^{\prime}\)＇ & 000 & 000 & 000 & T0＇0 & to＇0 & T0＇0 & 000 & T0＇0 & LO＇0 & Lナ＇0 & T0＇0 & てヤ＇s¢ & カナ＇96を & 8t＇Zとt & lueld dəmołsno s＇t \\
\hline \(\varepsilon \cdot \tau\) & 000 & 000 & 000 & to 0 & 000 & 000 & 000 & t00 & 000 & T0＇0 & 000 & 200 & 80＇0 & \(60 \cdot 0\) & Kıpommoう t＇t \\
\hline \(\varepsilon ' 乙\) & OS＇0 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & \(60^{\prime} \mathrm{z}\) & \(\downarrow \varepsilon^{\prime} \downarrow\) & to＇0 & 000 & Lع＇8 & \(9 \mathrm{~S}^{\prime} \downarrow \mathrm{T}\) & 88 ¢ \(¢\) & Ito & L866T & L9＇sLZ & ヤ6\％8Ls &  \\
\hline でて & 0t\％ & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 切 0 & S80 & to 0 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & T0＇乙 & 98.2 & 68 ＇ヶT & 200 & 0て＇6\＆ & 90 ＇ts & т6．\(¢ \tau\) &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{I＇乙} & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & OT＇t & \(60^{\prime} \tau\) & \(9 \chi^{\prime}\) て & 200 & T9＇6 & 09＇8 & \(69^{\circ} \mathrm{L}\) & ¢で8ะ & \(90^{\circ}\) & 6T＇t0t & 0L＇\＆ちT & 8ع＇6โを &  \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &  \\
\hline & \(00^{\prime} 0\) & \(00^{\prime} 0\) & \(\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} 0\) & \(\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} 0\) & ع̌＇0 & \(00^{\prime} 0\) & \(00^{\prime} 0\) & \(60^{\circ} 0\) & 乙と＇0 & ST＇\(\varepsilon\) & \(00^{\prime} 0\) & \(60^{\prime} 6\) & 8t＇ZT & ع0＇92 &  \\
\hline て＇£ & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & LTO & ع̌＇0 & عて＇0 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(80^{\circ} 0\) & てて＇0 & 09＇โ & \(00 \cdot 0\) & カロ゙カ & 0て＇9 & 8て＇¢โ &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{T＇\(\varepsilon\)} & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(\angle T^{\prime} 0\) & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & to 0 & \(60^{\circ}\) & ¢s＇\(\tau\) & 000 & s9＇t & \(8 \chi^{\prime} 9\) & sL＇zt & К！！！ \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & Sヨlliliove ヨovyols SLSOO ，Sヨ씨าוֹナ \\
\hline & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(89^{\circ} \mathrm{L}\) & St＇st & 88＇0t & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(00 \cdot 0\) & \(\dagger L \cdot \varepsilon\) & \(\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} 0 \tau\) & T9＇tL & \(00^{\circ}\) & น \(\varepsilon^{\prime} 90\) \％ & 9ع＇882 &  &  \\
\hline て＇し & 000 & 00＇0 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 00＇0 & ıenuu＊\(\varepsilon^{\prime}\) \％ \\
\hline て＇£ & 000 & 000 & \(89^{\circ} \mathrm{L}\) & St＇st & \(88^{\circ} 0\) & 000 & 000 & \(\nabla<\cdot \varepsilon\) & ยع＇0т & T9＇tL & \(00 \cdot 0\) & โع＇902 & \(98.88 乙\) & ¢ ¢＜ 29 & puoseas でて \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{T＇\(\varepsilon\)} & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & \(00 \cdot 0\) & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & 000 & yead I＇Z \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & ＇SNVY \\
\hline & \(00^{\circ} 0\) & \(00^{\circ} 0\) & \(\varepsilon t^{\prime} 0\) & Lて＇0 & st＇0 & 200 & \(00^{\circ} 0\) & st＇0 & \(8 \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} 0\) & \(6 L^{\circ} 0\) & \(20 \cdot 0\) & \(\varepsilon て ' \checkmark\) & 00＇0T & \(8 T^{\circ} \mathrm{LT}\) & 1soj seg jexol t \\
\hline T＇T & \(00^{\circ}\) & \(00^{\circ}\) & \＆t＇0 & して＇0 & St＇0 & 200 & 000 & St＇0 & \(8 \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} 0\) & \(6 L^{\circ} 0\) & 200 & \＆て＇乌 & 00＇0T & \(8 \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} \angle \tau\) & ```
K!!powmoэ 贞uu* I'\tau
SISOO \perpOnCOYd
    ISOO \7ddns
``` \\
\hline ＊ع＇9＇Z๑ & S0¢ & \(00 \varepsilon\) & 002 & 0＜T & SャT & S\＆T & SZT & STT & OTT & 00T & 6 & 9 & I & ヨSV8 & NOILdİCS \({ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ON}\) \\
\hline 1181HXヨ & \(\exists \perp \forall \square\) & ヨıVy & \(\exists \perp \forall \square\) & ヨıVy & ヨıVy & \(\exists \perp \forall\) ¢ & ヨıVy & \(\exists \perp \forall ¢\) & ヨıVy & \(\exists \perp \forall 4\) & \(\exists \perp \forall \cup\) & \(\exists \perp \forall ¢\) & ヨıVy & \(\exists \perp \forall\) ¢ & Wヨ \({ }^{\text {l }}\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & ১t＇\(\dagger\) Oכ & \(\varepsilon \tau\) & 2T \(10 \bigcirc\) & IT＇10כ & OT＇\({ }^{\prime}\) & 6＇00 & \(8^{\prime} 100\) & L＇100 & \(9^{\prime} 10\) & S 100 & \(\checkmark\)＇100 & \(\varepsilon{ }^{\prime} 100\) & z＇10כ & I＇100 & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
－כヨa \\
NOIL甘JO
\end{tabular} & ঢ & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ATION OF RETURN \& TAXES
December 31, 2007

ALLOCATION OF TOTAL COST OF SERVICE
December 31, 2007
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \％000＇00t s＇6LE＇0\＆T & \％ 0000
0.0 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \% 000^{\circ} \\
& 0.0
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \% 000^{\circ} 0 \\
& 0.0
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \% 000^{\circ} 0 \\
& 0.0
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \% 000^{\circ} 0 \\
& 0.0
\end{aligned}
\] & \％tZo＇ts 9＇0Zs＇99 & \％6L6 8 6＇898＇ 89 & & & \\
\hline \％000＇00t & \％ちTLO & \％ع\＆6＇0て & \％t92＇0 & \％0＜8＇0 & \％000＇0 & \％000＇0 & \％000＇0 & \％OZL＇LL & & \\
\hline \(9 ' \varepsilon 9 乙^{\prime} 990\)＇z & カ＇とtく＇ゅt & ع＇şs＇z\＆t & s＇tst＇s & t＇0t9＇L & 0 O & 00 & 0 O & ع＇006＇s09＇t & & \\
\hline T＇Et9＇96T＇z & ガとちぐゅT & ع＇şs＇z\＆ゅ & s＇tSt＇s & T＇0t9＇L & 0.0 & 9＇02S＇99 & 6＇888＇ع9 & \(\varepsilon^{\prime} 006{ }^{\prime}\) S09＇t & & \\
\hline （ \(2 \cdot 888\) ） & 00 & （ \({ }^{\prime} 88 \varepsilon\) ） & 00 & 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 00 & & \\
\hline （8＇t¢0＇6） & 00 & （0＇s \({ }^{\text {c }}\) ） & （ \({ }^{\prime}\)＇t \(\tau\) & （ g ＇¢T） & 00 & 0.0 & 00 & （ \(\varepsilon\)＇\(\varepsilon \varsigma 0^{\prime} 8\) ） & & \\
\hline 00 & ガとちL＇ゅむ & （ ＇\(^{\prime} 88\)＇\(^{\prime}\) т） & （z＇9T） & （く＇てZ） & 00 & 00 & 00 & （L＇Stt＇\({ }^{\text {c }}\) ） & & \\
\hline 9＇980＇90て＇乙 & 0.0 & L＇LLT＇SEt & 8＇T8t＇s & ع＇8L9＇L & 00 & 9＇02S＇99 & 6＇898＇ع9 & ع＇698＇L29＇t & 0.0 & 8＇000＇z08＇t \\
\hline ¢ 6 68＇0¢ & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 0 O & 00 & 00 & 9＇0Z®＇99 & 6．8¢8＇ع9 & \(0{ }^{\circ}\) & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 0.0 \\
\hline T＇LOL＇glo＇z & 0.0 & L＇LLT＇SEt & \(8.18 \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\) & ع＇8L9＇L & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 00 & ع＇698＇\(\angle 29\)＇ & 0.0 & \(8^{\prime} 000{ }^{\prime} 208{ }^{\prime} \downarrow\) \\
\hline  & 00 & （－9－90s＇t） & （8＇9S） & （ \(\mathrm{G}^{6} \mathrm{FL}\) ） & 00 & 00 & 00 & （ \(\dagger\)－－－－－－ & ャ68＇8ะع & （9＇6ST＇0ヶt） \\
\hline て＇Z89＇くIt＇て & 00 & 「＇t89＇68t & \(9.88 s^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\) & 8． SSL＇L \(^{\text {c }}\) & 00 & 00 & 00 & く＇T0L＇t99＇โ & 0.0 & ガ09でで6＇ャ \\
\hline 0．086＇zz & 00 & 0＇086＇Zて & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 0＇0 & 00 \\
\hline 00 & 00 & 0 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 0.0 & \(0 \cdot 0\) \\
\hline 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 0.0 & \(0 \cdot 0\) \\
\hline 0＇086＇zz & 00 & 00086＇zz & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 00 & 00 \\
\hline \(0^{\prime} \angle L \nabla^{\prime} 0 \angle \varepsilon\) & 00 & \(0^{\circ} \angle L \nabla^{\prime} 0 \angle \varepsilon\) & 00 & 00 & \(0{ }^{\circ}\) & 00 & 00 & 00 & 000 & \(0 \cdot 0\) \\
\hline 0＇99才＇t & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 0＇99T＇t & 0.0 & 0.0 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 000 & 00 \\
\hline 0＇899＇t & 00 & 0＇899＇t & 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 00 & 000 \\
\hline 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 00 & 00 \\
\hline \(0 \cdot 0\) & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 0.0 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 000 \\
\hline T＇t86＇8t & 00 & て＇t86＇8t & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 00 & 0.0 \\
\hline т＇ 266 ＇\(\angle \varepsilon\) & 00 & ¢＇ 266 ＇LE & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \(0{ }^{0}\) & 00 & 00 & 00 \\
\hline 00 & 00 & \(0{ }^{0}\) & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \(0{ }^{0}\) & 00 & 00 & 000 \\
\hline 8＇929＇082 & 00 & 8＇9L9＇082 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 00 & 00 \\
\hline 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 000 \\
\hline  & 0.0 & て＇Lzて＇9ヵ & 9＇8\＆＇s＇ & 8＇LSL＇L & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 0.0 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & L＇T0L＇t99＇t & ャ68．8¢ع & ャ－09て＇で6＇ャ \\
\hline  & 00 & － 96 ＇8 \(^{\prime}\) & －－－－－－－－＇¢ & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & て＇908＇toz & －－－－－－－－－ & －600＇v69 \\
\hline 8＇990＇と8t＇T & 00 & ¢＇988＇LE & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & ع＇08t＇str＇t & ヤ68．8¢ع & て＇zot＇ャ9て＇t \\
\hline 0 ＇tcs＇zz & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 8．\(\angle S L L^{\prime} L\) & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 0.0 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & †＇96L＇七T & \({ }^{\text {¢ } 68.8 \varepsilon \varepsilon}\) & 0＇099＇とャ \\
\hline 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 00 & \({ }^{\text {6 } 68}\)＇8£ & 000 \\
\hline 00 & 00 & \(0{ }^{0}\) & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & ヤ68＇8\＆ะ & \(0 \cdot 0\) \\
\hline 8＇T96＇乙 & 00 & \(9 .<\varepsilon\) & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & でヤて6＇て & 68 ＇8¢ & L＇829＇8 \\
\hline 9 ＇tos & 00 & 89 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 8 8＇6t & ๖68＇88ะ & T＇09ヵ＇t \\
\hline （000）\＄ & （000）\＄ & （000）\＄ & （000）\＄ & （000）\＄ & （000）\＄ & （000）\＄ & （000）\＄ & （000）\＄ & \(\left({ }_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{m}_{\varepsilon} 0 \tau\right) / \$\) & \(\left.{ }_{(\varepsilon)} \mathrm{m}_{\varepsilon} 0 \tau\right)\) \\
\hline Feros & K！poumos & \(\overline{\text { enuub }}\) & reuoseas & সead & 1ә）u！\(M\) & วכeds & रम！！！ & 1soo & गएप्व ！！ & semnion \\
\hline & ＇u，is ca & & & & & ıeuoseas & －ヵəハ！｜әロ & әqセu®＾ & әqยие＾ & renuuv \\
\hline It \(10 \bigcirc\) & OT＇00 & \(6^{\prime} 100\) & \(8{ }^{\prime}\) & L＇0\％ & \(9{ }^{\prime}\) & s \({ }^{10}\) & \(\checkmark\)＇0כ & \(\left.\varepsilon{ }^{\prime} 10\right\rangle\) & z＇0 & ¢ \({ }^{\prime}\) \\
\hline & & & & & & SNOIL甘Z \(\pm 0\) & OO S」 －\(\forall\) OIIIS & כר כרו S甘פ & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Item} \\
\hline No． & Description \\
\hline & Purchases and Receipts \\
\hline 1.1 & Long－Term \\
\hline 1.2 & Western Buy／Sell \\
\hline 1.3 & Ontario Buy．Sell \\
\hline 1.4 & Short－Term Annual \\
\hline 1.5 & Short－Term Peak \\
\hline 1.6 & Discretionary Western \＆US \\
\hline 1.7 & Discretionary－Ontario \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1.} & Total Purchases \＆Receipts \\
\hline & Transportation \\
\hline 2.1 & TCPL FT－Demand System \\
\hline 2.2 & TCPL FT－Winter \\
\hline 2.3 & Alliance \\
\hline 2.4 & Vector \\
\hline 2.5 & Union－M13 \\
\hline 2.6 & U．S．Transportation \\
\hline 2.7 & Nova \\
\hline 2.8 & Michcon／ANR／Link \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2.} & Total Transportation \\
\hline & Other Costs \\
\hline 3.1 & Fuel \\
\hline 3.2 & Delivery Pressure Charge \\
\hline 3.3 & Upstream Differential \\
\hline 3. & Total Other Variable Costs \\
\hline 4. & Total Delivered Supply \\
\hline 5. & Storage Fluctuation \\
\hline 6. & Gas Costs to Operations \\
\hline 7. & Storage and Transportation \\
\hline 8. & Gas Costs－Storage \＆Trans． \\
\hline 9.1 & UUF Adjustment \\
\hline 9.2 & LUF Adjustment \\
\hline 9.3 & Other Costs \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9.} & Total Classified Costs \\
\hline & GAS COSTS \\
\hline 10.1 & Classification Factors \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10.} & Classification Percentages \\
\hline & Storage \\
\hline 11.1 & Classification Factors \\
\hline 11.2 & Classification Percentages \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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CLASSIFICATION OF
TRANSPORTATION COSTS


\(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\)





\(\begin{array}{ll}m & \overline{0} \\ & \overline{0} \\ \overline{0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ & 0\end{array}\)
000
\(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{lll|l}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

000
\(\bigcirc 0\)
\(\bigcirc\)
\(\bigcirc\)
0
\(\begin{array}{lll:l}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\)

\begin{tabular}{ll}
4 & \(\stackrel{\pi}{0}\) \\
\(\stackrel{\circ}{0}\) & \\
\hline 0
\end{tabular}



\(\begin{array}{ll} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ & \\ & \end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 6 \\ -i & 7\end{array}\)



ALLOCATION PERCENTAGES
DEC. 31,2007
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Col. 1 & Col. 2 & Col. 3 & Col. 4 & Col. 5 & Col. 6 & Col. 7 & Col. 8 & Col. 9 & Col. 10 & Col. 11 & Col. 12 & Col. 13 & Col. 14 & Col. 15 \\
\hline & FACTOR & Rate & RATE & RATE & Rate & Rate & Rate & Rate & Rate & Rate & Rate & RATE & RATE & RATE & Direct \\
\hline & TOTAL & 1 & 6 & 9 & 100 & 110 & 115 & 125 & 135 & 145 & 170 & 200 & 300 & 305 & Purchase \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{COMMODITY RESPONSIBILITY} \\
\hline 1.1 Annual Sales & 1.0000 & 0.5818 & 0.3046 & 0.0011 & 0.0461 & 0.0106 & 0.0088 & 0.0000 & 0.0011 & 0.0087 & 0.0121 & 0.0251 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 1.2 Bundled Annual Deliveries & 1.0000 & 0.3817 & 0.2680 & 0.0006 & 0.1183 & 0.0529 & 0.0773 & 0.0000 & 0.0047 & 0.0214 & 0.0622 & 0.0128 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 1.3 Total Annual Deliveries & 1.0000 & 0.3807 & 0.2672 & 0.0006 & 0.1180 & 0.0528 & 0.0771 & 0.0000 & 0.0047 & 0.0214 & 0.0621 & 0.0128 & 0.0000 & 0.0027 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 1.4 Bundled Peak Delivery & 1.0000 & 0.4639 & 0.3363 & 0.0002 & 0.1235 & 0.0245 & 0.0274 & 0.0000 & 0.0001 & 0.0073 & 0.0035 & 0.0132 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 1.5 System Gas Sales & 1.0000 & 0.5818 & 0.3046 & 0.0011 & 0.0461 & 0.0106 & 0.0088 & 0.0000 & 0.0011 & 0.0087 & 0.0121 & 0.0251 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{DISTRIBUTION CAPACITY RESPONSIBILITY} \\
\hline 2.1 Delivery Demand TP & 1.0000 & 0.4499 & 0.3262 & 0.0002 & 0.1198 & 0.0238 & 0.0266 & 0.0301 & 0.0001 & 0.0071 & 0.0034 & 0.0128 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 2.2 Delivery Demand HP & 1.0000 & 0.4746 & 0.3441 & 0.0002 & 0.1263 & 0.0251 & 0.0177 & 0.0000 & 0.0001 & 0.0075 & 0.0036 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0009 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 2.3 Delivery Demand LP & 1.0000 & 0.4762 & 0.3452 & 0.0002 & 0.1268 & 0.0251 & 0.0145 & 0.0000 & 0.0001 & 0.0075 & 0.0036 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0009 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 2.4 Cust. Rel Plant & 1.0000 & 0.9167 & 0.0819 & 0.0000 & 0.0011 & 0.0002 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0001 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{STORAGE RESPONSIBILITY} \\
\hline 3.1 Deliverability & 1.0000 & 0.4925 & 0.3646 & 0.0000 & 0.1214 & 0.0073 & 0.0010 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0131 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 3.2 Space & 1.0000 & 0.4671 & 0.3342 & 0.0000 & 0.1209 & 0.0167 & 0.0061 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0176 & 0.0250 & 0.0124 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{CUSTOMER RESPONSIBILITY} \\
\hline 4.1 Meters & 1.0000 & 0.5733 & 0.3697 & 0.0004 & 0.0406 & 0.0069 & 0.0016 & 0.0002 & 0.0013 & 0.0045 & 0.0013 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.2 Sales Stations & 1.0000 & 0.0654 & 0.7134 & 0.0009 & 0.1279 & 0.0323 & 0.0069 & 0.0000 & 0.0139 & 0.0168 & 0.0218 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0006 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.3 Services & 1.0000 & 0.8818 & 0.1124 & 0.0001 & 0.0038 & 0.0007 & 0.0003 & 0.0000 & 0.0001 & 0.0005 & 0.0003 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.4 Rental Equipment & 1.0000 & 0.2000 & 0.7999 & 0.0000 & 0.0001 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.5 Total Customer Count & 1.0000 & 0.9167 & 0.0819 & 0.0000 & 0.0011 & 0.0002 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0001 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.6 Comm/Ind. Customer Count & 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.9827 & 0.0002 & 0.0131 & 0.0019 & 0.0004 & 0.0000 & 0.0002 & 0.0011 & 0.0002 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.7 Contracts & 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.7707 & 0.1108 & 0.0239 & 0.0004 & 0.0143 & 0.0660 & 0.0131 & 0.0004 & 0.0000 & 0.0004 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.8 Chart Readings non AMR per Yea & 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.9892 & 0.0108 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.9 Chart Readings AMR per Year & 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.2717 & 0.0024 & 0.5498 & 0.0800 & 0.0167 & 0.0003 & 0.0102 & 0.0536 & 0.0151 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0003 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.10 Meter Readings per Year & 1.0000 & 0.9204 & 0.0796 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.11 Separation Expense Allocator & 1.0000 & 0.7750 & 0.2250 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 4.12 Direct Purchase Customers & 1.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 1.0000 \\
\hline 4.13 Asset Usage Allocator & 1.0000 & 0.7613 & 0.1757 & 0.0003 & 0.0346 & 0.0094 & 0.0076 & 0.0000 & 0.0003 & 0.0054 & 0.0036 & 0.0017 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
\hline 5. Rate Base & 1.0000 & 0.6502 & 0.2459 & 0.0015 & 0.0647 & 0.0116 & 0.0069 & 0.0027 & 0.0005 & 0.0061 & 0.0063 & 0.0034 & 0.0000 & 0.0002 & 0.0000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Col. 3
Commodity
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
N \\
N \\
i \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\stackrel{\text { n }}{0}\)

3,035.4


\section*{\(\stackrel{N}{0}\) \\ }




7
0
0



TECUMSEH GAS
RATE DERIVATION
2007 TEST YEAR

 \begin{tabular}{l} 
๗ \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\hline 0
\end{tabular}

\section*{Cost of service}

Unit Cost - Annual \(\left(\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)\)
Unit Cost - Monthly \(\left(\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} /\right.\) month \()\) Unit Cost - Rounded ( \(\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) )

Fuel Ratio (\%)
 \(\stackrel{m}{\oplus} \quad \underset{\sim}{-}\)
 Cost of Service Analysis (\$000's)
Forecasted Gas Volumes \(\left(10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)\) Forecasted Gas Volumes \(\left(10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)\)

Unit Cost - Annual (\$/103 \({ }^{3}\) ) Unit Cost - Monthly \(\left(\$ / 10^{3} m^{3}\right.\)
Pool Storage



TECUMSEH GAS


TECUMSEH GAS
ISOLATION OF TRANSMISSION RELATED COST OF SERVICE
2007 TEST YEAR


Filed: 2007-02-23
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{FUNCTIONALIZATION OF SHORT CYCLE NET REVENUES TO IN/EX FRANCHISE CUSTOMERS 2007 TEST YEAR (\$000)} \\
\hline & & & & Col. 1 & Col. 2 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Col. } 3 \\
\text { (Col. 1*Col. 2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & Col. 4 & Col. 5 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Col. } 6 \\
(\text { Col. } 3 * \text { Col. } 4)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Col. } 7 \\
\text { (Col. } 3 * \text { Col. 5) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Item \\
No.
