April 27, 2007

Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319, 2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Kirsten Walli Board Secretary

Dear Kirsten:

RE: 2006 Annual Report, CDM Funded Through Rates, Festival Hydro

Please find enclosed three hard copies of the 2006 Annual Report, CDM Funded through Rates. Also enclosed are two electronic copies of the report (one in PDF, the other in Excel format).

Please contact me at Festival Hydro should you have any questions about this report.

Yours truly,

Festival Hydro Inc.

William Zehr, President

Enclosed

Festival Hydre

2006 ANNUAL REPORT CDM FUNDED THROUGH 2006 RATES FOR FESTIVAL HYDRO INC. (EB-2002-0513)

April 30, 2007

Prepared by Festival Hydro Inc. 187 Erie Street, PO Box 397 Stratford, ON N5A 6T5 April 30, 2007

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
2.0	EVALUATION OF THE CDM PLAN	.7
3.0	DISCUSSION OF THE PROGRAMS	.8
4.0	LESSONS LEARNED	.8
5.0	CONCLUSION	.9

APPENDIX A – EVALUATION OF THE CDM PROGRAM FUNDED THROUGH RATES

APPENDIX B - DISCUSSION OF THE PROGRAMS

• Compact Flourescent Light Bulbs

APPENDIX C – PROGRAM AND PORTFOLIO TOTALS

1. Introduction

In the 2006 EDR rate application, Festival Hydro requested and was approved incremental funding through rates of \$9,000 to be spent on Compact Fluorescent Light Bulbs (CFLs) for distribution to residential customers. Below is Schedule 3-4 taken directly from the 2006 EDR - Manager's Summary which details the incremental CDM adjustment:

From CHAPTER 3 of the 2006 EDR rate application

"Schedule 3-4: Conservation and Demand Management Adjustments

Distribution Expense - \$9,000 compact fluorescent lamp program.

Characteristics of the applicant's distribution system, including:Peak system load by season;

Winter Peak 2004/5 = 104 MW, Summer Peak 2005 = 111 MW

• Average seasonal daily and weekly system load shapes;







FESTIVAL HYDRO INC. 2006 ANNUAL REPORT, CDM FUNDED THROUGH 2006 RATES

Page 4 of 9



Total energy purchases;

2004 = 632,340,068 kWh

• Sales by rate class;

```
2004 Residential = 140,968,230 kWh
2004 General Service < 50 kW = 72,082,746 kWh
2004 General Service > 50 kW = 349,986,942 kWh
2004 Other >50 kW Customer 1 = 19,282,599 kWh
2004 Other >50 kW Customer 2 = 1.719,689 kWh
2004 Large Use = 43,466,758 kWh
2004 Unmetered Scattered Load = 935,412 kWh
2004 Sentinel Lighting = 143,950 kWh
2004 Street Lighting = 3,753,742 kWh
```

and

• Number of customers by rate class.

```
2004 Residential = 16,463
2004 General Service < 50 \text{ kW} = 2002
2004 General Service > 50 \text{ kW} = 199
2004 Other >50 \text{ kW} Customer 1 = 1
2004 Other >50 \text{ kW} Customer 2 = 1
2004 Large Use = 1
2004 Unmetered Scattered Load = 17
2004 Sentinel Lighting = 67
2004 Street Lighting = 5731
```

From CHAPTER 3 of the 2006 EDR rate application (cont'd)

"SCHEDULE **3-4**: **C**ONSERVATION AND **D**EMAND **M**ANAGEMENT ADJUSTMENTS

2. For each initiative where costs are claimed in 2006, the following information must be provided:

• General description;

Promote and Distribute 2000 Compact Fluorescent Light bulbs

• Customer class(es) targeted;

Residential

o Projected incremental demand (kW) or energy (kWh) savings;

Savings of 268,000 kWh per year for approximately 5 years

• Projected budget, listing:

capital expenditures in 2006;

There are no capital expenditures related to this project.

 operating expenditures for 2006, separated in to direct and indirect expenditures;

Incremental Direct Operating Expenditures of \$9,000 There are no Indirect Operating Expenditures

and

 for each direct operating expenditure, an allocation of the expenditure by targeted customer classes;

100% Residential

• The input assumptions underlying the forecasted savings and costs;

A typical compact fluorescent light bulb uses 14W and provides as much light as a standard 60W bulb. The energy saved for a single bulb is therefore 46W. Assuming these bulbs are on an average of 8 hours a day, the annual energy savings is 134 kwh (46W x 8 hours x 365 days). At a rate of \$0.08 per kwh the annual cost savings is \$10.72 for one bulb.