\end{tabular} & Description & & & \begin{tabular}{c}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Net \\
Revenues
\end{tabular} \\
\hline\((\$ 000)\)
\end{tabular} & Sharing & Net Revenues Shared (\$000) & T/C & Storage & \[
\frac{\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{C}}{(\$ 000)}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Storage }}{(\$ 000)}
\] \\
\hline 1. & Short Cycle & & & 4,368.0 & 100\% & 4,368.0 & 54\% & 46\% & 2,363.1 & 2,004.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{CLASSIFICATION AND ALLOCATION OF NET REVENUES TO IN/EX FRANCHISE CUSTOMERS} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Item \\
No.
\end{tabular}} & & Col. 1 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Col. } 2 \\
\text { (Col. } 1 * 60 \%)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Col. } 3 \\
(\text { Col 1*40\%) }
\end{gathered}
\] & Col. 4 & Col. 5 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Col. } 6 \\
\text { (Col. } 4 * \text { Col. 2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Col. } 7 \\
\text { (Col. 5*Col. 3) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Col. } 8 \\
(\text { Col. } 6+\text { Col. } 7)
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline & & & NET RE & ENUES & Vo & UMES & & ALLOCATION & & \\
\hline & Description & \[
\frac{\text { Total }}{(\$ 000)}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Daily }}{(\$ 000)}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Annual }}{(\$ 000)}
\] & Daily & Annual & \[
\frac{\text { Daily }}{(\$ 000)}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Annual }}{(\$ 000)}
\] & \[
\frac{\text { Total }}{(\$ 000)}
\] & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{T/C} \\
\hline 1.1 & In Franchise & & & & 100\% & 100\% & 1,417.9 & 945.2 & 2,363.1 & \\
\hline 1.2 & Rate 325 & & & & 0\% & 0\% & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 1.3 & Rate 330 & & & & 0\% & 0\% & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 1.4 & Rate 331 & & & & 0\% & 0\% & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 1. & TOTAL & 2,363.1 & 1,417.9 & 945.2 & 100\% & 100\% & 1,417.9 & 945.2 & 2,363.1 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Storage} \\
\hline 2.1 & In Franchise & & & & 100\% & 100\% & 1,202.9 & 802.0 & 2,004.9 & \\
\hline 2.2 & Rate 325 & & & & 0\% & 0\% & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2.3 & Rate 330 & & & & 0\% & 0\% & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2.4 & Rate 331 & & & & 0\% & 0\% & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2. & TOTAL & 2,004.9 & 1,202.9 & 802.0 & 100\% & 100\% & 1,202.9 & 802.0 & 2,004.9 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Total T/C and Storage} \\
\hline 3.1 & In Franchise & & & & & & 2,620.8 & 1,747.2 & 4,368.0 & \\
\hline 3.2 & Rate 325 & & & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 3.3 & Rate 330 & & & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 3.4 & Rate 331 & & & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 3. & TOTAL & 4,368.0 & 2,620.8 & 1,747.2 & & & 2,620.8 & 1,747.2 & 4,368.0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPENDIX "C"}

TO INTERIM RATE ORDER
BOARD FILE NO. EB-2006-0034

DATED MARCH 26, 2007
RIDER: E \(\quad\) REVENUE ADJUSTMENT RIDER

The following adjustment shall be applicable to billed volumes during the period April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Rate Class & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Sales Service \\
\(\left(\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Transportation Service \\
\(\left(\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\)
\end{tabular} \\
Rate 1 & 0.2688 & 0.2310 \\
Rate 6 & 0.0798 & 0.0185 \\
Rate 9 & 0.2598 & 0.2586 \\
Rate 100 & \((0.1788)\) & \((0.1732)\) \\
Rate 110 & \((0.0327)\) & \((0.0346)\) \\
Rate 115 & 0.0132 & 0.0117 \\
Rate 135 & 0.0038 & 0.0038 \\
Rate 145 & \((0.1556)\) & \((0.1402)\) \\
Rate 170 & 0.0174 & 0.0153 \\
Rate 200 & 0.1244 & 0.1204 \\
Rate 300 & 0.0000 & \((0.0640)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007
\end{tabular}

ENBRIDGE

\section*{APPENDIX "D"}

TO INTERIM RATE ORDER
BOARD FILE NO. EB-2006-0034

DATED MARCH 26, 2007
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\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Replaces: 2007-01-01 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
These rates to be superseded \\
by EB-2007-0049, effective \\
April 1, 2007.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Part I}

\section*{GLOSSARY OF TERMS}

In this Handbook of Rates and Distribution Services, each term set out below shall have the meaning set out opposite it:

Annual Turnover Volume ("ATV"): The sum of the contracted volumes injected into and withdrawn from storage by an applicant within a contract year.

Annual Volume Deficiency: The difference between the Minimum Annual Volume and the volume actually taken in a contract year, if such volume is less than the Minimum Annual Volume.

Applicant: The party who makes application to the Company for one or more of the services of the Company and such term includes any party receiving one or more of the services of the Company.

Authorized Volume: In regards to Sales Service Agreements, the Contract Demand.

In regards to Bundled Transportation Service arrangements, the Contract Demand (CD) less the amount by which the Applicant's Mean Daily Volume (MDV) exceeds the Daily Delivered Volume (Delivery) and less the volume by which the Applicant has been ordered to curtail or discontinue the use of gas (Curtailment Volume) or otherwise represented as:

CD - (MDV - Delivery) - Curtailment Volume
Back-stopping: A service whereby alternative supplies of gas may be available in the event that an Applicant's supply of gas is not available for delivery to the Company.

Banked Gas Account: A record of the amount of gas delivered by the Applicant to the Company in respect of a Terminal Location (credits) and of volume of gas taken by the Applicant at the Terminal Location (debits)

Billing Contract Demand: Applicable only to new customers who take Dedicated Service under Rate 125. The Company and the Applicant shall determine a Billing Contract Demand which would result in annual revenues over the term of the contract that would enable the Company to recover the invested capital, return on capital, and O\&M costs of the Dedicated Service in accordance with its system expansion policies.

Billing Month: A period of approximately thirty (30) days following which the Company renders a bill to an applicant. The billing month is determined by the Company's monthly Reading and Billing Schedule. With respect to rate 135 LVDC's, there are eight summer months and four winter months.

Board: Ontario Energy Board. (OEB)
Bundled Service: A service in which the demand for natural gas at a Terminal Location is met by the Company utilizing Load balancing resources.

Buy/Sell Arrangement: An arrangement, the terms of which are provided for in one or more agreements to which one or more of an end user of gas (being a party that buys from the Company gas delivered to a Terminal Location), an affiliate of an end user and a marketer, broker or agent of an end user is a party and the Company is a party, and pursuant to which the Company agrees to buy from the end user or its affiliate a supply of gas and to sell to the end user gas delivered to a Terminal Location served from the gas distribution network. The Company will not enter into any new buy/sell agreement after April 1, 1999.

BuylSell Price: The Price per cubic meter which the Company would pay for gas purchased pursuant to a Buy/Sell Arrangement in which the purchase takes place in Ontario.

Commodity Charge: A charge per unit volume of gas actually taken by the Applicant, as distinguished from a demand charge which is based on the maximum daily volume an Applicant has the right to take.

\section*{Company: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.}

Contract Demand: A contractually specified volume of gas applicable to service under a particular Rate Schedule for each Terminal Location which is the maximum volume of gas the Company is required to deliver on a daily basis under a Large Volume Distribution Contract.

Cubic Metre (" \(\mathrm{m}^{3 \mathrm{H}}\) ): That volume of gas which at a temperature of 15 degrees Celsius and at an absolute pressure of 101.325 kilopascals ("kPa") occupies one cubic metre. "10³m³" means 1,000 cubic metres.

Curtailment: An interruption in an Applicant's gas supply at a Terminal Location resulting from compliance with a request or an order by the Company to discontinue or curtail the use of gas.

Curtailment Credit: A credit available to interruptible customers to recognize the benefits they provide to the system during the winter months.

Curtailment Delivered Supply (CDS): An additional volume of gas, in excess of the Applicant's Mean Daily Volume and determined by mutual agreement between the Applicant and the Company, which is Nominated and delivered by or on behalf of the Applicant to a point of interconnection with the Company's distribution system on a day of Curtailment.

Customer Charge: A monthly fixed charge that reflects being connected to the gas distribution system.

Daily Consumption VS Gas Quantity: The volume of natural gas taken on a day at a Terminal Location as measured by daily metering equipment or, where the Company does not own and maintain daily metering equipment at a Terminal Location, the volume of gas taken within a billing period divided by the number of days in the billing period.

Daily Delivered Volume: The volume of gas accepted by the Company as having been delivered by an Applicant to the Company on a day.

\section*{Page 1 of 8}

Dedicated Service: An Unbundled Service provided through a gas distribution pipeline that is initially constructed to serve a single customer, and for which the volume of gas is measured through a billing meter that is directly connected to a third party transporter or other third party facility, when service commences.

Delivery Charge: A component of the Rate Schedule through which the Company recovers its operating costs.
Demand Charge: A fixed monthly charge which is applied to the Contract Demand specified in a Service Contract.

Demand Overrun: The amount of gas taken at a Terminal Location exceeding the Contract Demand.

Direct Purchase: Natural gas supply purchase arrangements transacted directly between the Applicant and one or more parties, including the Company.

Disconnect and Reconnect Charges: The charges levied by the Company for disconnecting or reconnecting an Applicant from or to the Company's distribution system.
Diversion: Delivery of gas on a day to a delivery point different from the normal delivery point specified in a Service Contract.
Firm Service: A service for a continuous delivery of gas without curtailment, except under extraordinary circumstances.

Firm Transportation ("FT"): Firm Transportation service offered by upstream pipelines to move gas from a receipt point to a delivery point, as defined by the pipeline.

Force Majeure: A contract clause intended to excuse one or more parties from their obligations under a contract, in situations where performance is frustrated by unusual or severe circumstances beyond their control such as flood, fire, war, or prolonged labour strike.

Gas: Natural Gas.
Gas Delivery Agreement: A written agreement pursuant to which the Company agrees to transport gas on the Applicant's behalf to a specified Terminal Location.
Gas Distribution Network: The physical facilities owned by the Company and utilized to contain, move and measure natural gas.
Gas Sale Contract: A written agreement pursuant to which the Company agrees to supply and deliver gas to a specified Terminal Location.

Gas Supply Charge: A charge for the gas commodity purchased by the applicant.

Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge: A charge in the Rate Schedules where the Company recovers the cost of ensuring gas supply matches consumption on a daily basis.
General Service Rates: The Rate Schedules applicable to those Bundled Services for which a specific contract between the

Company and the Applicant is not generally required. The General Service Rates include Rates 1,6 , and 9 of the Company.

Gigajoule ("GJ"): See Joule.
Hourly Demand: A contractually specified volume of gas applicable to service under a particular Rate Schedule which is the maximum volume of gas the Company is required to deliver to an Applicant on a hourly basis under a Service Contract.

\section*{Imperial Conversion Factors:}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Volume:} \\
\hline 1,000 cubic feet (cf) & = & 1 Mcf \\
\hline & = & 28.32784 cubic metres ( \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) ) \\
\hline 1 billion cubic feet (cf) & = & \(28.3278410 \mathrm{~m}^{\mathbf{3}}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pressure:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{1 pound force per} \\
\hline square inch (p.s.i.) & = & 6.894757 kilopascals (kPa) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{1 inch Water Column (in W.C.) ( \(60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\) )} \\
\hline & \(=\) & \(0.249 \mathrm{kPa}\left(15.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\) \\
\hline 1 standard atmosphere & = & 101.325 kPa \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Energy:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{1 million British thermal units \(=\)}} & 1 MMBtu \\
\hline & & 1.055056 gigajoules (GJ) \\
\hline 948,213.3 Btu & = & 1 GJ \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Monetary Value:} \\
\hline \$1 per Mcf & = & \$0.03530096 per m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline \$1 per MMBtu & = & \$0.9482133 per GJ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Interruptible Service: Gas service which is subject to curtailment for either capacity and/or supply reasons, at the option of the Company.

Intra-Alberta Service: Firm transportation service on the Nova pipeline system under which volumes are delivered to an IntraAlberta point of acceptance.
Joule ("J"): The amount of work done when the point of application of a force of one newton is displaced a distance of one metre in the direction of the force. One megajoule ("MJ") means 1,000,000 joules; one gigajoule ("GJ") means 1,000,000,000 joules.
Large Volume Distribution Contract: (LVDC): A written agreement pursuant to which the Company agrees to supply and deliver gas to a specified Terminal Location.

Large Volume Distribution Contract Rates: The Rate Schedules applicable for annual consumption exceeding 340,000 cubic metres of gas per year and for which a specific contract between the Company and the Applicant is required.
Load-Balancing: The balancing of the gas supply to meet demand. Storage and other peak supply sources, curtailment of interruptible services, and diversions from one delivery point to another may be used by the Company.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Replaces: 2007-01-01 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
These rates to be \\
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Make-up Volume: A volume of gas nominated and delivered, pursuant to mutually agreed arrangements, by an Applicant to the Company for the purpose of reducing or eliminating a net debit balance in the Applicant's Banked Gas Account.

Mean Daily Volume (MDV): The volume of gas which an Applicant who delivers gas to the Company, under a T-Service arrangement, agrees to deliver to the Company each day in the term of the arrangement.

Metric Conversion Factors:
Volume:
\begin{tabular}{llr}
1 cubic metre \(\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\) & \(=\) & 35.30096 cubic feet (cf) \\
1,000 cubic metres & \(=\) & \(10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) \\
& \(=\) & \(35,300.96 \mathrm{cf}\) \\
& \(=\) & 35.30096 Mcf \\
\(28.32784 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) & \(=\) & 1 Mcf
\end{tabular}

Pressure:
\begin{tabular}{llr}
1 kilopascal (kPa) & \(=\) & 1,000 pascals \\
& \(=\) & 0.145 pounds per square inch (p.s.i.) \\
101.325 kPa & \(=\) & one standard atmosphere \\
& & \\
Energy: & \\
1 megajoule (MJ) & \(=\) & \(1,000,000\) joules \\
1 gigajoule (GJ) & \(=\) & 948.2133 British thermal units (Btu) \\
1.055056 GJ & \(=\) & \(948,213.3 \mathrm{Btu}\) \\
& & 1 MMBtu \\
Monetary Value: & & \\
\(\$ 1\) per \(10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) & \(=\) & \(\$ 0.02832784\) per Mcf \\
\(\$ 1\) per gigajoule & \(=\) & \(\$ 1.055056\) per MMBtu
\end{tabular}

Minimum Annual Volume: The minimum annual volume as stated in the customer's contract, also Section E.

Natural Gas: Natural and/or residue gas comprised primarily of methane.

Nominated Volume: The volume of gas which an Applicant has advised the Company it will deliver to the Company in a day.

Nominate, Nomination: The procedure of advising the Company of the volume which the Applicant expects to deliver to the Company in a day.

Ontario Energy Board: An agency of the Ontario Government which, amongst other things, approves the Company's Rate Schedules (Part V of this HANDBOOK) and the matters described in Parts III and IV of this HANDBOOK.

Point of Acceptance: The point at which the Company accepts delivery of a supply of natural gas for transportation to, or purchase from, the Applicant.

Rate Schedule: A numbered rate of the Company as fixed or approved by the OEB. that specifies rates, applicability, character of service, terms and conditions of service and the effective date.

Seasonal Credit: A credit applicable to Rate 135 customers to recognize the benefits they provide to the storage operations during the winter period.

Service Contract: An agreement between the Company and the Applicant which describes the responsibilities of each party in respect to the arrangements for the Company to provide Sales Service or Transportation Service to one or more Terminal Locations.

System Sales Service: A service of the Company in which the Company acquires and sells to the Applicant the Applicant's natural gas requirements.

T-Service: Transportation Service.
Terminal Location: The building or other facility of the Applicant at or in which natural gas will be used by the Applicant.

Transportation Service: A service in which the Company agrees to transport gas on the Applicant's behalf to a specified Terminal Location.

Unbundled Service: A service in which the demand for natural gas at a Terminal Location is met by the Applicant contracting for separate services (upstream transportation, load balancing/storage, transportation on the Company's distribution system) of which only Transportation Service is mandatory with the Company.

Western Canada Buy Price: The price per cubic metre which the Company would pay for gas pursuant to a Buy/Sell Agreement in which the purchase takes place in Western Canada.

\section*{PART II}

\section*{RATES AND SERVICES AVAILABLE}

The provisions of this PART II are intended to provide a general description of services offered by the Company and certain matters relating thereto. Such provisions are not definitive or comprehensive as to their subject matter and may be changed by the Company at any time without notice.

\section*{SECTION A - INTRODUCTION}

\section*{1. In Franchise Services}

Enbridge Gas Distribution provides in franchise services for the transportation of natural gas from the point of its delivery to Enbridge Gas Distribution to the Terminal Location at which the gas will be used. The natural gas to be transported may be owned by the Applicant for service or by the Company. In the latter case, it will be sold to the customer at the outlet of the meter located at the Terminal Location.

Applicants may elect to have the Company provide all-inclusively the services which are mutually agreed to be required or they may select (from the 300 series of rates, and Rate 125) only the amounts of those services which they consider they need.

The all-inclusive services are provided pursuant to Rates 1, 6 and 9 , ("the General Service Rates") and Rates 100, 110, 115, 135, 145, and 170 ("the Large Volume Service Rates"). Individual services are available under Rates 125, 300, and 315 ("the Unbundled Service Rates").

Service to residential locations is provided pursuant to Rate 1.
Service which may be interrupted at the option of the Company is available, at rates lower than would apply for equivalent service under a firm rate schedule, pursuant to Rates 145, 170. Under all other rate schedules, service is provided upon demand by the Applicant, i.e., on a firm service basis.

\section*{2. Ex-Franchise Services}

Enbridge Gas Distribution provides ex-franchise services for the transportation of natural gas through its distribution system to a point of interconnection with the distribution system of other distributors of natural gas. Such service is provided pursuant to Rate 200 and provides for the bundled transportation of gas owned by the Company, owned by customers of that distributor, or owned by that distributor.

For the purposes of interpreting the terms and conditions contained in this Handbook of Rates and Distribution Services the ex franchise distributor shall be considered to be the applicant for the transportation of its customer owned gas and shall assume all the obligations of transportation as if it owned the gas.

Nominations for transportation service must specify whether the volume to be transported is to displace firm or interruptible demand or general service.

In addition, the Company provides Compression, Storage, and Transmission services on its Tecumseh system under Rates 325, 330 and 331.

\section*{SECTION B - DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS}

Applicants who purchase their natural gas requirements directly from someone other than the Company or who are brokers or agents for an end user, may arrange to transport gas on the Company's distribution network in conjunction with a Western Buy/Sell Arrangement or pursuant to an Ontario Delivery Transportation Service Arrangement, whether Bundled or Unbundled, or a Western Bundled Transportation Service Arrangement.

\section*{B. Western Canada}

Buy/Sell in a Western Canada Buy/Sell Arrangement the Applicant delivers gas to a point in Western Canada which connects with the transmission pipeline of TransCanada PipeLines Limited. At that point, the Company purchases the gas from the Applicant at a price specified in Rider 'B' of the rate schedules less the costs for transmission of the gas from the point of purchase to a point in Ontario at which the Company's gas distribution network connects with a transmission pipeline system. The Company will not be entering into any new Western Canada buy/sell arrangements after April 1, 1999.

\section*{C. Ontario Delivery T-Service Arrangements}

In an Ontario Delivery T-Service Arrangement the Applicant delivers gas, to a contractually agreed-upon point of acceptance in Ontario.

Delivery from the point of direct interconnection with the Company's gas distribution network to a Terminal Location served from the Company's gas distribution network may be obtained by the Applicant either under the Bundled Service Rate Schedules or under the Unbundled Service Rate Schedules.

\section*{(i) Bundled T-Service}

Bundled T-Service is so called because all of the services required by the Applicant (delivery and load balancing) are provided for the prices specified in the applicable Rate Schedule. In a Bundled TService arrangement the Applicant contracts to deliver each day to the Company a Mean Daily Volume of gas. Fluctuations in the demand for gas at the Terminal Location are balanced by the Company.

\section*{(ii) Unbundled T-Service}

The Unbundled Service Rates allow an Applicant to contract for only such kinds of service as the Applicant chooses. The potential advantage to an Applicant is that the chosen amounts of service may be less than the amounts required by an average customer represented in the applicable Rate Schedule, in which case the Applicant may be able to reduce the costs otherwise payable under Bundled T-Service.

\section*{D. Western Delivery T-Service Arrangement}

In a Western Delivery T-Service Arrangement the Applicant contracts to deliver each day to a point on the TransCanada PipeLines Ltd. transmission system in Western Canada a Mean Daily Volume of gas plus fuel gas. Delivery from that point to the Terminal Location is carried out by the Company using its contracted capacity on the TransCanada PipeLines Limited. system and its gas distribution network. Unbundled T-Service in Ontario is not available with the Western Delivery Option.

An Applicant desiring to receive Transportation Service or to establish a Buy/Sell Agreement must first enter into the applicable written agreements with the Company.
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\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL SERVICES}

The provisions of this PART III are applicable to, and only to, Sales Service and Transportation Service.

\section*{SECTION A - AVAILABILITY}

Unless otherwise stated in a Rate Schedule, the Company's rates and services are available throughout the entire franchised area serviced by the Company. Transportation service and/or sales service will be provided subject to the Company having the capacity in its gas distribution network to provide the service requested. When the Company is requested to supply the natural gas to be delivered, service shall be available subject to the Company having available to it a supply of gas adequate to meet the requirement without jeopardizing the supply to its existing customers.

Service shall be made available after acceptance by the Company of an application for service to a Terminal Location at which the natural gas will be used.

\section*{SECTION B - ENERGY CONTENT}

The price of natural gas sold at a Terminal Location is based on the assumption that each cubic metre of such natural gas contains a certain number of megajoules of energy which number is specified in the Rate Schedules. Variations in cost resulting from the energy content of the gas actually delivered to the Company by its supplier(s) differing from the assumed energy content will be recorded and used to adjust future bills. Such adjustments shall be made in accordance with practices approved from time to time by the Ontario Energy Board.

\section*{SECTION C - SUBSTITUTION PROVISION}

The Company may deliver gas from any standby equipment provided that the gas so delivered shall be reasonably equivalent to the natural gas normally delivered.

\section*{SECTION D - BILLS}

Bills will be mailed or delivered monthly or at such other time period as set out in the Service Contract. Gas consumption to which the Company's rates apply will be determined by the Company either by meter reading or by the Company's estimate of consumption where meter reading has not occurred. The rates and charges applicable to a billing month shall be those applicable to the calendar month which includes the last day of the billing month.

\section*{SECTION E - MINIMUM BILLS}

The minimum bill per month applicable to service under any particular Rate Schedule shall be the Customer Charge plus any applicable Contract Demand Charges for Delivery, Gas Supply Load Balancing, and Gas Supply and any applicable Direct Purchase Administration Charge, all as provided for in the applicable Rate Schedule.

In addition, for service under each of the Large Volume Distribution Contact Rates, if in a contract year a volume of gas equal to or greater than the product of the Contract Demand multiplied by a contractually specified multiple of the Contract Demand ("Minimum Annual Volume") is not taken at the Terminal Location the Applicant shall pay, in addition to the minimum monthly bills, the amount obtained when the difference between the Minimum Annual Volume and the volume taken in the contract year (such difference being the Annual Volume Deficiency) is multiplied by the applicable Minimum Bill Charge(s) as provided for in the applicable Rate Schedule. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Minimum Annual Volume shall be the greater of the Minimum Annual Volume as determined above and \(340,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\).

If gas deliveries to the Terminal Location have been ordered to be curtailed or discontinued in a contract year at the request of the Company and have been curtailed or discontinued as ordered, the Minimum Annual Volume shall be reduced for each day of curtailment or discontinuance by the excess of the Contract Demand over the volume delivered to the Terminal Location on such day.

\section*{SECTION F - PAYMENT CONDITIONS}

Enbridge Gas Distribution charges are due when the bill is received, which is considered to be three days after the date the bill is rendered, or within such other time period as set out in the Service Contract. A late payment charge of \(1.5 \%\) of all of the unpaid Enbridge Gas Distribution charges, including all applicable federal and provincial taxes, is applied to the account on the seventeenth \(\left(17^{\text {n }}\right)\) day following the date the bill is due.

\section*{SECTION G - TERM OF ARRANGEMENT}

When gas service is provided and there is no written agreement in effect relating to the provision of such service, the term for which such service is to continue shall be one year. The term shall automatically be extended for a further year immediately following the expiry of any initial one year term or one year extension unless reasonable notice to terminate service is given to the Company, in a manner acceptable to the Company, prior to the expiry of the term. An Applicant receiving such service who temporarily discontinues service in the initial one year term or any one year extension and does not pay all the minimum bills for the period of such temporary discontinuance of service shall, upon the continuance of service, be liable to pay an amount equal to the unpaid minimum bills for such period. When a written agreement is in effect relating to the provision of gas service, the term for which such service is to continue shall be as provided for in the agreement.

\section*{SECTION H - RESALE PROHIBITION}

Gas taken at a Terminal Location shall not be resold other than in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations and orders of any governmental authority or OEB having jurisdiction.

\section*{SECTION I - MEASUREMENT}

The Company will install, operate and maintain at a Terminal Location such measurement equipment of suitable capacity and design as is required to measure the volume of gas delivered. Any special conditions for measurement are contained in the General Terms and Conditions which form part of each Large Volume Distribution Contract.

\section*{SECTION J - RATES IN CONTRACTS}

Notwithstanding any rates for service specified in any Service Contract, the rates and charges provided for in an applicable Rate Schedule shall apply for service rendered on and after the effective date stated in such Rate Schedule until such Rate Schedule ceases to be applicable.

\section*{SECTION K - ADVICE RE: CURTAILMENT}

The Company, if requested, will advise Applicants taking interruptible service of its estimate of service curtailment for the forthcoming winter. Such estimate will be provided as guidance to the Applicant in arranging for alternate fuel supply requirements. Abnormal weather and/or other unforeseen events may cause greater or lesser curtailment of service than expected.

\section*{SECTION L - DAILY DELIVERED VOLUMES}

For purposes including that of calculating daily overrun gas volumes, the Company will recognize as having been delivered to it on a given day the sum of:
a) the volume of gas delivered under Intra-Alberta transportation arrangements, if any, plus;
b) the volume of gas delivered under FT transportation arrangements, if any, plus;

\section*{SECTION M - AUTHORIZED OVERRUN GAS}

If an Applicant requests permission to exceed the Authorized Volume for a day, and such authorization is granted, such gas shall constitute Authorized Overrun Gas. Such gas shall either be sold by the Company to the Applicant pursuant to the provisions of Rate 320 applicable on such day, or, at the Company's sole discretion, under the Rate Schedule the customer is purchasing prior to such request. If the Applicant is supplying their own gas requirements and if the Applicant request and at the Company's sole discretion, such Overrun Gas will be debited to the Applicant's Baked gas Account.

\section*{SECTION N-UNAUTHORIZED SUPPLY OVERRUN GAS}

If an Applicant for Transportation Service pursuant to the General Service Rates on any day delivers to the Company a Daily Delivered Volume less than the Mean Daily Volume, the volume of gas by which the Mean Daily Volume applicable to such day exceeds the Daily Delivered Volume delivered by the Applicant to the Company on such day shall constitute Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas and shall be deemed to have been taken and purchased on such day. The rate applicable to such volume shall be \(150 \%\) of the average price on each day on which an overrun occurred for the calendar month as published in the Gas Daily for the Niagara and Iroquois export points for the CDA and the EDA delivery areas respectively.

Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas for a day applicable to a Service Contract with an Applicant for service under the Large Volume Distribution Contract Rates is:
(a)the volume of gas by which the Daily Gas Quantity under the Service Contract on such day exceeds the Authorized Volume for such day, if any
plus
(b) if the day is in the months of December to March inclusive for an Applicant taking service on Rate 135, or if the day is a day on or in respect of which the Applicant has been requested in accordance with the Service Contract to curtail or discontinue the use of gas and the Service Contract is in whole or in part for interruptible Transportation Service, the volume of gas, if any, by which
(i) the Mean Daily Volume set out in the Service Contract and is applicable to such day exceeds
(ii) the Daily Delivered Volume delivered by the Applicant to the Company on such day, which excess volume of gas shall be deemed to have been taken and purchased by the Applicant on such day.

The Applicant shall pay the Company for Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas at the rate applicable to Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas as provided for in the Rate Schedule(s) applicable to the Service Contract.

Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas for a day applicable to a Service Contract with an Applicant for service under Rate 125 or Rate 300 shall be determined from the provisions of the applicable Rate Schedule. The Applicant shall pay the Company for Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas at the rate applicable to Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas as provided for in the Rate Schedule(s) applicable to the Service Contract.

> \begin{tabular}{l} \hline These rates to be \\ superseded by EB- \\ \(2007-0049\), effective \\ April 1, 2007 . \end{tabular}

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS - DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS}

Any Applicant, at the time of applying for service, may elect, in and for the term of any Service Contract, to deliver its own natural gas requirements to the Company and the Company shall deliver gas to a Terminal Location as required by the Applicant, subject to the terms and conditions contained in the applicable Rate Schedule and in the Service Contract. For Buy/Sell Arrangements and Bundled TService the deliveries by the Applicant to the Company shall be at the Applicant's estimated mean daily rate of consumption.

Backstopping of an Applicant's natural gas supply for Transportation Service arrangements will be available pursuant to Rate 320 subject to the Company's ability to do so using reasonable commercial efforts. Gas Purchase Agreements in respect to Buy/Sell Arrangements shall specify terms and conditions available to the Company to alleviate certain consequences of the Applicant's failure to deliver the required volume of gas.

The following Terms and Conditions shall apply to, and only to, Transportation Service and/or Gas Purchase Agreements.
SECTION A - NOMINATIONS
An Applicant delivering gas to the Company pursuant to a contract is responsible for advising the Company, by means of a contractually specified Nomination procedure, of the daily volume of gas to be delivered to the Company by or on behalf of the Applicant.

An initial daily volume must be Nominated by a contractually specified time before the first day on which gas is to be delivered to the Company. Any Nomination, once accepted by the Company, shall be considered as a standing nomination applicable to each subsequent day in a contract term unless specifically varied by written notice to the Company.

A contract may specify certain contractual provisions that are applicable in the event that an Applicant either fails to advise of a revised daily nomination or fails to deliver the daily volume so nominated.

A Nominated Volume in excess of the Applicant's Maximum Daily Volume as specified in the Service Contract will not be accepted except as specifically provided for in any contract.

\section*{SECTION B-OBLIGATION TO DELIVER}

During any period of curtailment or discontinuance of Bundled interruptible Transportation Service as ordered by the Company, any Applicant supplying its own gas requirements must, on such day, deliver to the Company the Mean Daily Volume of gas specified in any Service Contract.