From CHAPTER 3 of the 2006 EDR rate application (cont'd)

"SCHEDULE **3-4**: **C**ONSERVATION AND **D**EMAND **M**ANAGEMENT ADJUSTMENTS

Cost of program is based on \$4/bulb for 2000 bulbs plus administration and delivery cost of \$1000 for a total of \$9,000.

and

• The cost / benefit analysis, calculating the net present value of the initiative using the Total Resource Cost test. For the purpose of calculating the net present value, distributors must use a discount rate equal to the incremental after-tax cost of capital, based on the prospective capital mix, debt and preference share cost rates, and the latest approved rate of return on common equity.

Total Cost of Program = \$9,000 Expected Benefits in First Year = \$21,491 Net Benefit for First Year = \$12,491 PASS."

In the 2006 rate year (April 2007), Festival Hydro purchased packs of three light bulbs, which allowed us to purchase 3,168 light bulbs to be distributed to1,056 customers. The light bulbs will be distributed in Spring/Summer of 2007 as part of a larger distribution of light bulbs throughout our service territory.

2. EVALUATION OF THE CDM PLAN

The light bulbs funded through the 2006 rate application have been purchased in the 2006 rate year and will be distributed in Spring/Summer 2007. Being the OPA offered lucrative coupons on CFLs in their 2006 Every Kilowatt Counts campaigns, we decided to defer our light bulb distribution (for both the MARR funded and 2006 Rate Funded) until 2007. With approximately \$38,000 remaining in MARR funding to spend on CFLs and \$9,000 through 2006 Rates, it will allow Festival Hydro to carry out an extensive distribution of CFLs in its service territory.

To date, there is no evaluation completed as no distribution has taken place (Appendix A enclosed). Based on our 2006 Rate Application, we expect to derive a net benefit in the first year of \$12,491. However, this should be even higher because we were able to purchase the light bulbs at around \$2.85 per bulb as compared to \$4.00 per bulb used in our rate application submission.

We anticipate the participation rate by our residential customers will be excellent and all CFLs will be quickly distributed. With the recent announcements by the Federal Government and the Province of Ontario on the phase out of incandescent bulbs, customers will now be particularly interested in taking part in our CFL distribution, being there is no costs to them.

We will be distributing the lights bulbs from our main office in Stratford, our service centre in Seaforth and the Town Hall in St. Marys so that all customers in our territory have an opportunity to participate.

3. DISCUSSION OF THE PROGRAMS

The attached Appendix B provides details on the intent of the program, the design, delivery, partners we plan to work with and the evaluation of its success. As noted on Appendix B, there have been only costs incurred to date. Next year's filing will reflect the net impact of both costs and benefits.

4. LESSONS LEARNED

Since Festival Hydro has not distributed the light bulbs funded through the 2006 rates, we are not in a position to provide the lessons learned from this specific initiative. However, based on our CDM activities funded through MARR, the following is a list of general lessons learned:

- Many customers look for conservation programs that have minimal impact on their life style. The replacement of light bulbs is very successful for that reason.
- Customers are looking for ways to reduce their electrical consumption, and CFLs are a relatively low cost method to achieve a reduction.
- Festival Hydro manned a conservation booth at the Stratford Home Show, for the third consecutive year. Part of the booth includes a multi-light display which compares incandescent bulbs to CFLs. We also have a meter display which shows the amount of energy being used by an incandescent bulb versus an equivalent CFL. The display resulted in plenty of discussion with customers about CFL bulbs. In our discussion with customers, it was noted that many have already replaced their basic bulbs with CFLs and many are now replacing the specialty bulbs (i.e. tri-lights, chandelier bulbs, bug lights) with the CFL equivalent. Customers are very knowledgeable on CFLs and are actively in the process of replacement.