\section*{Replaces: 2007-01-01}

An Applicant taking service on Rate 135 must deliver to the Company the Mean Daily Volume of gas specified in the Service Contract in the months of December to March, inclusive.

Applicants taking service on General Service rates pursuant to a Direct Purchase Agreement must, on each day in the term of such agreement, deliver to the Company the Mean Daily Volume of gas specified in such agreement.

\section*{SECTION C-DIVERSION RIGHTS}

Subject to compliance with the Terms and Conditions of all Required Orders, an Applicant who has entered into a Transportation Service Agreement or Agreements which provide(s) for deliveries to the Company for more than one Terminal Location shall have the right, on such terms and only on such terms as are specified in the applicable Transportation Service Agreement, to divert deliveries from one or more contractually specified Terminal Locations to other contractually specified Terminal Locations.

\section*{SECTION D - BANKED GAS ACCOUNT}

For T-Service Applicants, the Company shall keep a record ("Banked Gas Account") of the volume of gas delivered by the Applicant to the Company in respect of a Terminal Location (credits) and of the volume of gas taken by the Applicant at the Terminal Location (debits). (Any volume of gas sold by the Company to the Applicant in respect to the Terminal Location shall not be debited to the Banked Gas Account). The Company shall periodically report to the Applicant the net balance in the Applicant's Banked Gas Account.

\section*{SECTION E - DISPOSITION OF BANKED GAS ACCOUNT BALANCES}
A. The following Terms and Conditions shall apply to Bundled T-Service:
(a) At the end of each contract year, disposition of any net debit balance in the Banked Gas Account shall be made as follows:

The Applicant, by written notice to the Company within thirty (30) days of the end of the contract year, may elect to return to the Company, in kind, during the one hundred and eighty (180) days following the end of the contract year that portion of any debit balance in the Banked Gas Account as at the end of the contract year not exceeding a volume of twenty times the Applicant's Mean Daily Volume by the Applicant delivering to the Company on days agreed upon by the Company and the Applicant a volume of gas greater than the Mean Daily Volume, if any, applicable to such day under a Service Contract. Any volume of gas returned to the Company as aforesaid shall not be credited to the Banked Gas Account in the subsequent contract year. Any debit balance in the Banked Gas Account as at the end of

\section*{Page 7 of 8}
the contract year which is not both elected to be returned, and actually returned, to the Company as aforesaid shall be deemed to have been sold to the Applicant and the Applicant shall pay for such gas within ten (10) days of the rendering of a bill therefor. The rate applicable to such gas shall be \(120 \%\) of the average price over the contracted year, based on the published index price for the Monthly AECO/NIT supply adjusted for Nova's AECO to Empress transportation tolls and compressor fuel costs.
(b)A credit balance in the Banked Gas Account as at the end of the contract year must be eliminated in one or more of the following manners, namely:
(i) Subject to clause (ii), if the Applicant continues to take service from the Company under a contract pursuant to which the Applicant delivers gas to the Company and the Applicant so elects (by written notice to the Company within thirty (30) days of the end of the contract year), that portion of such balance which the Applicant stipulates in such written notice and which does not exceed twenty times the Applicant's Mean Daily Volume may be carried forward as a credit to the Banked Gas Account for the next succeeding contract year. Any volume duly elected to be carried forward under this clause shall, and may only, be reduced within the period of one hundred and eighty (180) days ("Adjustment Period") immediately following the contract year, by the Applicant delivering to the Company, on days in the Adjustment Period agreed upon by the Company and the Applicant ("Adjustment Days"), a volume of gas less than the Mean Daily Volume applicable to such day under a Service Contract. Subject to the foregoing, the credit balance in the Banked Gas Account shall be deemed to be reduced on each Adjustment Day by the volume ("Daily Reduction Volume") by which the Mean Daily Volume applicable to such day exceeds the greater of the volume of gas delivered by the Applicant on such day and the Nominated Volume for such day which was accepted by the Company.
(ii) Any portion of a credit balance in the Banked Gas Account which is not eligible to be eliminated in accordance with clause (i), or which the Applicant elects (by written notice to the Company within thirty (30) days of the end of the contract year) to sell under this clause, shall be deemed to have been tendered for sale to the Company and the Company shall purchase such portion at a price per cubic metre of eighty percent ( \(80 \%\) ) of the average price over the contract year, based on the published index price for the Monthly AECO/NIT supply adjusted for Nova's AECO to Empress transportation tolls and compressor fuel costs, less the average Ontario Transportation Service Credit over the contract year. Any volume of gas deemed to have been so tendered for sale shall be deemed to have been eliminated from the credit balance of the Banked Gas Account.

During the Adjustment Period the Company shall use reasonable efforts to accept the Applicant's reduced gas deliveries. Any credit balance in the Banked Gas Account not eliminated as aforesaid in the Adjustment Period shall be forfeited to, and be
the property of, the Company, and such volume of gas shall be debited to the Banked Gas Account as at the end of the Adjustment Period.

Subject to its ability to do so, the Company will attempt to accommodate arrangements which would permit adjustments to Banked Gas Account balances at times and in a manner which are mutually agreed upon by the Applicant and the Company.
B. The following Terms and Conditions shall apply to Unbundled T-Service:

The Terms and Conditions for disposition of Banked Gas Account balances shall be as specified in the applicable Service Contracts.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Replaces: 2007-01-01 & These rates to be superseded by EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & Page 8 of 8 &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant needing to use the Company's natural gas distribution network to have transported a supply of natural gas to a residential building served through one meter and containing no more than six dwelling units ("Terminal Location").

RATE:
Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Reper & Billing Month \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
January to \\
December
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \$11.88 \\
\hline Delivery Charge per cubic metre & \\
\hline For the first \(30 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 14.8804 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the next \(55 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 14.2171 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the next \(85 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 13.6973 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For all over \(170 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 13.3103 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre (If applicable) & 34.1108 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment contained in Rider " \(C\) " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider "E". Also, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F".
The Gas Supply Charge is applicable if the Applicant is not providing its own supply of natural gas for transportation.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & PEPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & Page 1 of 1 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant needing to use the Company's natural gas distribution network to have transported a supply of natural gas to a single terminal location ("Terminal Location") for non-residential purposes.

RATE:

Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Rater & Billing Month \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { January } \\
\text { to } \\
\text { December } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \$23.58 \\
\hline Delivery Charge per cubic metre & \\
\hline For the first \(500 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 13.9886 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the next \(1050 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 11.7886 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the next \(4500 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 10.2485 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the next \(7000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 9.2586 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the next \(15250 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 8.8185 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For all over \(28300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 8.7085 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre (If applicable) & 34.2738 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment contained in Rider " C " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider "E". Also, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F".
The Gas Supply Charge is applicable if the Applicant is not providing its own supply of natural gas for transportation.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 1 of 1 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant needing to use the Company's natural gas distribution network to have transported a supply of natural gas to a single terminal location ("Terminal Location") at which, such gas is authorized by the Company to be resold by filling pressurized containers.

RATE:
Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Billing Month \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { January } \\
\text { to } \\
\text { December } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \$220.55 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Delivery Charge per cubic metre} \\
\hline For the first \(20,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 13.6756 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For all over \(20,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 13.0346 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre (If applicable) & 33.9398 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment contained in Rider " \(C\) " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider "E". In addition, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F". The Gas Supply Charge is applicable if the Applicant is not providing its own supply of natural gas for transportation.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 1 of 1 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant who enters into a Service Contract with the Company to use the Company's natural gas distribution network for the transportation, to a single terminal location ("Terminal Location"), of a specified annual volume of natural gas of not less than 340,000 cubic metres to be delivered at a specified maximum daily rate.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service shall be continuous (firm) except for events as specified in the Service Contract including force majeure.

\section*{RATE:}

Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Billing Month \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { January } \\
& \text { to } \\
& \text { December }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \$115.10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Delivery Charge} \\
\hline Per cubic metre of Contract Demand & 8.0000 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the first \(14,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 4.8245 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the next \(28,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 3.4655 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For all over \(42,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 2.9065 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge & 4.3285 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre \\
(If applicable)
\end{tabular} & 33.9953 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment contained in Rider " C " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider " \(E\) ". In addition, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F". The Gas Supply Charge is applicable if the Applicant is not providing its own supply of natural gas for transportation.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{UNAUTHORIZED OVERRUN GAS RATE:}

When the Applicant takes Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas, the Applicant shall purchase such gas at a rate of \(150 \%\) of the average price on each day on which an overrun occurred for the calendar month as published in the Gas Daily for the Niagara and Iroquois export points for the CDA and EDA respectively.

On the second and subsequent occasion in a contract year when the Applicant takes Unauthorized Demand Overrun Gas, a new Contract Demand will be established and shall be charged equal to \(120 \%\) of the applicable monthly charge for twelve months of the current contract term, including retroactively based on the terms of the Service Contract.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 1 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

Per cubic metre of Annual Volume Deficiency
(See Terms and Conditions of Service):
9.0554 \$/m \({ }^{3}\)

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

EFFECTIVE DATE:
To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant who enters into a Service Contract with the Company to use the Company's natural gas distribution network for the transportation, to a single terminal location ("Terminal Location"), of an annual supply of natural gas of not less than 183 times a specified maximum daily volume of not less than 1,865 cubic metres.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service shall be continuous (firm) except for events as specified in the Service Contract including force majeure.

\section*{RATE:}

Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Billing Month \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { January } \\
& \text { to } \\
& \text { December }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \$554.50 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Delivery Charge} \\
\hline Per cubic metre of Contract Demand & 22.1800 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Per cubic metre of gas delivered} \\
\hline For the first 1,000,000 \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) per month & 0.5044 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For all over \(1,000,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 0.3544 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge & 3.8370 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre \\
(If applicable)
\end{tabular} & 33.9398 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment contained in Rider " \(C\) " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider "E". In addition, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F". The Gas Supply Charge is applicable if the Applicant is not providing its own supply of natural gas for transportation.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{UNAUTHORIZED OVERRUN GAS RATE:}

When the Applicant takes Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas, the Applicant shall purchase such gas at a rate of \(150 \%\) of the average price on each day on which an overrun occurred for the calendar month as published in the Gas Daily for the Niagara and Iroquois export points for the CDA and EDA respectively.

On the second and subsequent occasion in a contract year when the Applicant takes Unauthorized Demand Overrun Gas, a new Contract Demand will be established and shall be charged equal to \(120 \%\) of the applicable monthly charge for twelve months of the current contract term, including retroactively based on the terms of the Service Contract.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 1 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

Per cubic metre of Annual Volume Deficiency
(See Terms and Conditions of Service):

In determining the Annual Volume Deficiency, the minimum bill multiplier shall not be less than 183.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant who enters into a Service Contract with the Company to use the Company's natural gas distribution network for the transportation, to a single terminal location ("Terminal Location"), of an annual supply of natural gas of not less than 292 times a specified maximum daily volume of not less than 1,165 cubic metres.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service shall be continuous (firm) except for events as specified in the Service Contract including force majeure.

\section*{RATE:}

Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Billing Month \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { January } \\
& \text { to } \\
& \text { December }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \$610.78 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Delivery Charge} \\
\hline Per cubic metre of Contract Demand & 24.4300 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Per cubic metre of gas delivered} \\
\hline For the first 1,000,000 \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) per month & 0.2730 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For all over \(1,000,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 0.1730 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge & 3.0382 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre \\
(If applicable)
\end{tabular} & 33.9398 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment contained in Rider " C " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider " \(E\) ". In addition, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F". The Gas Supply Charge is applicable if the Applicant is not providing its own supply of natural gas for transportation.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{UNAUTHORIZED OVERRUN GAS RATE:}

When the Applicant takes Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas, the Applicant shall purchase such gas at a rate of \(150 \%\) of the average price on each day on which an overrun occurred for the calendar month as published in the Gas Daily for the Niagara and Iroquois export points for the CDA and EDA respectively.

On the second and subsequent occasion in a contract year when the Applicant takes Unauthorized Demand Overrun Gas, a new Contract Demand will be established and shall be charged equal to \(120 \%\) of the applicable monthly charge for twelve months of the current contract term, including retroactively based on the terms of the Service Contract.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007 & BOARD ORDER:
EB-2006-0034 & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE:
January 1, 2007 & Page 1 Handbook \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

Per cubic metre of Annual Volume Deficiency
(See Terms and Conditions of Service):

In determining the Annual Volume Deficiency the minimum bill multiplier shall not be less than 292.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 2 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook 17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ENBRIDGE

\section*{APPLICABILITY}

To any Applicant who enters into a Service Contract with the Company to use the Company's natural gas distribution network for the transportation, to a single terminal location ("Terminal Location"), of a specified maximum daily volume of natural gas. The maximum daily volume for billing purposes, Contract Demand or Billing Contract Demand, as applicable, shall not be less than 600,000 cubic metres. The Service under this rate requires Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) capability.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service shall be firm except for events specified in the Service Contract including force majeure.
For Non-Dedicated Service the monthly demand charges payable shall be based on the Contract Demand which shall be 24 times the Hourly Demand and the Applicant shall not exceed the Hourly Demand.

For Dedicated Service the monthly demand charges payable shall be based on the Billing Contract Demand specified in the Service Contract. The Applicant shall not exceed an hourly flow calculated as \(1 / 24\) th of the Contract Demand specified in the Service Contract.

\section*{DISTRIBUTION RATES:}

The following rates and charges, as applicable, shall apply for deliveries to the Terminal Location.

\section*{Monthly Customer Charge}
\(\$ 500.00\)

\section*{Demand Charge}

Per cubic metre of the Contract Demand or the Billing
8.9017 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) Contract Demand, as applicable, per month
Direct Purchase Administration Charge \(\$ 50.00\)

Forecast Unaccounted For Gas Percentage 0.3\%
Monthly Minimum Bill: The Monthly Customer Charge plus the Monthly Demand Charge.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}
1. To the extent that this Rate Schedule does not specifically address matters set out in PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES then the provisions in those Parts shall apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.
2. Unaccounted for Gas (UFG) Adjustment Factor:

The Applicant is required to deliver to the Company on a daily basis the sum of: (a) the volume of gas to be delivered to the Applicant's Terminal Location; and (b) a volume of gas equal to the forecast unaccounted for gas percentage as stated above multiplied by (a). In the case of a Dedicated Service, the Unaccounted for Gas volume requirement is not applicable.
3. Nominations:

Customer shall nominate gas delivery daily based on the gross commodity delivery required to serve the customer's daily load plus the UFG. Customers may change daily nominations based on the nomination windows within a day as defined by the customer contract with TransCanada PipeLines (TCPL) or Union Gas Limited.
Schedule of nominations under Rate 125 has to match upstream nominations. This rate does not allow for any more flexibility than exists upstream of the EGD gas distribution system. Where the customer's nomination does not match the confirmed upstream nomination, the nomination will be confirmed at the upstream value.
Customer may nominate gas to a contractually specified Primary Delivery Area that may be EGD's Central Delivery Area (CDA) or EGD's Eastern Delivery Area (EDA). The Company may accept deliveries at a Secondary Delivery Area such as Dawn, at its sole discretion. Quantities of gas nominated to the system cannot exceed the Contract Demand, unless Make-up Gas or Authorized Overrun is permitted.
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Customers with multiple Rate 125 contracts within a Primary Delivery Area may combine nominations subject to system operating requirements and subject to the Contract Demand for each Terminal Location. For combined nominations the customer shall specify the quantity of gas to each Terminal Location and the order in which gas is to be delivered to each Terminal Location. The specified order of deliveries shall be used to administer Load Balancing Provisions to each Terminal Location. When system conditions require delivery to a single Terminal Location only, nominations with different Terminal Locations may not be combined.

The Company permits pooling of Rate 125 contracts for legally related customers who meet the Business Corporations Act (Ontario) ("OBCA") definition of "affiliates" to allow for the management of those contracts by a single manager. The single manager is jointly liable with the individual customers for all of their obligations under the contracts, while the individual customers are severally liable for all of their obligations under their own contracts.

\section*{4. Authorized Demand Overrun:}

The Company may, at its sole discretion, authorize consumption of gas in excess of the Contract Demand for limited periods within a month, provided local distribution facilities have sufficient capacity to accommodate higher demand. In such circumstances, customer shall nominate gas delivery based on the gross commodity delivery (the sum of the customer's Contract Demand and the authorized overrun amount) required to serve the customer's daily load, plus the UFG. In the event that gas usage exceeds the gas delivery on a day where demand overrun is authorized, the excess gas consumption shall be deemed Supply Overrun Gas.
Such service shall not exceed 5 days in any contract year. Based on the terms of the Service Contract, requests beyond 5 days will constitute a request for a new Contract Demand level with retroactive charges. The new Contract Demand level may be restricted by the capability of the local distribution facilities to accommodate higher demand.

Automatic authorization of transportation overrun over the Billing Contract Demand will be given in the case of Dedicated Service to the Terminal Location provided that pipeline capacity is available and subject to the Contract Demand as specified in the Service Contract.

Authorized Demand Overrun Rate
\(0.29 \mathrm{\$} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\)
The Authorized Demand Overrun Rate may be applied to commissioning volumes at the Company's sole discretion, for a contractual period of not more than one year, as specified in the Service Contract.

\section*{5. Unauthorized Demand Overrun:}

Any gas consumed in excess of the Contract Demand and/or maximum hourly flow requirements, if not authorized, will be deemed to be Unauthorized Demand Overrun gas. Unauthorized Demand Overrun gas may establish a new Contract Demand effective immediately and shall be subject to a charge equal to \(120 \%\) of the applicable monthly charge for twelve months of the current contract term, including retroactively based on terms of Service Contract. Based on capability of the local distribution facilities to accommodate higher demand, different conditions may apply as specified in the applicable Service Contract. Unauthorized Demand Overrun gas shall also be subject to Unauthorized Supply Overrun provisions.

\section*{6. Unauthorized Supply Overrun:}

Any volume of gas taken by the Applicant on a day at the Terminal Location which exceeds the sum of:
i. any applicable provisions of Rate 315 and any applicable Load Balancing Provision pursuant to Rate 125, plus
ii. the volume of gas delivered by the Applicant on that day shall constitute Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas.

The Company may also deem volumes of gas to be Unauthorized Supply Overrun gas in other circumstances, as set out in the Load Balancing Provisions of Rate 125.

Any gas deemed to be Unauthorized Overrun gas shall be purchased by the customer at a price (Pe), which is equal to \(150 \%\) of the highest price in effect for that day as defined below*.
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\section*{RATE NUMBER: 125}
7. Unauthorized Supply Underrun:

Any volume of gas delivered by the Applicant on any day in excess of the sum of:
i. any applicable provisions of Rate 315 and any applicable Load Balancing Provision pursuant to Rate 125, plus
ii. the volume of gas taken by the Applicant at the Terminal Location on that day shall be classified as Supply Underrun Gas.

The Company may also deem volumes of gas to be Unauthorized Supply Underrun gas in other circumstances, as set out in the Load Balancing Provisions of Rate 125.

Any gas deemed to be Unauthorized Supply Underrun Gas shall be purchased by the Company at a price ( \(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}\) ) which is equal to fifty percent (50\%) of the lowest price in effect for that day as defined below*.
* where the price \(P_{e}\) expressed in cents / cubic metre is defined as follows:
\(P_{e}=\left(P_{m} * E_{r} * 100 * 0.03769 / 1.055056\right) * 1.5\)
\(P_{m}=\) highest daily price in U.S. \(\$ / m m B t u\) published in the Gas Daily, a Platts Publication, for that day under the column "Absolute", for the Niagara export point if the terminal location is in the CDA delivery area, and the Iroquois export point if the terminal location is in the EDA delivery area.
\(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}=\) Noon day spot exchange rate expressed in Canadian dollars per U.S. dollar for such day quoted by the Bank of Canada in the following day's Globe \& Mail Publication.
1.055056 = Conversion factor from mmBtu to GJ.
0.03769 = Conversion factor from GJ to cubic metres.
** where the price \(P_{u}\) expressed in cents / cubic metre is defined as follows:
\(P_{u}=\left(P_{1} * E_{r} * 100 * 0.03769 / 1.055056\right) * 0.5\)
\(P_{1}=\) lowest daily price in U.S. \(\$ / m m B t u\) published in the Gas Daily, a Platts Publication, for that day under the column "Absolute", for the Niagara export point if the terminal location is in the CDA delivery area, and the Iroquois export point if the terminal location is in the EDA delivery area.

\section*{Term of Contract:}

A minimum of one year. A longer-term contract may be required if incremental contracts/assets/facilities have been procured/built for the customer. Migration from an unbundled rate to bundled rate may be restricted subject to availability of adequate transportation and storage assets.

Right to Terminate Service:
The Company reserves the right to terminate service to customers served hereunder where the customer's failure to comply with the parameters of this rate schedule, including the load balancing provisions, jeopardizes either the safety or reliability of the gas system. The Company shall provide notice to the customer of such termination; however, no notice is required to alleviate emergency conditions.
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\section*{RATE NUMBER}

\section*{LOAD BALANCING PROVISIONS:}

Load Balancing Provisions shall apply at the customer's Terminal Location or at the location of the meter installation for a customer served from a dedicated facility. In the event of an imbalance any excess delivery above the customer's actual consumption or delivery less than the actual consumption shall be subject to the Load Balancing Provisions.

\section*{Definitions:}

\section*{Aggregate Delivery:}

The Aggregate Delivery for a customer's account shall equal the sum of the confirmed nominations of the customer for delivery of gas to the applicable delivery area from all pipeline sources including where applicable, the confirmed nominations of the customer for Storage Service under Rate 316 or Rate 315 and any available No-Notice Storage Service under Rate 315 for delivery of gas to the Applicable Delivery Area.

\section*{Applicable Delivery Area:}

The Applicable Delivery Area for each customer shall be specified by contract as a Primary Delivery Area. Where system-operating conditions permit, the Company, in its sole discretion, may accept a Secondary Delivery Area as the Applicable Delivery Area by confirming the customer's nomination of such area. Confirmation of a Secondary Delivery Area for a period of a gas day shall cause such area to become the Applicable Delivery Area for such day. Where delivery occurs at both a Terminal Location and a Secondary Delivery Area on a given day, the sum of the confirmed deliveries may not exceed the Contract Demand, unless Demand Overrun and/or Make-up Gas is authorized.

\section*{Primary Delivery Area:}

The Primary Delivery Area shall be delivery area such as EGD's Central Delivery Area (CDA) or EGD's Eastern Delivery Area (EDA).

\section*{Secondary Delivery Area}

A Secondary Delivery Area may be a delivery area such as Dawn where the Company, at its sole discretion, determines that operating conditions permit gas deliveries for a customer.

\section*{Actual Consumption:}

The Actual Consumption of the customer shall be the metered quantity of gas consumed at the customer's Terminal Location or in the event of combined nominations at the Terminal Locations specified.

Net Available Delivery:
The Net Available Delivery shall equal the Aggregate Delivery times one minus the annually determined percentage of Unaccounted for Gas (UFG) as reported by the Company.

\section*{Daily Imbalance:}

The Daily Imbalance shall be the absolute value of the difference between Actual Consumption and Net Available Delivery.

Cumulative Imbalance (also referred to as Banked Gas Account):
The Cumulative Imbalance shall be the sum of the difference between Actual Consumption and Net Available Delivery since the date the customer last balanced or was deemed to have balanced its cumulative imbalance account.
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\section*{Maximum Contractual Imbalance:}

The Maximum Contractual Imbalance shall be equal to 60\% of the customer's Contract Demand for non dedicated service and 60\% of the Billing Contract Demand for dedicated service.

\section*{Winter and Summer Seasons:}

The winter season shall commence on the date that the Company provides notice of the start of the winter period and conclude on the date that the Company provides notice of the end of the winter period. The summer season shall constitute all other days. The Company shall provide advance notice to the customer of the start and end of the winter season as soon as reasonably possible, but in no event not less than 2 days prior to the start or end.

\section*{Operational Flow Order:}

An Operational Flow Order (OFO) shall constitute an issuance of instructions to protect the operational capacity and integrity of the Company's system, including distribution and/or storage assets, and/or connected transmission pipelines.

Enbridge Gas Distribution, acting reasonably, may call for an OFO in the following circumstances:
- Capacity constraint on the system, or portions of the system, or upstream systems, that are fully utilized;

Conditions where the potential exists that forecasted system demand plus reserves for short notice services provided by the Company and allowances for power generation customers balancing requirements would exceed facility capabilities and/or provisions of 3rd party contracts;

Pressures on the system or specific portions of the system are too high or too low for safe operations;

Storage system constraints on capacity or pressure or caused by equipment problems resulting in limited ability to inject or withdraw from storage;

Pipeline equipment failures and/or damage that prohibits the flow of gas;
Any and all other circumstances where the potential for system failure exists.

\section*{Daily Balancing Fee:}

On any day where the customer has a Daily Imbalance the customer shall pay a Daily Balancing Fee equal to:
(Tier 1 Quantity X Tier 1 Fee) + (Tier 2 Quantity X Tier 2 Fee) + (Applicable Penalty Fee for Imbalance in excess of the Maximum Contractual Imbalance \(X\) the amount of Daily Imbalance in excess of the Maximum Contractual Imbalance)

Where Tier 1 and 2 Fees and Quantities are set forth as follows:
Tier \(1=0.8857\) cents/m3 applied to Daily Imbalance of greater than \(2 \%\) but less than \(10 \%\) of the Maximum Contractual Imbalance

Tier 2 = 1.0628 cents/m3 applied to Daily Imbalance of greater than 10\% but less than the Maximum Contractual Imbalance

In addition for Tier 2, instances where the Daily Imbalance represents an under delivery of gas during the winter season shall constitute Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas for all gas in excess of 10\% of Maximum Contractual Imbalance. Where the Daily Imbalance represents an over delivery of gas during the summer season, the Company reserves the right to deem as Unauthorized Supply Underrun Gas for all gas in excess of 10\% of Maximum Contractual Imbalance. The Company will issue a 24 -hour advance notice to customers of its intent to impose cash out for over delivery of gas during the summer season.


The customers shall also pay any Load Balancing Agreement (LBA) charges imposed by the pipeline on days when the customer has a Daily Imbalance provided such imbalance matches the direction of the pipeline imbalance. LBA charges shall first be allocated to customers served under Rates 125 and 300. The system bears a portion of these charges only to the extent that the system incurs such charges based on its operation excluding the operation of customers under Rates 125 and 300. In that event, LBA charges shall be prorated based on the relative imbalances. The Company will provide the customer with a derivation of any such charges.

Customer's Actual Consumption cannot exceed Net Available Delivery when the Company issues an Operational Flow Order in the winter. Net nominations must not be less than consumption at the Terminal Location. Any negative Daily Imbalance on a winter Operational Flow Order day shall be deemed to be Unauthorized Supply Overrun. Customer's Net Available Delivery cannot exceed Actual Consumption when the Company issues an Operational Flow Order in the summer. Actual Consumption must not be less than net nomination at the Terminal Location. Any positive Daily Imbalance on a summer Operational Flow Order day shall be deemed to be Unauthorized Supply Underrun.

The Company will waive Daily Balancing Fee and Cumulative Imbalance Charge on the day of an Operational Flow Order if the customer used less gas that the amount the customer delivered to the system during the winter season or the customer used more gas than the amount the customer delivered to the system during the summer season. The Company will issue a 24 -hour advance notice to customers of Operational Flow Orders and suspension of Load Balancing Provisions.

\section*{Cumulative Imbalance Charges:}

Customers may trade Cumulative Imbalances within a delivery area. Customers may also title transfer gas from their Cumulative Imbalances Account (Banked Gas Account) into a Rate 316 storage account of the customer provided that the customer has space available in the storage account to accommodate the transfer.

Customers shall be permitted to nominate Make-up Gas, subject to operating constraints, provided that Make-up Gas plus Aggregate Delivery do not exceed the Contract Demand. The Company may, on days with no operating constraints, authorize Make-up Gas that, in conjunction with Aggregate Delivery, exceeds the Contract Demand.

The customer's Cumulative Imbalance cannot exceed its Maximum Contractual Imbalance. In the event that the customer cannot title transfer gas from their Cumulative Imbalances Account (Banked Gas Account) in whole or in part to storage the Company shall deem the excess imbalance to be Unauthorized Overrun or Underrun gas, as appropriate.

The Cumulative Imbalance Fee shall be equal to 0.9999 cents/m3 per unit of imbalance.
In addition, on any day that the Company declares an Operational Flow Order, negative Cumulative Imbalances greater than \(10 \%\) of Maximum Contractual Imbalance in the winter season shall be deemed to be Unauthorized Overrun Gas. The Company reserves the right to deem positive Cumulative Imbalances greater than 10\% of Maximum Contractual Imbalance in the summer season as Unauthorized Supply Underun Gas. The Company will issue a 24 -hour advance notice to customers of Operational Flow Orders including cash out instructions for Cumulative Imbalances greater than \(10 \%\) of Maximum Contractual Imbalance.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas delivered on or after July 1, 2007 or such earlier date as the Board may specify. This rate schedule is effective July 1,2007 or such earlier date as the Board may specify.
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\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant who enters into a Service Contract with the Company to use the Company's natural gas distribution network for the transportation, to a single terminal location ("Terminal Location"), of an annual supply of natural gas of not less than 340,000 cubic metres.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service shall be continuous (firm) except for events as specified in the Service Contract including force majeure. A maximum of five percent of the contracted annual volume may be taken by the Applicant in a single month during the months of December to March inclusively.

\section*{RATE:}

Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Billing Month} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { December } \\
\text { to } \\
\text { March } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & April to November \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \$110.53 & \$110.53 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Delivery Charge} \\
\hline For the first \(14,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 6.6488 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 1.9488 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the next \(28,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 5.4488 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 1.2488 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For all over \(42,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 5.0488 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) & 1.0488 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge & 2.5757 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 2.5757 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre (If applicable) & 34.0023 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 34.0023 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment contained in Rider " \(C\) " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider "E". In addition, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F". The Gas Supply Charge is applicable if the Applicant is not providing its own supply of natural gas for transportation.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{UNAUTHORIZED OVERRUN GAS RATE:}

When the Applicant takes Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas, the Applicant shall purchase such gas at a rate of \(150 \%\) of the average price on each day on which an overrun occurred for the calendar month as published in the Gas Daily for the Niagara and Iroquois export points for the CDA and EDA respectively.

Failure to deliver a volume of gas equal to the Mean Daily Volume set out in the Service Contract during the months of December to March inclusive may result in the Applicant not being eligible for service under this rate in a subsequent contract period, at the Company's sole discretion.

\section*{SEASONAL CREDIT:}
Rate per cubic metre of Mean Daily Volume from December to March \$ \(\mathbf{0 . 7 7} / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}}\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007.
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
BEARD ORDER: \\
EB-2006-0034
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{r} 
Page 1 of 2 \\
Handbook 24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SEASONAL OVERRUN CHARGE:}

During the months of December through March inclusively, any volume of gas taken in a single month in excess of five percent of the annual contract volume (Seasonal Overrun Monthly Volume) will be subject to Seasonal Overrun Charges in place of both the Delivery and Gas Supply Load Balancing Charges. The Seasonal Overrun Charge applicable for the months of December and March shall be calculated as 2.0 times the sum of the Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge and the maximum Delivery Charge. The Seasonal Overrun Charge applicable for the months of January and February shall be calculated as 5.0 times the sum of the Load Balancing Charge and the maximum Delivery Charge.

Seasonal Overrun Charges:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline December and March & 18.4490 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline January and February & 46.1225 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

Per cubic metre of Annual Volume Deficiency
(See Terms and Conditions of Service):
5.9936 \$/m \({ }^{3}\)

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
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\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant who enters into a Service Contract with the Company to use the Company's natural gas distribution network for the transportation of a specified maximum daily volume of natural gas to a single terminal location ("Terminal Location") which can accommodate the total interruption of gas service as ordered by the Company exercising its sole discretion. Any Applicant for service under this rate schedule must agree to transport a minimum annual volume of 340,000 cubic metres.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

In addition to events as specified in the Service Contract including force majeure, service shall be subject to curtailment or discontinuance upon the Company issuing a notice not less than 72 hours prior to the time at which such curtailment or discontinuance is to commence. An Applicant may, by contract, agree to accept a shorter notice period.

\section*{RATE:}

Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Ras per cubic mere assum energy contentor & Billing Month \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { January } \\
\text { to } \\
\text { December } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \$117.11 \\
\hline Delivery Charge & \\
\hline Per cubic metre of Firm Contract Demand & 8.0000 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the first \(14,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 2.8296 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For the next \(28,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & \(1.4706 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) \\
\hline For all over \(42,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 0.9116 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge & 4.0740 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre (If applicable) & 34.0363 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment contained in Rider " C " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider " \(E\) ". In addition, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F". The Gas Supply Charge is applicable if the Applicant is not providing its own supply of natural gas for transportation.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{CURTAILMENT CREDIT:}

Rate for 16 hours of notice per cubic metre of Mean Daily Volume from December to March \$ \(\quad \mathbf{0 . 5 0} \mathbf{/ m} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}}\) Rate for 72 hours of notice per cubic metre of Mean Daily Volume from December to March \$ \(\mathbf{0 . 1 1} \mathbf{~ / m}{ }^{\mathbf{3}}\)
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In addition, if the Applicant is supplying its own gas requirements, the gas delivered by the Applicant during the period of curtailment shall be purchased by the Company for the Company's use. The purchase price for such gas will be equal to the price that is reported for the month, in the first issue of the Natural Gas Market Report published by Canadian Enerdata Ltd. during the month, as the "current" "Avg." (i.e., average) "Alberta One-Month Firm Spot Price" for "AECO 'C' and Nova Inventory Transfer" in the table entitled "Domestic spot gas prices", adjusted for AECO to Empress transportation tolls and compressor fuel costs.

For the areas specified in Appendix A to this Rate Schedule, the Company's gas distribution network does not have sufficient physical capacity under current operating conditions to accommodate the provision of firm service to existing interruptible locations. For any location presently served or any new Applicant for service pursuant to this Rate Schedule in these areas, the Company shall purchase the rights to take service hereunder at \(1.25 \mathrm{\Phi} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) per unit of Daily Capacity Repurchase Quantity.

\section*{UNAUTHORIZED OVERRUN GAS RATE:}

When the Applicant takes Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas, the Applicant shall purchase such gas at a rate of \(150 \%\) of the average price on each day on which an overrun occurred for the calendar month as published in the Gas Daily for the Niagara and Iroquois export points for the CDA and EDA respectively.

On the second and subsequent occasion in a contract year when the Applicant takes Unauthorized Demand Overrun Gas, a new Contract Demand will be established and shall be charged equal to \(120 \%\) of the applicable monthly charge for twelve months of the current contract term, including retroactively based on the terms of the Service Contract.

The third instance of such failure in any contract year may result in the Applicant forfeiting the right to be served under this Rate Schedule. In such case service hereunder would cease, notwithstanding any Service Contract between the Company and the Applicant. Gas supply and/or transportation service would continue to be available to the Applicant pursuant to the provisions of the Company's Rate 6 until a Service Contract pursuant to another applicable Rate Schedule was executed.

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

Per cubic metre of Annual Volume Deficiency
(See Terms and Conditions of Service):
6.8060 \$/m \({ }^{3}\)

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
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\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant who enters into a Service Contract with the Company to use the Company's natural gas distribution network for the transportation of a specified maximum daily volume of natural gas of not less than 30,000 cubic metres and a minimum annual volume of 5,000,000 cubic metres to a single terminal location ("Terminal Location") which can accommodate the total interruption of gas service when required by the Company. The Company, exercising its sole discretion, may order interruption of gas service upon not less than four (4) hours notice.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

In addition to events as specified in the Service Contract including force majeure, service shall be subject to curtailment or discontinuance upon the Company issuing a notice not less than 4 hours prior to the time at which such curtailment or discontinuance is to commence.