- Based on interaction with customers at the 2007 Home Show, there appears to be a higher degree of energy awareness and environmental awareness amongst customers at April 2007 compared to a year earlier. All levels of government have placed higher priorities on the environment which has greatly enhanced public awareness over the past year. Recent polls rank the environment as the number one issue in Canada, ahead of other key issues like health care.
- As part of the 2006 Cost of Service Study appliance survey, Festival Hydro included a number of questions related to conservation. Approximately 10% of our customer base responded to the survey. Many customers noted that they had already replaced incandescent light bulbs with CFLs, and many indicated they would be replacing more in the near future. There is evidence of active conservation steps being taken by customers.
- One key lesson we learnt is the importance of personal interaction with customers to get the conservation message across and to get people thinking about and acting on conservation. Our MARR funded programs have been successful as a result of the interaction of Festival Hydro employees with our customers. Using this same philosophy, when Festival Hydro distributes the CFLs in the Spring/Summer of 2007, employees will take the opportunity to promote other CDM MARR funded programs and the new OPA programs to our residential customers.
- Partnering with "grass roots" environmental groups and committees is extremely valuable as these committees are committed to conservation and are eager to assist in delivery of our programs. We may invite students from the local high school environment group to assist in the CFL distribution activities.
- Having a good working relationship with the local media is very important in terms of obtaining coverage of local conservation events. We expect there will be media coverage when the CFL distribution takes place.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, it is the opinion of Management at Festival Hydro that the forth coming distribution of CFL light bulbs will be very successful. We expect excellent customer participation and expect to achieve net benefits in excess of those original projected in the 2006 rate application. It will also give us an opportunity to network with customers and to promote our existing MARR funded programs and the new OPA programs. In April 2008 Festival Hydro will be filing a second report which will detail the overall benefit of the 2006 Rate application funded CFL program.

Appendix A - Evaluation of the CDM Plan

Highlighted boxes are to be completed manually, white boxes are linked to Appendix C and will be brought forward automatically.

Festival Hydro Inc - 2006 Rate Funded	₅ Cumulative Totals Life-to- date	Total for 2006	Residential	Commercial	Institutional	Industrial	Agricultural	LDC System	4 Smart Meters	Other #1	Other #2
Net TRC value (\$):	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-		\$-	\$-
Benefit to cost ratio:		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
Number of participants or units delivered:											
Lifecycle (kWh) Savings:		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Report Year Total kWh saved (kWh):		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Total peak demand saved (kW):		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Total kWh saved as a percentage of total kWh delivered (%):		0.0000%									
Peak kW saved as a percentage of LDC peak kW load (%):											
Report Year Gross C&DM expenditures (\$):					\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
2 Expenditures per KWh saved (\$/kWh):		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
3 Expenditures per KW saved (\$/kW):	#DIV/0!	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-		\$ -	\$-

Utility discount rate (%):

7.25

1 Expenditures are reported on accrual basis.

2 Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate energy savings.

³ Expenditures include all utility program costs (direct and indirect) for all programs which primarily generate capacity savings.

4 Please report spending related to 3rd tranche of MARR funding only. TRC calculations are not required for Smart Meters. Only actual expenditures for the year need to be reported.

s Includes total for the reporting year, plus prior year, if any (for example, 2006 CDM Annual report for third tranche will include 2005 and 2004 numbers, if any.

Appendix B - Discussion of the Program

(complete this Appendix for each program)

A. Name of the Program: Compact Fluorescent Light Bulbs (CFL Bulbs) 2006 Rate Funded

Description of the program (including intent, design, delivery, partnerships and evaluation):

Intent - Encourage customers to replace incandescent light bulbs with CFL bulbs in order to reduce electrical consumption. Design -Festival Hydro received approval in its 2006 rate application to spend an incremental \$9,000 on CFL bulbs to be distributed to residential customers. In April 2007, Festival Hydro purchased 3,168 light bulbs (packs of three) to be distributed to 1,056 residential customers within its territory. Delivery - The delivery of the CFL bulbs will be part of a larger distribution of CFLs to occur in late spring/summer of 2007 (others being funded through Third Tranche MARR). Distributions will take place from our service centres in Stratford and Seaforth, and from the Town Hall in St. Marys by Festival Hydro staff. Partnerships - The Town of St. Marys is allowing us to use their office space at no cost to carry out the light bulb distribution. We will be advertising in the local media to make customers aware of the give away. Evaluation: Festival Hydro is not able to complete the full evaluation at this time, but based on interactions with customers at the April 2007 Home Show, we expect excellent participation by customers.