\section*{RATE:}

Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Respre & Billing Month \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { January } \\
\text { to } \\
\text { December } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \$268.95 \\
\hline Delivery Charge & \\
\hline Per cubic metre of Contract Demand & 4.0300 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Per cubic metre of gas delivered & \\
\hline For the first \(1,000,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) per month & 0.5113 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline For all over 1,000,000 \(\mathrm{m}^{3}\) per month & 0.3113 ¢/m \({ }^{\mathbf{3}}\) \\
\hline Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge & 3.4209 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre (If applicable) & 33.9398 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Cost Adjustment contained in Rider " C " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider "E". In addition, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F". The Gas Supply Charge is applicable if the Applicant is not providing its own supply of natural gas for transportation.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{CURTAILMENT CREDIT:}

Rate for 4 hours of notice per cubic metre of Mean Daily Volume from December to March \(\quad \mathbf{\$} \quad \mathbf{1 . 1 0 ~} / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}}\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 1 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook 28 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In addition, if the Applicant is supplying its own gas requirements, the gas delivered by the Applicant during the period of curtailment shall be purchased by the Company for the Company's use. The purchase price for such gas will be equal to the price that is reported for the month, in the first issue of the Natural Gas Market Report published by Canadian Enerdata Ltd. during the month, as the "current" "Avg." (i.e., average) "Alberta One-Month Firm Spot Price" for "AECO 'C' and Nova Inventory Transfer" in the table entitled "Domestic spot gas prices", adjusted for AECO to Empress transportation tolls and compressor fuel costs.

For the areas specified in Appendix A to this Rate Schedule, the Company's gas distribution network does not have sufficient physical capacity under current operating conditions to accommodate the provision of firm service to existing interruptible locations. For any location presently served or any new Applicant for service pursuant to this Rate Schedule in these areas, the Company shall purchase the rights to take service hereunder at \(1.25 \mathrm{\$} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) per unit of Daily Capacity Repurchase Quantity.

\section*{UNAUTHORIZED OVERRUN GAS RATE:}

When the Applicant takes Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas, the Applicant shall purchase such gas at a rate of \(150 \%\) of the average price on each day on which an overrun occurred for the calendar month as published in the Gas Daily for the Niagara and Iroquois export points for the CDA and EDA respectively.

On the second and subsequent occasion in a contract year when the Applicant takes Unauthorized Demand Overrun Gas, a new Contract Demand will be established and shall be charged equal to \(120 \%\) of the applicable monthly charge for twelve months of the current contract term, including retroactively based on the terms of the Service Contract.

The third instance of such failure in any contract year may result in the Applicant forfeiting the right to be served under this Rate Schedule. In such case service hereunder would cease, notwithstanding any Service Contract between the Company and the Applicant. Gas supply and/or transportation service would continue to be available to the Applicant pursuant to the provisions of the Company's Rate 6 until a Service Contract pursuant to another applicable Rate Schedule was executed.

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

Per cubic metre of Annual Volume Deficiency
(See Terms and Conditions of Service):
3.8346 \$/m \({ }^{3}\)

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & BOARD ORDER:
EB-2006-0034 & \begin{tabular}{l}
REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE \\
January 1, 2007
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Page 2 of 2 \\
Handbook 29
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Distributor who enters into a Service Contract with the Company to use the Company's natural gas distribution network for the transportation of an annual supply of natural gas to customers outside of the Company's franchise area.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service shall be continuous (firm), except for events as specified in the Service Contract including force majeure, up to the contracted firm daily demand and subject to curtailment or discontinuance, of demand in excess of the firm contract demand, upon the Company issuing a notice not less than 4 hours prior to the time at which such curtailment or discontinuance is to commence.

RATE:

Rates per cubic metre assume an energy content of \(37.69 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Billing Month \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { January } \\
\text { to } \\
\text { December }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Monthly Customer Charge & \\
\hline The monthly customer charge shall be negotiated with the applicant and shall not exceed: & \$2,000.00 \\
\hline Delivery Charge & \\
\hline Per cubic metre of Firm Contract Demand & 13.8300 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Per cubic metre of gas delivered & 0.9629 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Gas Supply Load Balancing Charge & 4.3007 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline System Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre (If applicable) & 33.9398 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Buy/Sell Sales Gas Supply Charge per cubic metre (If applicable) & 33.9212 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rates quoted above shall be subject to the Gas Inventory Adjustment contained in Rider " C " and the Revenue Adjustment Rider contained in Rider " E ". Also, meter readings will be adjusted by the Atmospheric Pressure Factor relevant to the customer's location as shown in Rider "F". The Gas Supply Charge is applicable to volumes of natural gas purchased from the Company. The volumes purchased shall be the volumes delivered at the Point of Delivery less any volumes, which the Company does not own and are received at the Point of Acceptance for delivery to the Applicant at the Point of Delivery.

\section*{DIRECT PURCHASE ARRANGEMENTS:}

Rider "A" or Rider "B" shall be applicable to Applicants who enter into Direct Purchase Arrangements under this Rate Schedule.

CURTAILMENT CREDIT:
Rate for 4 hours of notice per cubic metre of Mean Daily Volume from December to March \$ \(\mathbf{1 . 1 0 / \mathbf { m } ^ { \mathbf { 3 } }}\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 1 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 30 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In addition, if the Applicant is supplying its own gas requirements, the gas delivered by the Applicant during the period of curtailment shall be purchased by the Company for the Company's use. The purchase price for such gas will be equal to the price that is reported for the month, in the first issue of the Natural Gas Market Report published by Canadian Enerdata Ltd. during the month, as the "current" "Avg." (i.e., average) "Alberta One-Month Firm Spot Price" for "AECO 'C' and Nova Inventory Transfer" in the table entitled "Domestic spot gas prices", adjusted for AECO to Empress transportation tolls and compressor fuel costs.

For the areas specified in Appendix A to this Rate Schedule, the Company's gas distribution network does not have sufficient physical capacity under current operating conditions to accommodate the provision of firm service to existing interruptible locations. For any location presently served or any new Applicant for service pursuant to this Rate Schedule in these areas, the Company shall purchase the rights to take service hereunder at \(1.25 \mathrm{\$} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\) per unit of Daily Capacity Repurchase Quantity.

\section*{UNAUTHORIZED OVERRUN GAS RATE:}

When the Applicant takes Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas, the Applicant shall purchase such gas at a rate of \(150 \%\) of the average price on each day on which an overrun occurred for the calendar month as published in the Gas Daily for the Niagara and Iroquois export points for the CDA and EDA respectively.

On the second and subsequent occasion in a contract year when the Applicant takes Unauthorized Demand Overrun Gas, a new Contract Demand will be established and shall be charged equal to \(120 \%\) of the applicable monthly charge for twelve months of the current contract term, including retroactively based on the terms of the Service Contract.

The third instance of such failure in any contract year may result in the Applicant forfeiting the right to be served under this Rate Schedule. In such case service hereunder would cease, notwithstanding any Service Contract between the Company and the Applicant. Gas supply and/or transportation service would continue to be available to the Applicant pursuant to the provisions of the Company's Rate 6 until a Service Contract pursuant to another applicable Rate Schedule was executed.

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

Per cubic metre of Annual Volume Deficiency
(See Terms and Conditions of Service):
5.1661 \$/m \({ }^{3}\)

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

The provisions of PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES apply, as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service including Buy/Sell Arrangements and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline BOARD ORDER: \\
EB-2006-0034
\end{tabular}\(|\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: \\
January 1, 2007 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant who enters into a Service Contract with the Company to use the Company's natural gas distribution network for the transportation to a single Terminal Location of a specified maximum daily volume of natural gas. The Company reserves the right to limit service under this schedule to customers whose maximum contract demand does not exceed \(600,000 \mathrm{~m} 3\). The Service under this rate requires Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) capability. Service under this schedule is firm unless a customer is currently served under interruptible distribution service or the Company, in its sole judgment, determines that existing delivery facilities cannot adequately serve the load on a firm basis.

The unitized Monthly Contract Demand Charge is also applicable to volumes delivered to any Applicant taking service under a Curtailment Delivered Supply contract with the Company. The unitized rate equals the applicable Monthly Contract Demand Charge times 12/365.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

The Service shall be continuous (firm) except for events specified in the Service Contract including force majeure. The Applicant is neither allowed to take a daily quantity of gas greater than the Contract Demand nor an hourly amount in excess of the Contract Demand divided by 24 , without the Company's prior consent. Interruptible Distribution Service is provided on a best efforts basis subject to the events identified in the service contract including force majeure and, in addition, shall be subject to curtailment or discontinuance of service when the Company notifies the customer under normal circumstances 4 hours prior to the time that service is subject to curtailment or discontinuance. Under emergency conditions, the Company may curtail or discontinue service on one-hour notice. The Interruptible Service Customer is not allowed to exceed maximum hourly flow requirements as specified in Service Contract.

\section*{DISTRIBUTION RATES:}

Monthly Customer Charge
\(\$ 500.00\)
Monthly Contract Demand Charge Firm \(24.0202 \mathrm{\$} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\)
Interruptible Service:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Minimum Delivery Charge & \(0.3512 \mathrm{\$} / \mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{3}}\) \\
Maximum Delivery Charge & \(0.9476 \mathrm{\$} / \mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{3}}\)
\end{tabular}

Forecast Unaccounted For Gas Percentage \(\quad 0.3 \%\)
Monthly Minimum Bill: The Monthly Customer Charge plus the Monthly Contract Demand Charge.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}
1. To the extent that this Rate Schedule does not specifically address matters set out in PARTS III and IV of the Company's HANDBOOK OF RATES AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES then the provisions in those Parts shall apply,
as contemplated therein, to service under this Rate Schedule.
2. Unaccounted for Gas (UFG) Adjustment Factor.

The Applicant is required to deliver to the Company on a daily basis the sum of: (a) the volume of gas to be delivered to the Applicant's Terminal Location; and (b) a volume of gas equal to the forecast unaccounted for gas percentage as stated above multiplied by (a).
3. Nominations:

Customer shall nominate gas delivery daily based on the gross commodity delivery required to serve the customer's daily load plus the UFG, net of No-Notice Storage Service provisions under Rate 315, if applicable. The amount of gas delivered under No-Notice Storage Service will also be reduced by the UFG adjustment factor for delivery to the customer's meter.

Customers may change daily nominations based on the nomination windows within a day as defined by the customer contract with TransCanada PipeLines (TCPL) or Union Gas Limited.

Schedule of nominations under Rate 300 has to match upstream nominations. This rate does not allow for any more flexibility than exists upstream of the EGD gas distribution system. Where the customer's nomination does not match the confirmed upstream nomination, the nomination will be confirmed at the upstream value.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Page 1 of 6} \\
\hline EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{RATE NUMBER: 300}

Customer may nominate gas to a contractually specified Primary Delivery Area that may be EGD's Central Delivery Area (CDA) or EGD's Eastern Delivery Area (EDA). The Company may accept deliveries at a Secondary Delivery Area such as Dawn, at its sole discretion. Quantities of gas nominated to the system cannot exceed Contract Demand, unless Make-up Gas or Authorized Overrun is permitted.

Customers with multiple Rate 300 contracts within a Primary Delivery Area may combine nominations subject to system operating requirements and subject to the Contract Demand for each Terminal Location. For combined nominations the customer shall specify the quantity of gas to each Terminal Location and the order in which gas is to be delivered to each Terminal Location. The specified order of deliveries shall be used to administer Load Balancing Provisions to each Terminal Location. When system conditions require delivery to a single Terminal Location only, nominations with different Terminal Locations may not be combined.

\section*{4. Authorized Demand Overrun:}

The Company may, at its sole discretion, authorize consumption of gas in excess of the Contract Demand for limited periods within a month, provided local distribution facilities have sufficient capacity to accommodate higher demand. In such circumstances, customer shall nominate gas delivery based on the gross commodity delivery required to serve the customer's daily load, including quantities of gas in excess of the Contract Demand, plus the UFG. The Load Balancing Provisions and/or No-Notice Storage Service provisions under Rate 315 cannot be used for Authorized Demand Overrun. Failure to nominate gas deliveries to match Authorized Demand Overrun shall constitute Unauthorized Supply Overrun.

The rate applicable to Authorized Demand Overrun shall equal the applicable Monthly Demand Charge times 12/365 provided, however, that such service shall not exceed 5 days in any contract year. Requests beyond 5 days will constitute a request for a new Contract Demand level, with retroactive charges based on terms of Service Contract.

\section*{5. Unauthorized Demand Overrun:}

Any gas consumed in excess of the Contract Demand and/or maximum hourly flow requirements, if not authorized, will be deemed to be Unauthorized Demand Overrun gas. Unauthorized Demand Overrun gas will establish a new Contract Demand and shall be subject to a charge equal to \(120 \%\) of the applicable monthly charge for twelve months of the current contract term, including retroactively based on terms of Service Contract. Unauthorized Demand Overrun gas shall also be subject to Unauthorized Supply Overrun provisions. Where a customer receives interruptible service hereunder and consumes gas during a period of interruption, such gas shall be deemed Unauthorized Supply Overrun. In addition to charges for Unauthorized Supply Overrun, interruptible customers consuming gas during a scheduled interruption shall pay a penalty charge of \(\$ 18.00\) per m3.

\section*{6. Unauthorized Supply Overrun:}

Any volume of gas taken by the Applicant on a day at the Terminal Location which exceeds the sum of:
i. any applicable Load Balancing Provision pursuant to Rate 300 and/or provisions of Rate 315, plus
ii. the volume of gas delivered by the Applicant on that day shall constitute Unauthorized Supply Overrun Gas.

The Company may also deem volumes of gas to be Unauthorized Supply Overrun gas in other circumstances, as set out in the Load Balancing Provisions of Rate 300.

Any gas deemed to be Unauthorized Overrun gas shall be purchased by the customer at a price ( Pe ), which is equal to \(150 \%\) of the highest price in effect for that day as defined below*.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & \begin{tabular}{r} 
Page 2 of 6 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{RATE NUMBER:}

\section*{7. Unauthorized Supply Underrun:}

Any volume of gas delivered by the Applicant on any day in excess of the sum of:
i. any applicable Rate 300 Load Balancing Provision pursuant to Rate 300 and/or provisions of Rate 315, plus
ii. the volume of gas taken by the Applicant at the Terminal Location on that day shall be classified as Supply Underrun Gas.

The Company may also deem volumes of gas to be Unauthorized Supply Underrun gas in other circumstances, as set out in the Load Balancing Provisions of Rate 300.

Any gas deemed to be Unauthorized Supply Underrun Gas shall be purchased by the Company at a price ( \(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{u}}\) ) which is equal to fifty percent \((50 \%)\) of the lowest price in effect for that day as defined below**.
* where the price \(P_{e}\) expressed in cents / cubic metre is defined as follows:
\(P_{e}=\left(P_{m} * E_{r} * 100 * 0.03769 / 1.055056\right) * 1.5\)
\(P_{m}=\) highest daily price in U.S. \$/mmBtu published in the Gas Daily, a Platts Publication, for that day under the column "Absolute", for the Niagara export point if the terminal location is in the CDA delivery area, and the Iroquois export point if the terminal location is in the EDA delivery area.
\(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}=\) Noon day spot exchange rate expressed in Canadian dollars per U.S. dollar for such day quoted by the Bank of Canada in the following days Globe \& Mail Publication.
\(1.055056=\) Conversion factor from mmBtu to GJ.
\(0.03769=\) Conversion factor from GJ to cubic metres.
** where the price \(P_{u}\) expressed in cents / cubic metre is defined as follows:
\(P_{u}=\left(P_{1} * E_{r} * 100 * 0.03769 / 1.055056\right) * 0.5\)
\(P_{1}=\) lowest daily price in U.S. \(\$ / m m B t u\) published in the Gas Daily, a Platts Publication, for that day under the column "Absolute", for the Niagara export point if the terminal location is in the CDA delivery area, and the Iroquois export point if the terminal location is in the EDA delivery area.

\section*{Term of Contract:}

A minimum of one year. A longer-term contract may be required if incremental assets/facilities have been procured/built for the customer. Migration from an unbundled rate to bundled rate may be restricted subject to availability of adequate transportation and storage assets.

\section*{Right to Terminate Service:}

The Company reserves the right to terminate service to customers served hereunder where the customer's failure to comply with the parameters of this rate schedule, including interruptible service and load balancing provisions, jeopardizes either the safety or reliability of the gas system. The Company shall provide notice to the customer of such termination; however, no notice is required to alleviate emergency conditions.

\section*{Load Balancing:}

Any difference between actual daily-metered consumption and the actual daily volume of gas delivered to the system less the UFG shall first be provided under the provisions of Rate 315-Gas Storage Service, if applicable. Any remaining difference will be subject to the Load Balancing Provisions.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & PEPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & Page 3 of 6 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{LOAD BALANCING PROVISIONS:}

Load Balancing Provisions shall apply at the customer's Terminal Location.
In the event of an imbalance any excess delivery above the customer's actual consumption or delivery less than the actual consumption shall be subject to the Load Balancing Provisions.

\section*{Definitions:}

\section*{Aggregate Delivery:}

The Aggregate Delivery for a customer's account shall equal the sum of the confirmed nominations of the customer for delivery of gas to the applicable delivery area from all pipeline sources plus, where applicable, the confirmed nominations of the customer for Storage Service under Rate 316 or Rate 315 and any available No-Notice Storage Service under Rate 315 for delivery of gas to the Applicable Delivery Area.

\section*{Applicable Delivery Area:}

The Applicable Delivery Area for each customer shall be specified by contract as a Primary Delivery Area. Where system-operating conditions permit, the Company, in its sole discretion, may accept a Secondary Delivery Area as the Applicable Delivery Area by confirming the customer's nomination of such area. Confirmation of a Secondary Delivery Area for a period of a gas day shall cause such area to become the Applicable Delivery Area for such day. Where delivery occurs at both a Terminal Location and a Secondary Delivery Area on a given day, the sum of the confirmed deliveries may not exceed Contract Demand, unless Demand Overrun and/or Make-up Gas is authorized.

\section*{Primary Delivery Area:}

The Primary Delivery Area shall be delivery area such as EGD's Central Delivery Area (CDA) or EGD's Eastern Delivery Area (EDA).

\section*{Secondary Delivery Area:}

A Secondary Delivery Area may be a delivery area such as Dawn where the Company, at its sole discretion, determines that operating conditions permit gas deliveries for a customer.

\section*{Actual Consumption:}

The Actual Consumption of the customer shall be the metered quantity of gas consumed at the customer's premise.

\section*{Net Available Delivery:}

The Net Available Delivery shall equal the Aggregate Delivery times one minus the annually determined percentage of Unaccounted for Gas (UFG) as reported by the Company.

\section*{Daily Imbalance:}

The Daily Imbalance shall be the absolute value of the difference between Actual Consumption and Net Available Delivery.

Cumulative Imbalance (also referred to as Banked Gas Account):

The Cumulative Imbalance shall be the sum of the difference between Actual Consumption and Net Available Delivery.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Maximum Contractual Imbalance:}

The Maximum Contractual Imbalance shall be equal to \(60 \%\) of the customer's Contract Demand.

\section*{Winter and Summer Seasons:}

The winter season shall commence on the date that the Company provides notice of the start of the winter period and conclude on the date that the Company provides notice of the end of the winter period. The summer season shall constitute all other days. The Company shall provide advance notice to the customer of the start and end of the winter season as soon as reasonably possible, but in no event not less than 2 days prior to the start or end.

\section*{Operational Flow Order:}

An Operational Flow Order (OFO) shall constitute an issuance of instructions to protect the operational capacity and integrity of the Company's system, including distribution and/or storage assets, and/or connected transmission pipelines.

Enbridge Gas Distribution, acting reasonably, may call for an OFO in the following circumstances:
Capacity constraint on the system, or portions of the system, or upstream systems, that are fully utilized;

Conditions where the potential exists that forecasted system demand plus reserves for short notice services provided by the Company and allowances for power generation customers' balancing requirements would exceed facility capabilities and/or provisions of 3rd party contracts;

Pressures on the system or specific portions of the system are too high or too low for safe operations;

Storage system constraints on capacity or pressure or caused by equipment problems resulting in limited ability to inject or withdraw from storage;

Pipeline equipment failures and/or damage that prohibits the flow of gas;
Any and all other circumstances where the potential for system failure exists.

\section*{Daily Balancing Fee:}

On any day where the customer has a Daily Imbalance the customer shall pay a Daily Balancing Fee equal to:
(Tier 1 Quantity X Tier 1 Fee) + (Tier 2 Quantity X Tier 2 Fee) + (Applicable Penalty Fee for Imbalance in excess of the Maximum Contractual Imbalance \(X\) the amount of Daily Imbalance in excess of the Maximum Contractual Imbalance)

Where Tier 1 and 2 Fees and Quantities are set forth as follows:
Tier 1 = Daily Imbalance of greater than \(2 \%\) but less than \(10 \%\) of the Maximum Contractual Imbalance and shall be subject to a charge of 0.8857 cents/M3

Tier 2 = Daily Imbalance of greater than \(10 \%\) but less than Maximum Contractual Imbalance shall be subject to a charge of 1.0628 cents \(/ \mathrm{m} 3\)

The customers shall also pay any Load Balancing Agreement (LBA) charges imposed by the pipeline on days when the customer has a Daily Imbalance provided such imbalance matches the direction of the pipeline imbalance. LBA charges shall first be allocated to customers served under Rate 125 and 300. The system bears a portion of these charges only to the extent that the system incurs such charges based on its operation excluding the operation of customers under Rates 125 and 300. In that event, LBA charges shall be prorated based on the relative imbalances.
These rates to be superceded by
\begin{tabular}{|l|l} 
BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: \\
EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007

\section*{RATE NUMER: 300}

A Daily Imbalance in excess of the Maximum Contractual Imbalance shall be deemed to be Unauthorized Supply Overrun or Underrun gas, as appropriate.

Customer's Actual Consumption cannot exceed Net Available Delivery when the Company issues an Operational Flow Order in the winter. Net nominations must not be less than consumption at the Terminal Location. Any negative Daily Imbalance on a winter Operational Flow Order day shall be deemed to be Unauthorized Supply Overrun. Customer's Net Available Delivery cannot exceed Actual Consumption when the Company issues an Operational Flow Order in the summer. Actual Consumption must not be less than net nomination at the Terminal Location. Any positive Daily Imbalance on a summer Operational Flow Order day shall be deemed to be Unauthorized Supply Underrun.

The Company will waive Daily Balancing Fee and Cumulative Imbalance Charge on the day of an Operational Flow Order if the customer used less gas that the amount the customer delivered to the system during the winter season or the customer used more gas than the amount the customer delivered to the system during the summer season. The Company will issue a 24 -hour advance notice to customers of Operational Flow Orders and suspension of Load Balancing Provisions.

\section*{Cumulative Imbalance Charges:}

Customers may trade Cumulative Imbalances within a delivery area.
Customers shall be permitted to nominate Make-up Gas, subject to operating constraints, provided that Make-up Gas plus Aggregate Delivery do not exceed Contract Demand. The Company may, on days with no operating constraints, authorize Make-up Gas that, in conjunction with Aggregate Delivery, exceeds Contract Demand.

The customer's Cumulative Imbalance cannot exceed its Maximum Contractual Imbalance. The excess imbalance shall be deemed to be Unauthorized Overrun or Underrun gas, as appropriate.

The Cumulative Imbalance Fee shall be equal to of 0.4362 cents \(/ \mathrm{m} 3\) per unit of imbalance.
The customer's Cumulative Imbalance shall be equal to zero within five (5) days from the last day of the Service Contract.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas delivered on or after January 1, 2007, or, on or after April 1, 2007, depending on the start date chosen by the customer. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|r|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 6 of 6 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

This rate is available to any customer taking service under Distribution Rates 125 and 300. It requires a Service Contract that identifies the required storage space and deliverability. In addition, the customer shall maintain a positive balance of gas in storage at all times or forfeit the use of Storage Services for Load Balancing and No-Notice Storage Service.

A daily nomination for storage injection and withdrawal except for No-Notice Storage Service, hereunder, which is used automatically for daily Load Balancing, shall also be required.

The maximum hourly injections / withdrawals shall equal \(1 / 24\) of the daily Storage Demand. No-Notice Storage Service is available up to the maximum daily withdrawal rights less the nominated withdrawal or the maximum daily injection rights less the nominated injections.

Storage space shall be based on the storage space algorithm [(customer's average winter demand - customer's average annual demand) x 151]. Gas fired power generation customers have the option to have storage space determined based on the methodology approved in EB-2005-0551.

Maximum deliverability shall be \(1.2 \%\) of contracted storage space. The customer may inject and withdraw gas based on the quantity of gas in storage and the limitations specified in the Service Contract. Both injection and withdrawal shall be subject to applicable storage ratchets as determined by the Company and posted from time to time.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service shall be firm when used in conjunction with firm distribution service. Service is interruptible when used in conjunction with interruptible distribution service. All service is subject to contract terms and force majeure.

The service is available on two bases:
(1) Service nominated daily based on the available capacity and gas in storage up to the maximum contracted daily deliverability; and
(2) No-Notice Storage Service for daily Load Balancing consistent with the maximum hourly deliverability.

\section*{RATE:}

The following rates and charges shall apply in respect to all gas received by the Company from and delivered by the Company to storage on behalf of the Applicant.

\section*{Monthly Customer Charge:}
\(\$ 150.00\)

\section*{Storage Reservation Charge:}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Monthly Storage Space Demand Charge } & 0.0346 \mathbf{\$ / m}{ }^{\mathbf{3}}\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Monthly Storage Deliverability/Injection Demand Charge } & 12.0982 \$ / \mathbf{m}^{3}\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{l|l} 
Injection \& Withdrawal Unit Charge: & \(0.4999 ~ \$ / \mathrm{m}^{3}\)
\end{tabular}
Monthly Minimum Bill: The sum of the Monthly Customer Charge plus Monthly Demand Charges.

\section*{FUEL RATIO REQUIREMENT:}

The Fuel Ratio per unit of gas injected and withdrawn is \(0.35 \%\).
All Storage Space and Deliverability/Injection Demand Charges are applicable monthly. Injection and withdrawal charges are applicable to each unit of gas injected or withdrawn based on daily nominations and No-Notice Storage Service quantities.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & PEPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & Page 1 of 3 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook 38 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

All deemed withdrawal quantities under the No-Notice Storage Service provisions of this rate will be adjusted for the UFG provisions applicable to the distribution service rates.

In addition, for each unit of injection or withdrawal there will be an applicable fuel charge adjustment expressed as a percent of gas.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}

\section*{1. Nominated Storage Service:}

Nominations under this rate shall only be accepted at the standard North American Energy Standards Board ("NAESB") nomination windows. The customer may elect to nominate all or a portion of the available withdrawal capacity for delivery to the applicable Primary Delivery Area, which may be EGD's Central Delivery Area (CDA) or EGD's Eastern Delivery Area (EDA). All volumes nominated from storage are delivered first for purposes of daily Load Balancing of available supply assets. When system conditions permit, the customer may nominate all or a portion of the available withdrawal capacity for delivery to Dawn or to the customer's Primary Delivery Area for purposes other than consumption at the customer's own meter.

Storage not nominated for delivery will be available for No-Notice Storage Service. The sum of gas nominated for storage injection and for the Terminal Location shall not exceed the customer's Contract Demand (CD).

The customer may also nominate gas for delivery into storage by nominating the storage delivery area as the Primary Delivery Area. Gas nominated for storage delivery will not be available for No-Notice Storage Service. The sum of gas nominated for storage injection and for the Terminal Location shall not exceed the customer's CD.
Any gas in excess of the contract demand will be subject to cash out as injection overrun gas.

The Company reserves the right to limit injection and withdrawal rights to all storage customers in certain situations, such as major maintenance or construction projects, and may reduce nominations for injections and withdrawals over and above applicable storage ratchets. The Company will provide customers with one week's notice of its intent to limit injection and withdrawal rights, and at the same time, shall provide its best estimate of the duration and extent of the limitations.

In situations where the Company limits injection and withdrawal rights, the Company shall proportionately reduce the Storage Deliverability/Injection Demand Charge for affected customers based on the number of days the limitation is in effect and the difference between Deliverability/Injection Demand, subject to applicable storage ratchets, and the quantity of gas actually delivered or injected.

\section*{2. No-Notice Storage Service:}

The Company, at its sole discretion based on operating conditions, may provide a No-Notice Storage Service that allows customers taking gas under distribution service rates to balance daily deliveries using this Storage Service. No-Notice Storage Service requires that the customer grant the Company the exclusive right to use unscheduled service available from storage to reduce the daily imbalance associated with the actual consumption of the customer.

No-Notice Storage Service is limited to the available, unscheduled withdrawal or injection capacity under contract to serve a customer. Where the customer serves multiple delivery locations from a single storage Service Contract, the customer shall specify the order in which gas is to be delivered to each Terminal Location served under a distribution Service Contract. The specified order of deliveries shall be used to administer Load Balancing Provisions to each Terminal Location.

The availability of No-Notice Storage Service is subject to and reduced by any service schedule from or to storage. To the extent that the quantity of gas available in storage is insufficient to meet the requirements of the customer under a No-Notice Storage Service, the customer will be unable to use the service on a no-notice basis for Load Balancing service. To the extent that the scheduled injections into storage plus No-Notice Storage Service exceed the maximum limit for injection, No-Notice Storage Service will be reduced and the remainder of the gas will constitute a daily imbalance. Gas delivered in excess of the maximum injection quantity shall be deemed injection overrun gas and cashed out at \(50 \%\) of the lowest index price of gas.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & PEPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & Page 2 of 3 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook 39 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Other provisions}

If the customer elects to use the contracted storage capacity at less than the full volumetric capacity of the storage, the Company may inject its own gas provided that such injection does not reduce the right of the customer to withdraw the full amount of gas injected on any day during the withdrawal season or to schedule its full injection right during the injection season.

\section*{Term of Contract:}

A minimum of one year.
A longer-term contract may be required if incremental contracts/assets/facilities have been procured/built for the customer.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas delivered on or after January 1, 2007, or, on or after April 1, 2007, depending on the start date chosen by the customer.

This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & Page 3 of 3 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 40 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ENBRIDGE

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant whose delivery of natural gas to the Company for transportation to a Terminal Location has been interrupted prior to the delivery of such gas to the Company.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

The volume of gas available for backstopping in any day shall be determined by the Company exercising its sole discretion. If the aggregate daily demand for service under this Rate Schedule exceeds the supply available for such day, the available supply shall be allocated to firm service customers on a first requested basis and any balance shall be available to interruptible customers on a first requested basis.

\section*{RATE:}

The rates applicable in the circumstances contemplated by this Rate Schedule, in lieu of the Gas Supply Charges specified in any of the Company's other Rate Schedules pursuant to which the Applicant is taking service, shall be as follows:
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
& \begin{tabular}{c} 
Billing Month \\
January \\
to
\end{tabular} \\
Gas Supply Charge \\
Per cubic metre of gas sold
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
December
\end{tabular}
provided that if upon the request of an Applicant, the Company quotes a rate to apply to gas which is delivered to the Applicant at a particular Terminal Location on a particular day or days and to which this Rate Schedule is applicable (which rate shall not be less than the Company's avoided cost in the circumstances at the time nor greater than the otherwise applicable rate specified above), then the Gas Supply Charge applicable to such gas shall be the rate quoted by the Company.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas consumed by customers on and after January 1, 2007 under Sales Service and Transportation Service. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 1 of 1 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 41 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY AND CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service under this rate schedule shall apply to the Transmission and Compression Service Agreement with Union Gas Limited dated April 1, 1989, and the Transmission, Compression and Pool Storage Service Agreement with Centra Gas Ontario Inc. dated May 30, 1994. Service shall be provided subject to the terms and conditions specified in the Service Agreement.

\section*{RATE:}

The Customer shall pay for service rendered in each month in a contract year, the sum of the following applicable charges:
\(\left.\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Transmission \& } \\
\text { Compression }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Pool } \\
\text { Storage }\end{array} \\
\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
& & 0.1935 \\
Demand Charge for: & 0.1652 & 17.5558 \\
Annual Turnover Volume & 14.9334 & 0.5817 \\
Maximum Daily Withdrawal Volume & & 1.4724
\end{tabular}

\section*{FUEL RATIO REQUIREMENT:}

Fuel Ratio applicable to per unit of gas injected and withdrawn is \(0.35 \%\).

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

The minimum monthly bill shall be the sum of the applicable Demand Charges as stated in Rate Section above.

\section*{EXCESS VOLUME AND OVERRUN RATES:}

In addition to the charges provided for in the Rate Section above, the Customer shall pay, for services rendered, the sum of the following applicable charges as they are incurred:

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}
1. Excess Volumes will be billed at the total of the Excess Volume Charges as stated above.
2. Transmission and Compression, and Pool Storage Overrun Service will be billed according to the following:
(a) At the end of each month, in a contract year, the Company will make a determination, for each day in the month, of
(i) the difference between the volume of gas actually delivered, exclusive of the fuel volume, for Customer's account into the Company System, at the Point of Delivery and the Customer's Maximum Daily Injection Volume, and
(ii) the difference between the volume of gas actually delivered, exclusive of the fuel volume, for Customer's account from the Company System, at the Point of Delivery, and the Customer's Maximum Daily Withdrawal Volume.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 1 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 42 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{BILLING ADJUSTMENT:}
1. Injection deficiency - If at the beginning of any Withdrawal Period the Customer's Storage Balance is less than the Customer's Annual Turnover Volume, due solely to the Company's inability to inject gas for any reason other than the fault of the Customer, then the applicable Demand Charge for Annual Turnover Volume for the contract year beginning the prior April 1 as stated in Rate Section as applicable, shall be adjusted by multiplying each by a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the Customer's Storage Gas Balance as of the beginning of such Withdrawal Period and the denominator shall be the Customer's Annual Turnover Volume as it may have been established for the then current year.
2. Withdrawal deficiency - If in any month in a contract year for any reason other than the fault of the Customer, the Company fails or is unable to deliver during any one or more days, the amount of gas which the Customer has nominated, up to the maximum volumes which the Company is obligated by the Agreement to deliver to the Customer, then the Demand Charge for maximum Contract Daily Withdrawal Volume in the contract year otherwise payable for the month in which such failure occurs, as stated in Rate Section above, as applicable, shall be reduced by an amount for each day of deficiency to be calculated as follows: The Demand Charge for maximum Contract Daily Withdrawal Volume for the contract year for the month will be divided by 30.4 and the result obtained will then be multiplied by a fraction, the numerator being the difference between the nominated volume for such day and the delivered volume for such day and the denominator being the Customer's maximum Contract Daily Withdrawal Volume for such contract year.

\section*{TERMS AND EXPRESSIONS:}

In the application of this Rate Schedule to each of the Agreements, terms and expressions used in this Rate Schedule have the meanings ascribed thereto in such Agreement.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas delivered on and after January 1, 2007. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates, as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & PEPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & Page 2 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 43 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant who enters into a Storage Contract with the Company for delivery by the Applicant to the Company and re-delivery by the Company to the Applicant of a volume of natural gas owned by the Applicant.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service under this rate is for Full Cycle or Short Cycle storage service; with firm or interruptible injection and withdrawal service, all as may be available from time to time.

\section*{RATE:}

The following rates and charges shall apply in respect of all gas received by the Company from and re-delivered by the Company to the Applicant.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Full Cycle} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Short Cycle
\[
\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
\]} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Firm } \\
\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
\end{gathered}
\] & Interruptible \(\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Monthly Demand Charge per unit of Annual Turnover Volume:} \\
\hline Minimum & 0.3587 & 0.3587 & - \\
\hline Maximum & 1.7936 & 1.7936 & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Monthly Demand Charge per unit of Contracted Daily Withdrawal:} \\
\hline Minimum & 32.4892 & 25.9914 & - \\
\hline Maximum & 162.4461 & 129.9569 & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Commodity Charge per unit of gas delivered to / received from storage:} \\
\hline Minimum & 2.0541 & 2.0541 & 0.8942 \\
\hline Maximum & 10.2706 & 10.2706 & 38.1075 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{FUEL RATIO REQUIREMENT:}

The Fuel Ratio per unit of gas injected and withdrawn is \(0.35 \%\).