	Measure(s):					
		Measure 1	Measure 2 (if applicable)	Measure 3	(if applicable)	
	Base case technology:	incandescent light bulbs				
	Efficient technology:	CFL bulbs				
	Number of participants or units					
	delivered for reporting year:	3168 to be delivered in 2007				
	Measure life (years):	5				
	Number of Participants or units					
	delivered life to date	3168 to be delivered in total				
В.	TRC Results:		Reporting Year	Life-to-date	TRC Results:	
	¹ TRC Benefits (\$):		\$ -		-	
	² TRC Costs (\$):					
	Utility	program cost (excluding incentives):	\$ -		-	
	Incrementa	al Measure Costs (Equipment Costs)				
		Total TRC costs:	\$ -		-	
	Net TRC (in year CDN \$):					
	Demofit to Cost Datis (TDC Demofits	(TDC Casta):				
	Benefit to Cost Ratio (TRC Benefits	TRC Costs):	#DIV/0!	#L	#DIV/0!	
-		v opply)		C	Desultes	
C.	Results: (one or more category mag	y appiy)		Cumulati	ve Results:	
C.	Results: (one or more category ma	у арріу)		Cumulati	ive Results:	
C.	<u>Conservation Programs:</u>	у арруу)		Cumulati	<u>ve Results:</u>	
C.	<u>Conservation Programs:</u> Demand savings (kW):	y appiy) Summer		Cumulati	<u>ive Results:</u>	
C.	<u>Conservation Programs:</u> Demand savings (kW):	y appiy) Summer Winter		Cumulati	<u>ve Results:</u>	
C.	<u>Conservation Programs:</u> Demand savings (kW):	y appiy) Summer Winter		Cumulative	Cumulative	
C.	<u>Conservation Programs:</u> Demand savings (kW):	y appiy) Summer Winter lifecycle	in year	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	<u>Results:</u> (one or more category may <u>Conservation Programs:</u> Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh):	Summer Winter lifecycle 0	in year 0	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	<u>Results:</u> (one or more category may <u>Conservation Programs:</u> Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved :	Summer Winter lifecycle 0	in year 0	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Demand savings (kWh): (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3):	y appiy) Summer Winter lifecycle 0	in year 0	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Demand savings (kWh): (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): (may):	y appiy) Summer Winter lifecycle 0	in year O	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Demand savings (kWh): (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Other (specify):	y appiy) Summer Winter lifecycle 0	in year O	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	Results: (one or more category mage) Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Demand savings (kWh): (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Other (specify):	y appiy) Summer Winter lifecycle 0	in year O	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	Results: (one or more category mage) Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Demand savings (kWh): (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW)	y appiy) Summer Winter lifecycle 0	in year O	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings -	
C.	Results: (one or more category mage) Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak	Summer Winter lifecycle 0	in year O	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings -	
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak	Summer Winter lifecycle 0 (kWh): (kWh):	in year O	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	Results: (one or more category may Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	Summer Winter lifecycle 0 < < (kWh): (kWh): < (kWh):	in year O	Cumulative Lifecycle 0	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	Results: (one or more category mage) Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak Energy shifted Mid-peak to Off-peak	Summer Winter lifecycle 0 < (kWh): (kWh): < (kWh):	in year O	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings	
C.	Results: (one or more category mage) Conservation Programs: Demand savings (kW): Demand savings (kW): Energy saved (kWh): Other resources saved : Natural Gas (m3): Other (specify): Other (specify): Demand Management Programs: Controlled load (kW) Energy shifted On-peak to Mid-peak Energy shifted On-peak to Off-peak Demand Response Programs: Dispatchable load (kW):	Summer Winter lifecycle 0 < (kWh): (kWh): < (kWh):	in year O	Cumulative Lifecycle	Cumulative Annual Savings -	

	Peak hours dispatched in year (hour	rs):			
	Power Factor Correction Program Amount of KVar installed (KVar): Distribution system power factor at the Distribution system power factor at e	<u>s:</u> peginning of year (%): and of year (%):			
	Line Loss Reduction Programs: Peak load savings (kW):				
		lifecycle	in year		
	Energy savings (kWh):				
	Amount of DG installed (kW): Energy generated (kWh): Peak energy generated (kWh): Fuel type: Other Programs (specify): Metric (specify):				
D.	Actual Program Costs:		Reporting Year		Cumulative Life to Date
	Utility direct costs (\$):	Incremental capital:	\$ -	\$	-
		Incremental O&M:	\$ -	\$	-
		Incentive:	\$ 8,997.12	\$	8,997.12
		Total:	\$ 8,997.12	\$	8,997.12
	Utility indirect costs (\$):	Incremental capital:			
		Incremental U&M:	0	¢	
		10tal.	0	φ	-

Ε. Assumptions & Comments:

The Light bulbs were purchased in the 2006 rate year (April 2007) but will be distributed in Spring 2007 along with a larger scale CFL distribution.

Benefits should be estimated if costs have been incurred and the technology has been deployed. Benefits reflect the present value of the measure for the number of units deployed in the year, i.e. the number of units times the net present value per unit benefit specified in the TRC Guide.
 For technologies which have not been deployed but for which the LDC has incurred costs, report only the TRC costs on a present value basis. Incentives (e.g. rebates) from the LDC to a customer are not a component of the TRC costs. However, payments made to a third party service provider to run an incentives program are program costs, and are to be included as TRC costs under the "Utility Program Costs" line.