\section*{TRANSACTING IN ENERGY:}

The conversion factor is \(37.74 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m} 3\), which corresponds to Union Gas' System Wide Average Heating Value, as per the Board's RP-1999-0017 Decision with Reasons.

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

The minimum monthly bill shall be the sum of the applicable Demand Charges.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & PEPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & Page 1 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook 44 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{OVERRUN RATES:}

The units rates stated below will apply to overrun volumes. The provision of Authorized Overrun service will be at the Company's sole discretion.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Full Cycle} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Short Cycle
\[
\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
\]} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Firm } \\
& \$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Interruptible } \\
\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Authorized Overrun} \\
\hline Annual Turnover Volume & & & \\
\hline Negotiable, not to exceed: & 38.1075 & 38.1075 & 38.1075 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Authorized Overrun} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Daily Injection/Withdrawal} \\
\hline Negotiable, not to exceed: & 38.1075 & 38.1075 & 38.1075 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Unauthorized Overrun} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Annual Turnover Volume} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Excess Storage Balance} \\
\hline September 1 - November 30 & 381.0754 & 381.0754 & 381.0754 \\
\hline December 1-October 31 & 38.1075 & 38.1075 & 38.1075 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Unauthorized Overrun} \\
\hline Annual Turnover Volume & & & \\
\hline Negative Storage Balance & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}
1. All Services are available at the Company's sole discretion.
2. Delivery and Re-delivery of the volume of natural gas shall be from/to the facilities of Union Gas Limited and / or TransCanada PipeLines Limited in Dawn Township and/or Niagara Gas Transmission Limited in Moore Township.
3. The Customers daily injections or withdrawals will be adjusted to provide for the fuel ratio stated in the Fuel Ratio Section. In the event that a Short Cycle service does not require fuel for injection and/or withdrawal, the fuel ratio commodity charge may be waived.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas delivered on and after January 1, 2007. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates, as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 2 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook 45 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To any Applicant who enters into a Contract with the Company for transportation on the Company's Tecumseh Transmission System.

\section*{CHARACTER OF SERVICE:}

Service under this rate is for firm transportation service as may be available from time to time.
RATE:

The following rates and charges shall apply in respect of all gas received by the Company from and re-delivered by the Company to the Applicant.
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
& \multicolumn{1}{c}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Firm \\
\(\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\)
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Interruptible \\
\(\$ / 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\cline { 2 - 3 } \begin{tabular}{l} 
Monthly Demand Charge per unit of \\
Maximum Contracted Daily Delivery:
\end{tabular} & 4.4780 & - \\
Commodity Charge per unit of gas delivered: & - & 0.1770
\end{tabular}

\section*{MINIMUM BILL:}

The minimum monthly bill shall be the sum of the applicable Demand Charges.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}
1. Delivery of the volume of natural gas by the Applicant shall be at the interconnection of the Company's Tecumseh transmission facilities with that of Niagara Gas Transmission Limited at the Tecumseh Compressor Station.
2. Re-delivery of the volume of natural gas shall be at the interconnection of the Company's facilities with those of interconnecting pipelines in Dawn Township.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas delivered on and after January 1, 2007. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates, as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & Page 1 of 1 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook 46 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Applicants located off the piping networks noted below or off piping systems supplied from these networks may be curtailed to maintain distribution system integrity.

The Town of Collingwood
The Town of Midland
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & PEPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & Page 1 of 1 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1,2007 & Handbook 47 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

This rider is applicable to any Applicant who enters into Gas Transportation Agreement with the Company under any rate other than Rates 125 and 300.

\section*{MONTHLY DIRECT PURCHASE ADMINISTRATION CHARGE:}
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Base Charge & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\$ 50.00\) per month \\
Maximum Charge
\end{tabular} \\
Account Charge & \\
New Accounts & \(\$ 0.50\) per month \\
Renewal Accounts & \(\$ 0.15\) per month per account
\end{tabular}

The above Basic Charge shall be increased up to the maximum charge, by the new account charge for each new account and by the Renewal Account charge for each renewal account in a Direct Purchase Contract.

\section*{T-SERVICE CREDIT:}

In T-Service Arrangements excluding Ontario ABC-T arrangements, between the Company and an Applicant, and with a T-Service Arrangement and a contractually specified Point of Acceptance as indicated below, the Company shall pay or charge the Applicant the Transportation Service Credit or Debit shown for any volumes of natural gas owned by the Applicant and received by the Company at the Point of Acceptance. The ability of the Company to accept deliveries under FT-type arrangements at Dawn is constrained and the availability of this service is at the Company's sole discretion.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline TOLLS CREDIT & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Type of Arrangement} \\
\hline Point of Acceptance & Firm Transportation (FT) & Firm Service Tendered (FST) \\
\hline Western Canada & 0.0000 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.0000 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline CDA, EDA & 3.5241 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) & 0.0000 \$/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Dawn & 3.0336 ¢/m³ & 0.0000 ¢/m \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline Intra-Alberta & -0.4649 \(\mathrm{T}^{\text {/ }}{ }^{3}\) & N/A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Effective February 1, 2001, in Ontario ABC-T arrangements with a contractually specified Point of Acceptance in the CDA and/or EDA, the toll credit shall equal the Eastern Zone Firm Transportation tolls approved by the National Energy Board for TCPL at a \(100 \%\) load factor.

\section*{TCPL FT CAPACITY TURNBACK:}

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

To Ontario T-Service customers who have been or will be assigned TCPL capacity by the Company.

\section*{TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE:}
1. The Company will accommodate TCPL FT capacity turnback from customers to the extent that the Company is allowed to turnback FT capacity to TCPL.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & PEPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & Page 1 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 48 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2. The Company will accommodate all TCPL FT capacity turnback requests in a manner that minimizes stranded and other transitional costs. The Company is committed to maintaining the integrity of its distribution system and the sanctity of all contracts.
3. The Company may amend any contracts to accommodate a customer's request to turnback capacity.
4. Notice of TCPL FT turnback capacity will be accepted on Enbridge's Election for Enbridge Firm Transportation Assignment form or other authorized written notice.
5. The daily contractual right to receive natural gas would still be subject to the delivery, on a firm basis, of the full Mean Daily Volume into the Company's Central Delivery Area (CDA) and/or Eastern Delivery Area (EDA). The delivery area must match the area in which consumption will occur.
6. The proportion of TCPL FT capacity that an eligible customer may request to be turned back each year ("percentage turnback") shall not exceed the proportion of the TCPL capacity that Enbridge is entitled to turn back that year. This percentage turnback will be applied to calculate the customer's turnback capacity limit based on the renewal volume of the direct purchase agreement.
7. If the Company is unable to accommodate all or a portion of an eligible customer's request to turnback TCPL FT capacity in the month requested by the customer, the Company will indicate the month(s) when such customer request can be fully satisfied and the costs, if any, associated with accommodating this request. The customer may then advise the Company as to whether or not they wish to proceed with the TCPL FT capacity turnback request.
8. All TCPL FT capacity turnback requests will be treated on an equitable basis.
9. Customers may withdraw their original election given they provide notice to the Company a minimum of one week prior to the deadline specified in the TransCanada tariff for FT contract extension.
10. The percentage turnback of TCPL FT capacity will be applied at the Direct Purchase Agreement level.
11. Written notice to turnback capacity must be received by the Company the earlier of:
(a) Sixty days prior to the expiry date of the current contract.
or
(b) A minimum of one week prior to the deadline specified in TransCanada tariff for FT contract extension.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas delivered on and after January 1, 2007. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates, as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & \begin{tabular}{r} 
Page 2 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
RIDR: \(\quad \mathbf{B}\)

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

This rider is applicable to any Applicant who entered into a Gas Purchase Agreement with the Company, prior to April 1, 1999, to sell to the Company a supply of natural gas.

MONTHLY DIRECT PURCHASE ADMINISTRATION CHARGE:
```

Base Charge
Maximum Charge
\$50.00 per month
\$815.00 per month
Account Charge
New Accounts Renewal Accounts
$\$ 0.50$ per month per account $\$ 0.15$ per month per account

```

The above Basic Charge shall be increased up to the maximum charge, by the new account charge for each new account and by the Renewal Account charge for each renewal account in a Direct Purchase Contract.

\section*{BUY / SELL PRICE:}

In Buy/Sell Arrangements between the Company and an Applicant, the Company shall buy the Applicants gas at the Company's actual FT-WACOG price determined on a monthly basis in the manner approved by the Ontario Energy Board. For Western Buy/Sell arrangements the FT-WACOG price shall be reduced by pipeline transmission costs.

\section*{FT FUEL PRICE:}

The FT fuel price used to establish the Buy price in Western Buy/Sell arrangements without fuel will be determined monthly based upon the actual FT-WACOG.

\section*{EFFECTIVE DATE:}

To apply to bills rendered for gas delivered on and after January 1, 2007. This rate schedule is effective January 1, 2007 and replaces the identically numbered rate schedule that specifies, as the Effective Date, January 1, 2007 and that indicates, as the Board Order, EB-2006-0288.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & BOARD ORDER:
EB-2006-0034 & \begin{tabular}{l}
REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: \\
January 1, 2007
\end{tabular} & Page 1 of 1 Handbook 50 \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The following adjustment is applicable to all gas sold or delivered during the period January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Rate Class & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Sales Service \\
\(\left(\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Transportation Service \\
\(\left(\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\)
\end{tabular} \\
Rate 1 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
Rate 6 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
Rate 9 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
Rate 100 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
Rate 110 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
Rate 115 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
Rate 135 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
Rate 145 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
Rate 170 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\
Rate 200 & 0.0000 & 0.0000
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BOARD ORDER: } \\
& \text { EB-2006-0034 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: \\
January 1, 2007
\end{tabular} & Page 1 of 1 Handbook 51 \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\square\)
RIDER: \(\mathbf{D}\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|r|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTVE: & Page 1 of 1 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 & Handbook 52 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ENBRIDGE
RIDER: E \(\quad\) REVENUE ADJUSTMENT RIDER

The following adjustment shall be applicable to billed volumes during the period April 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Rate Class & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Sales Service \\
\(\left(\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Transportation Service \\
\(\left(\Phi / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)\)
\end{tabular} \\
Rate 1 & 0.2688 & 0.2310 \\
Rate 6 & 0.0798 & 0.0185 \\
Rate 9 & 0.2598 & 0.2586 \\
Rate 100 & \((0.1788)\) & \((0.1732)\) \\
Rate 110 & \((0.0327)\) & \((0.0346)\) \\
Rate 115 & 0.0132 & 0.0117 \\
Rate 135 & 0.0038 & 0.0038 \\
Rate 145 & \((0.1556)\) & \((0.1402)\) \\
Rate 170 & 0.0174 & 0.0153 \\
Rate 200 & 0.1244 & 0.1204 \\
Rate 300 & 0.0000 & \((0.0640)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034 & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: & \begin{tabular}{r} 
Page 1 of 1 \\
Hand
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ENBRIDGE

The following elevation factors shall be applicable to metered volumes measured by a meter that does not correct for atmospheric pressure.
\begin{tabular}{rr} 
Zone & Elevation Factor \\
& \\
1 & 0.9644 \\
2 & 0.9652 \\
3 & 0.9669 \\
4 & 0.9678 \\
5 & 0.9686 \\
6 & 0.9703 \\
7 & 0.9728 \\
8 & 0.9745 \\
9 & 0.9762 \\
10 & 0.9771 \\
11 & 0.9839 \\
12 & 0.9847 \\
13 & 0.9856 \\
14 & 0.9864 \\
15 & 0.9873 \\
16 & 0.9881 \\
17 & 0.9890 \\
18 & 0.9898 \\
19 & 0.9907 \\
20 & 0.9915 \\
21 & 0.9932 \\
22 & 0.9941 \\
23 & 0.9949 \\
24 & 0.9958 \\
25 & 0.9960 \\
26 & 0.9966 \\
27 & 0.9975 \\
28 & 0.9981 \\
29 & 0.9983 \\
30 & 0.9992 \\
31 & 0.9997 \\
32 & 1.0000 \\
33 & 1.0017 \\
34 & 1.0025 \\
35 & 1.0034 \\
36 & 1.0051 \\
37 & 1.0059 \\
38 & 1.0170 \\
& \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
These rates to be superceded by
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007 .
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: \\
EB-2006-0034 & January 1, 2007 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
Rate (excluding GST)
}

\section*{New Account Or Activation}

New Account Charge
\(\$ 25.00\)
Turning on of gas, activating appliances, obtaining billing data and establishing an opening meter reading for new customers in premises where gas has been previously supplied

Appliance Activation Charge - Commercial Customers Only Commercial customers are charged an appliance activation charge on unlock and red unlock orders, except on the very first unlock and service unlock at a premise.
Meter Unlock Charge - Seasonal or Pool Heater\$65.00

\section*{Statement of Account}

Lawyer Letter Handling Charge
Provide the customer's lawyer with gas bill information.
Statement of Account Charge (for one year history) \$10.00
Cheques Returned Non-Negotiable Charge \$20.00

Gas Termination
Red Lock Charge \$65.00
Locking meter or shutting off service by
closing the street shut-off valve (when work can be
performed by Field Collector)
Removal of Meter \$260.00
Removing meter by Construction \& Maintenance crew
Cut Off At Main Charge \$1,200.00
Cutting service off at main by Construction \&
Maintenance Crew
Valve Lock Charge
Shutting off service by closing the street
shut-off valve - work performed by Field Investigator
\$125.00
- work performed by Construction \& Maintenance \$260.00
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & & \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007. & EB-2006-0034
\end{tabular}


\section*{Safety Inspection}

Inspection Not Ready Charge (safety inspection)
When a builder requests an unlock and the appliance(s)
are not ready for inspection, this charge will apply to
cover the cost of returning to the same property for
the additional inspection.
Inspection Reject Charge (safety inspection)
Energy Board Inspection rejects are billed to the meter installer or homeowner.

\section*{Meter Test}

Meter Test Charge
When a customer disputes the reading on his/her meter, he/she may request to have the meter tested. This charge will apply if the test result confirms the meter is recording consumption correctly.
Residential meters ..... \(\$ 97.50\)
Non-Residential meters
Street Service Alteration
Street Service Alteration Charge\(\$ 32.00\)For installation of service line beyond allowable guidelines(for new residential services only)
NGV Rental
NGV Rental Cylinder (weighted average) ..... \(\$ 12.00\)
Other Customer Services (ad-hoc request)Labour Hourly Charge-Out Rate\$130.00
Cut Off At Main Charge - Commercial \& Special Requests ..... custom quoted
Cut Off At Main charges for commercial services and other residential services that involve significantly more work than the average will be custom quoted.Cut Off At Main Charge - Other Customer Requests\$1,200.00Other residential Cut Off At Main requests due to demolitions, fires,inactive services, etc. will be charged at the standard COAM rate.
Meter In-Out (Residential Only)) ..... \(\$ 260.00\)Relocate the meter from inside to outside per customer request
Request For Service Call Information ..... \(\$ 30.00\)Provide written information of the result of a service callas requested by home owners.
Temporary Meter Removal ..... \$260.00
As requested by customers.
Damage Meter Charge ..... \(\$ 360.00\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & \begin{tabular}{r} 
Page 2 of 2 \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1, 2007.
\end{tabular} & EB-2006-0034
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{r} 
Handbook 56 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
RIDER: \(\quad \mathbf{H}\) BALANCING SERVICE RIDER

\section*{APPLICABILITY:}

This rider is applicable to any Applicant who enters into Gas Transportation Agreement with the Company under any rate.

\section*{ENHANCED TITLE TRANSFER SERVICE:}

In any Gas Transportation Agreement between the Company and the Applicant, the Applicant may elect to initiate a transfer of natural gas between the Company and another utility, regulated by the Ontario Energy Board, at Dawn for the purposes of reducing an imbalance between the customer's deliveries and consumption within the Enbridge Gas Distribution franchise areas. The ability of the Company to accept such an election may be constrained at various points time for customers obtaining services under any rate other than Rate 125 or 300 due to operational considerations of the Company.

The cost for this service is separated between an Adminstration Charge that is applicable to all Applicants and a Bundled Service Charge that is only applicable to Applicants obtaining services under any rate other than Rate 125 or 300.

\section*{Administration Charge:}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Base Charge & \(\$ 50.00\) per transaction \\
Commodity Charge & \(\$ 1.3115\) per \(10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Bundled Service Charge:}

The Bundled Service Charge shall be equal to the absolute difference between the Eastern Zone and Southwest Zone Firm Transportation tolls approved by the National Energy Board for TCPL at a 100\% Load Factor.

\section*{GAS IN STORAGE TITLE TRANSFER:}

An Applicant that holds a contract for storage services under Rate 315 or 316 may elect to initiate a transfer of title to the natural gas currently held in storage between the storage service and another storage service held by the Applicant, or a other Applicant that has contracted with the Company for storage services under Rate 315 or 316 . The service will be provided on a firm basis up to the volume of gas that is equivalent to the more restrictive firm withdrawal and injection parameters of the two parties involved in the transfer. Transfer of title at rates above this level may be done on at the Company's discretion.

For Applicants requesting service between two storage service contracts that have like services, each party to the request shall pay an Administration Charge applicable to the request. Services shall be considered to be alike if the injection and deliverability rate at the ratchet levels in effect at the time of the request are the same and both services are firm or both services are interruptible. In addition to like services, the Company, at its sole discretion based on operational conditions, will also allow for the transfer of gas from a storage service contract that has a level of deliverability that is higher than the level of deliverability of the storage service contract the gas is being transfered to with only the Administration Charge being applicable to each party.

In addition to the Administration Charge, Applicants requesting service between two storage service contracts not addressed in the preceding paragraph would be subject to the injection and withdrawal charges specified in their contracts.

Administration Charge: \(\$ 25.00\) per transaction
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l}
\hline These rates to be superceded by & BOARD ORDER: & REPLACING RATE EFFECTIVE: \\
EB-2007-0049, effective April 1,2007. & EB-2005-0551 & N/A & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