Appendix C - Program and Portfolio Totals

Report Year:

2006 Rate Funded Festival Hydro

1. Residential Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	,						Total Peak	Report Year
	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Demand (kW)	Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Residential Awareness			\$-	0.00				#REF!
LED Lights			\$-	0.00				#REF!
Compact CFLs	\$-	\$-	\$-	0.00	0	0		\$ 8,997
Name of Program D			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program F			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$-	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Residential	\$-	\$-	\$-	0.00	0	0	0	#REF!
Residential Indirect Costs not								
attributable to any specific program								
Total Residential TRC Costs		\$-						
**Totals TRC - Residential	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	0.00				

2. Commercial Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
General Service Awareness			\$-	0.00				#REF!
Name of Program B			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program F			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$-	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Commercial	\$-	\$-	\$-	0.00	0	0	С	#REF!
Commercial Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								

Total TRC Costs		\$ -		
**Totals TRC - Commercial	\$-	\$ -	\$-	0.00

3. Institutional Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required. Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	Lifecycle (kWh)	Total Peak Demand (kW)	Report Year Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program B			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$-	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Institutional	\$-	\$-	\$-	0.00	0	0	C	\$-
Institutional Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
Total TRC Costs		\$-						
**Totals TRC - Institutional	\$-	\$ -	\$-	0.00				

4. Industrial Programs List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required. Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program F			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$-	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Industrial	\$-	\$-	\$-	0.00	0	0	C	\$-



5. Agricultural Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required. Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Demand (kW) Saved	Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program F			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$-	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Agricultural	\$-	\$-	\$-	0.00	0	0	0	\$-
Agricultural Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
Total TRC Costs		\$-						
**Totals TRC - Agricultural	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	0.00				

6. LDC System Programs

Voltage conversions

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required. Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below. Total Peak Report Year **TRC Benefits** Benefit/Cost Report Year Total Lifecycle (kWh) Gross C&DM Demand (kW) (PV) TRC Costs (PV) \$ Net TRC Benefits Ratio kWh Saved Savings Saved Expenditures (\$) Load control system \$ 0.00

\$

-

0.00

Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program F			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$ -	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$ -	0.00				
*Totals App. B - LDC System	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	0.00	(00	0	\$ -
LDC System Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program	 -							
Total TRC Costs	 	\$-						
**Totals TRC - LDC System	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00				

7. Smart Meters Program

Only spending information that was authorized under the 3rd tranche of MARR is required to be reported for Smart Meters.

Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)

8. Other #1 Programs List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required. Note: To ensure the integrity of the formulas, please insert the additional rows in the middle of the list below.

	TRC Benefits			Benefit/Cost	Report Year Total	l ifecycle (kWh)	Total Peak Demand (kW)	Report Year Gross C&DM
	(PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Ratio	kWh Saved	Savings	Saved	Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program B			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program F			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$-	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Other #1	\$-	\$-	\$-	0.00	0	0	0	\$-
Other #1 Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
Total TRC Costs		\$-						
**Totals TRC - Other #1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00				

9. Other #2 Programs

List each Appendix B in the cells below; Insert additional rows as required.

Note: To ensure the integrity of th	TRC Benefits (PV)	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
Name of Program A			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program B			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program D			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program E			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program C			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program G			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program H			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program I			\$-	0.00				
Name of Program J			\$-	0.00				
*Totals App. B - Other #2	\$-	\$-	\$-	0.00	0	0	0	\$-
Other #2 Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program								
Total TRC Costs		\$-						
**Totals TRC - Other #2	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	0.00				

LDC's CDM PORTFOLIO TOTALS

	TRC Benefit (PV)	s	TRC Costs (PV)	\$ Net TRC Benefits	Benefit/Cost Ratio	Report Year Total kWh Saved	Lifecycle (kWh) Savings	Total Peak Demand (kW) Saved	Report Year Gross C&DM Expenditures (\$)
*TOTALS FOR ALL APPENDIX B	\$	-	\$-	\$-	0.00	\$-	\$-	\$-	#REF!
Any <u>other</u> Indirect Costs not attributable to any specific program									
TOTAL ALL LDC COSTS			\$-						
**LDC' PORTFOLIO TRC	\$	-	\$-	\$-	0.00				

* The savings and spending information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A.

** The TRC information from this row is to be carried forward to Appendix A.